

## THE NEXUS BETWEEN INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW AND PEACEBUILDING IN AFRICA: A COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT OF PREVENTIVE MECHANISMS

ABRAHAM ENAME MINKO <sup>\*</sup>  
*Istanbul University*

### Abstract

This article is a comprehensive study that examines the complex and symbiotic relationship between international humanitarian law and peacebuilding in the African context. The article examines the crisis and humanitarian dimensions, focusing on the potential of African countries in conflict prevention and resolution. In this context, it takes into account the peace and conflict characteristics of Africa, which are characterized by a complex interplay of factors including political instability, ethnic tensions, competition for resources and external interventions. This study highlights the key role of the nexus between international humanitarian law and peacebuilding in Africa's quest for peace, stability and development. By embracing this synergistic relationship, African governments, regional organizations and the international community can contribute to a comprehensive and holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution. Addressing the challenges and limitations identified is imperative to unlocking the transformative potential of this nexus and paving the way for a more peaceful and prosperous African continent. The article highlights the urgency of preventing and mitigating these conflicts, thereby seeking to clarify how international humanitarian law and peacebuilding can function as complementary pillars in the pursuit of sustainable peace and security. By examining the practical application of these mechanisms, the study elucidates how they contribute to the prevention of armed conflict and the promotion of durable peace. Implementation gaps, where the principles of international humanitarian law are not effectively translated into practice, remain a persistent problem. Furthermore, the prevalence of political interests among the parties involved can hinder peacebuilding efforts, leading to the perpetuation of conflicts. Resource limitations in African countries, both in terms of funding and capacity, pose significant obstacles to the full realization of the potential of international humanitarian law and resilient peacebuilding.

**Keywords:** *International Humanitarian Law, Peacebuilding, Africa, Preventive Mechanisms, Humanitarian Crises, Triple Nexus Approach, Conflict, Development, Sustainable Peace.*

\* **Abraham Ename Minko** is a PhD in Political Science and International Relations of the Faculty of Political Science and International Relations at Istanbul University, Türkiye. He is a Senior Researcher and Policy Analyst in Peace, Security and Conflict Resolution and Associate Member of the African Studies Centre Leiden (ASCL) at Leiden University. Email: [abrahamminko@gmail.com](mailto:abrahamminko@gmail.com). ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-0565-0973>.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

Received: 07.04.2025  
Revised: 29.04.2025  
Accepted: 01.05.2025

© The Author(s) 2025

## Introduction

International humanitarian law and peacebuilding, rooted in a body of international treaties and customary practice, provide the legal framework governing the protection of human rights during and after armed conflict. Its fundamental principles, covering the protection of civilians, the rights of combatants, and humanitarian assistance, carry with them inherent preventive mechanisms. By setting standards for responsible conduct in conflict, international humanitarian law serves as a critical deterrent against the escalation of violence and the commission of atrocities. Resilient peacebuilding, by contrast, is a holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution. It encompasses a range of strategies and interventions aimed at addressing root causes, promoting reconciliation, and facilitating socio-economic development. This approach recognizes that achieving lasting peace requires addressing not only the symptoms but also the underlying structural and societal problems that fuel conflict. The relationship between international humanitarian law and peacebuilding is clarified through their shared civilizing goals and complementarity. Both areas prioritize the protection of civilians, the promotion of human rights and humanitarian assistance. This interrelationship opens up opportunities for joint and strategic interventions that leverage the strengths of each framework. The study carefully examines the various preventive mechanisms that emerge from the synergies between international humanitarian law and peacebuilding in the African context. These mechanisms include early warning and conflict response systems, the incorporation of international legal principles into peace agreements, reconciliation and transitional justice initiatives, and security sector reform.

The African continent has been marred by a long history of conflict and humanitarian crises, creating a complex and deeply entrenched challenge (Sarkin 2010). The spectrum of conflicts in Africa encompasses civil wars, inter-ethnic tensions, and insurgencies, all of which have inflicted significant human suffering, displaced populations, and disrupted economic stability. This persistent recurrence of conflicts underscores the urgent need for comprehensive strategies to both prevent and resolve them (Kalu 2009). The historical context of Africa's conflicts cannot be understated. Many African nations grapple with the legacy of colonization, which imposed artificial borders and exacerbated ethnic tensions (Aboagye 2009). In the post-independence era, these nations faced the daunting task of forging national identities and managing diverse populations within their borders. These historical factors continue to contribute to the region's intricate and multifaceted conflict landscape (Fomekong 2020).

Resource competition is another pivotal factor driving conflict in Africa. The continent is endowed with abundant natural resources, including minerals, oil, and fertile land (Enaifoghe 2022; Likoti 2006). However, competition over the control and exploitation of these resources has often led to armed conflicts and hindered sustainable development (Opongo 2022; Solomon 2024; Ojo-Adewuyi 2024; Afolabi and Marumo 2024). These resource-related conflicts highlight the importance of addressing the economic dimensions of conflict prevention and resolution.

External interventions have also played a significant role in African conflicts (Sarkin 2009; Jeche 2024; Mbanje 2024). Global powers and regional organizations

have been involved, either through military interventions or diplomatic efforts, adding layers of complexity to conflict dynamics. These external actors often pursue their strategic interests, sometimes exacerbating tensions rather than contributing to peace.

Humanitarian crises have tragic consequences for African conflicts. Displaced communities, refugees, and internally displaced persons have become all too common in the continent's conflict zones. The scale of human suffering underscores the urgent need for humanitarian assistance and the protection of civilians caught in the crossfire (Zambara 2010; Kemedjio 2024). Given the multifaceted nature of conflicts in Africa and their devastating impact, there is a growing recognition of the need for innovative approaches to address them comprehensively (Yeboah and Okoro 2024). This research study aims to explore one such approach by examining the relationship between International Humanitarian Law (IHL) and peacebuilding. IHL, a body of international treaties and customary practices, has evolved to govern the conduct of armed conflicts (Yuni, Iloh and Ngang 2025). Its principles, including the protection of civilians, combatant rights, and humanitarian assistance, provide a legal framework designed to alleviate the suffering caused by armed conflicts. However, a critical question arises: can IHL not only serve as a means to mitigate the consequences of conflicts but also contribute proactively to their prevention? In parallel, peacebuilding has emerged as a holistic approach to preventing and resolving conflicts. It recognizes that sustainable peace requires addressing not just the surface-level symptoms but also the root causes of conflicts. This approach emphasizes reconciliation, socio-economic development, and addressing the structural issues that perpetuate violence (Omotola 2025). Within this broader context, this research study aims to delve into the intricate interplay between IHL and peacebuilding in Africa. It seeks to uncover how these two frameworks, with their shared objectives of protecting civilians and promoting human rights, can synergize to create preventive mechanisms that may contribute to reducing the recurrence of conflicts in the region (Kilonzo and Chitando 2023; Singh 2024).

### **Research Objectives and Significance**

The primary objective of this comprehensive research study is to unravel the intricate and symbiotic relationship between IHL and peacebuilding within the African context (Mraja 2023). This relationship, often overlooked in academic and policy discourse, carries profound implications for the prevention and resolution of conflicts on the continent. At its core, this research seeks to answer a critical question: how can IHL and peacebuilding function synergistically as mutually reinforcing pillars in the pursuit of sustainable peace and security in Africa? To achieve this overarching objective, several specific research objectives have been delineated:

- Understanding the African Conflict Landscape: One of the initial aims is to provide a nuanced understanding of the African conflict landscape. This involves dissecting the various factors that contribute to conflicts, including political instability, ethnic tensions, resource competition, and external interventions. Such an understanding is essential to contextualize the role that IHL and peacebuilding can play in mitigating these complex challenges.
- Examining IHL as a Preventive Mechanism: This research delves deeply into the components of IHL, exploring how its fundamental principles, such as the

protection of civilians, combatant rights, and humanitarian assistance, inherently carry preventive mechanisms. By setting standards for responsible behavior in conflict, IHL serves as a critical deterrent against the escalation of violence and the commission of atrocities. This examination aims to illuminate the potential of IHL in preventing conflicts before they escalate.

- **Analyzing Peacebuilding as a Holistic Approach:** Another crucial research objective is to analyze peacebuilding as a holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution. This involves studying the spectrum of strategies and interventions encompassed by peacebuilding, with a focus on addressing root causes, promoting reconciliation, and fostering socio-economic development. Understanding how peacebuilding addresses not only the symptoms but also the underlying structural and societal issues that fuel conflicts is pivotal.
- **Identifying Shared Objectives and Complementarity:** The research investigates the nexus between IHL and peacebuilding by identifying their shared objectives and complementarity. Both frameworks prioritize the protection of civilians, the promotion of human rights, and the provision of humanitarian assistance. Recognizing and understanding these intersections paves the way for collaborative and strategic interventions that leverage the strengths of each framework to maximize their impact on conflict prevention and resolution.
- **Scrutinizing Preventive Mechanisms:** The study scrutinizes various preventive mechanisms that emerge from the synergy between IHL and peacebuilding within the African context. These mechanisms encompass conflict early warning and response systems, the incorporation of IHL principles in peace agreements, reconciliation and transitional justice initiatives, and security sector reform. By investigating the practical applications of these mechanisms, the research elucidates how they contribute concretely to the prevention of armed conflicts and the promotion of lasting peace.

### **Significance of the Research**

This research is of paramount significance in the context of Africa's quest for peace, stability, and development. African governments, regional organizations, and the international community must embrace the synergistic relationship between IHL and peacebuilding as a cornerstone of a comprehensive and holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution on the continent (Muthien 2024). Furthermore, this research study highlights the challenges and limitations that must be addressed to fully realize the potential of the IHL-peacebuilding nexus. It emphasizes the imperative of closing implementation gaps in IHL, navigating political interests among involved parties, and overcoming resource constraints to unlock the transformative potential of this relationship (Troy 2017). Ultimately, the research aims to provide actionable insights and recommendations that can guide policy and practice, offering a roadmap towards a more peaceful and prosperous African continent (McClintock and Brachet 2019). By doing so, it contributes to the broader global efforts to prevent conflicts and alleviate human suffering in regions facing persistent instability and violence (Tschudin 2024).

## **The African Conflict Landscape**

In this part, we delve into the complex and multifaceted African conflict landscape. We aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the various factors that contribute to conflicts across the continent (Mekonnen Mengistu 2015). By examining historical legacies, resource competition, external interventions, and the resulting humanitarian crises, this part sets the stage for understanding the urgency of conflict prevention in Africa.

### **Factors Contributing to Conflict in Africa**

Africa's history has been shaped by a complex web of factors that have contributed to the recurrent conflicts witnessed across the continent. These factors, both historical and contemporary, have often intertwined to create a volatile environment conducive to conflicts.

The enduring impact of colonization stands as a foundational historical factor influencing numerous conflicts across Africa (Sauti and Makaripe 2024). Colonial powers, in their pursuit of imperial dominance, imposed artificial borders that frequently disregarded ethnic, linguistic, and cultural distinctions. In the post-independence era, these imposed boundaries have evolved into sources of tension and conflict, as various groups within these confines strive to assert their identities and interests.

Ethnic and religious diversity, while contributing to cultural richness, has concurrently acted as a catalyst for conflict on the continent. Deep-seated rivalries among ethnic groups and religious disparities have given rise to tensions and, in some instances, violent confrontations. The competition for resources, political power, or territorial control can further intensify these tensions, culminating in localized or widespread conflict (UN 2001).

The abundant natural resources found in Africa, encompassing minerals, oil, arable land, and water sources, have frequently become focal points of contention. The struggle for control over these resources can escalate into armed conflicts as groups or states vie for economic advantages and access to vital commodities (Kim, Mitchell and Wylie 2024). This resource curse phenomenon contributes to the exploitation and looting of resources, perpetuating a cycle of violence.

Political instability, fueled by weak governance structures, corrupt practices, and authoritarian regimes, has played a pivotal role in many African nations (Ottuh 2025). The absence of accountable and transparent governments fosters grievances among populations, leading to protests, rebellions, or civil wars. Moreover, political instability can create power vacuums that armed groups or militias exploit for their gain.

External interventions by global powers and regional organizations in African conflicts have been a double-edged sword. While intended to address and resolve issues, these interventions often complicate matters further. Motivations behind external involvement range from geopolitical interests, such as access to resources, to a desire to shape the political landscape (Sweet 2021). The presence of foreign military forces, peacekeeping missions, or diplomatic interventions can introduce additional complexities to conflict resolution efforts (Gambari 2021).

The humanitarian consequences of these conflicts are profound and devastating for civilian populations. Displaced communities, refugees, and internally displaced persons (IDPs) endure severe hardships. The breakdown of social systems, infrastructure, and access to basic services like healthcare and education exacerbates the suffering of vulnerable populations, leaving an indelible mark on the human fabric of the affected regions (Etefa 2019).

Understanding these multifaceted factors contributing to conflict in Africa is essential for policymakers, researchers, and peacebuilders. It underscores the complexity of the challenges facing the continent and emphasizes the need for holistic and context-specific approaches to conflict prevention and resolution. By addressing the root causes and manifestations of these conflicts, there is a greater chance of fostering lasting peace, stability, and development in Africa.

### **The Urgency of Conflict Prevention**

The urgency of conflict prevention in Africa cannot be overstated, given the profound human, social, and economic costs associated with recurrent conflicts on the continent. These conflicts have far-reaching implications that extend beyond borders, affecting not only African nations but also regional stability and the global community (Makwerere 2024).

At the core of the pressing need for action lies the humanitarian suffering experienced by African populations trapped amid conflicts. Armed confrontations lead to the mass displacement of millions, compelling them to abandon their homes in pursuit of safety. Those displaced, including refugees and internally displaced persons, endure profound hardships, grappling with issues such as food scarcity, inadequate access to clean water, deficient healthcare, and disruptions to the education of children (Nedziwe and Tella 2023). These dire humanitarian conditions underscore the moral imperative to prioritize conflict prevention.

The toll of armed conflicts in Africa extends beyond the immediate loss of lives to encompass the erosion of livelihoods. Beyond direct violence, the secondary effects of conflict, including disease, malnutrition, and insufficient medical care, contribute to a significant human toll. Moreover, these conflicts disrupt livelihoods, resulting in economic setbacks and increased poverty. Destruction of infrastructure in conflict zones further compounds the challenges faced by communities, hindering their ability to rebuild and recover (Tar 2021).

African conflicts seldom confine themselves within national borders; instead, they frequently spill over into neighboring nations, intensifying regional instability. Cross-border conflicts trigger refugee flows, the proliferation of violence, and the mass displacement of populations across regions. This regional instability can have far-reaching global repercussions, influencing the rise of transnational terrorist groups or impacting international trade and diplomacy.

The destructive nature of conflicts in Africa acts as a formidable impediment to development efforts. Scarce resources that could otherwise be allocated to education, healthcare, and infrastructure development are diverted to military expenditures and humanitarian assistance. This diversion hampers progress toward achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), perpetuating cycles of poverty and inequality.

The urgency for conflict prevention is intricately linked with other critical challenges confronting the continent, including climate change, food security, and public health crises. These challenges can exacerbate existing tensions and contribute to the initiation or escalation of conflicts. A comprehensive approach is imperative, combining conflict prevention measures with strategies addressing resilience and sustainable development to effectively tackle these interconnected challenges (Frimpong 2025). In light of these urgent and interconnected challenges, there is a compelling case for proactive and comprehensive conflict prevention strategies in Africa. The international community, African governments, and regional organizations must collaborate to address the root causes of conflicts, promote good governance, and build robust peacebuilding mechanisms. By doing so, they can work toward a more peaceful, stable, and prosperous future for the continent, where the emphasis is on preventing conflicts rather than reacting to their devastating consequences (Moyo and Khumalo 2025).

### **Principles and Framework of IHL**

This part delves into the core principles and framework of IHL. It is critical to establish a solid foundation of knowledge about IHL, as it forms one of the pillars of this study. This part explores the historical development of IHL, its legal framework, and the fundamental principles governing the conduct of armed conflicts. By doing so, we lay the groundwork for understanding how IHL can serve as a preventive mechanism.

International Humanitarian Law, often referred to as the laws of armed conflict or the laws of war, is a body of international law specifically designed to regulate the conduct of parties involved in armed conflicts, with the primary aim of reducing the suffering of civilians and combatants who are no longer taking part in hostilities (de Koningsh 2023). The framework of IHL is rooted in several fundamental principles and legal instruments. At the core of IHL lies the principle of distinction, a fundamental precept that requires parties engaged in armed conflicts to differentiate between combatants and civilians, as well as between civilian objects and military objectives. This foundational principle explicitly prohibits the intentional targeting of civilians and civilian infrastructure. It also mandates that combatants must visibly wear a distinctive uniform or openly carry their weapons to ensure their identification as legitimate targets.

Another key principle within IHL is that of proportionality, dictating that the use of force during armed conflicts must be proportionate to the military objectives pursued (Agbo, Haruna, Oladunni et al. 2024). In essence, the harm inflicted on civilians and civilian objects should not exceed what is deemed necessary for the anticipated military advantage. This principle seeks to strike a delicate balance between the requirements of military necessity and humanitarian considerations.

The principle of humanity underscores the overarching goal of IHL—to alleviate human suffering during armed conflicts. Parties involved in the conflict are obligated to take all necessary measures to protect civilians, the wounded, and individuals no longer participating in hostilities. This obligation includes providing medical care and humanitarian assistance to those in need, irrespective of their affiliation or status.

Recognizing the distinct roles of neutral states and impartial humanitarian organizations in armed conflicts, IHL incorporates the principle of neutrality. Neutral states are expected to refrain from taking sides in the conflict, while impartial humanitarian organizations, such as the Red Cross and Red Crescent, are granted special protection and privileges to provide aid and assistance to those affected by the conflict.

IHL is codified in various legal instruments, with the four Geneva Conventions of 1949 and their Additional Protocols of 1977 serving as primary pillars. These conventions delineate the rights and protections afforded to the wounded, sick, shipwrecked, prisoners of war, and civilians in times of armed conflict.

The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (ICC) further complements IHL by establishing individual criminal responsibility for war crimes. In addition to treaty-based IHL, customary international law plays a crucial role. This body of law consists of practices and rules that have evolved and are universally accepted as legally binding. Customary IHL principles, such as the prohibition of torture, the protection of cultural property, and the ban on the use of certain weapons, apply across both international and non-international armed conflicts, forming an essential part of the global legal framework.

IHL's framework and principles serve as a critical foundation for governing the behavior of parties involved in armed conflicts. By setting standards for responsible conduct during times of war, IHL aims to reduce the suffering and devastation caused by armed conflicts and to uphold the dignity and rights of individuals, particularly civilians and those who are no longer participating in hostilities. Understanding and upholding these principles are essential to achieving the overarching goal of IHL: to mitigate the human cost of war and promote respect for humanitarian values even amid armed conflict.

### **IHL as a Preventive Mechanism**

While IHL is primarily known for its role in regulating the conduct of armed conflicts and mitigating the suffering caused by such conflicts, it also plays a vital role as a preventive mechanism, contributing to the deterrence of armed conflicts and the promotion of peace. This preventive aspect of IHL is often less recognized but holds significant potential in the broader context of conflict prevention.

The IHL plays a pivotal role in setting clear and binding standards for the conduct of parties involved in armed conflicts. By doing so, it sends a robust message to potential belligerents that there are legal and moral limits to the use of force. This aspect of IHL acts as a deterrent, dissuading parties from engaging in actions that would violate these standards, as such actions could lead to international condemnation and legal consequences.

A fundamental principle of IHL is the protection of civilians and civilian objects, emphasizing the importance of safeguarding non-combatants. By focusing on this principle, IHL encourages parties in conflict to consider the potential harm inflicted on civilian populations. The awareness that violations of these principles can result in reputational damage and potential legal accountability acts as a powerful disincentive against targeting civilians (Kemedjio 2024).

IHL mandates that parties to a conflict allow and facilitate the delivery of humanitarian assistance to those in need, irrespective of their affiliation. This provision underscores the significance of providing aid to affected populations. The knowledge that humanitarian organizations can operate independently and safely in conflict zones contributes to reducing the severity of crises, making parties more willing to seek peaceful solutions.

Combatant rights form another crucial aspect of IHL, granting certain protections to combatants, including prisoners of war and those who are no longer participating in hostilities. By ensuring that even captured combatants are treated with dignity and respect, IHL incentivizes compliance with the law and the humane treatment of individuals in custody. This approach can contribute to mitigating the hostility and brutality of conflicts.

IHL's principle of proportionality, which mandates that the use of force must be proportionate to military objectives, acts as a preventive mechanism by discouraging parties from engaging in actions that might escalate conflicts. Understanding that disproportionate actions may lead to unintended consequences encourages parties to exercise restraint and explore diplomatic alternatives. Moreover, IHL contributes to the establishment of a normative framework that promotes respect for international law and humanitarian values. This framework influences state behavior by shaping the expectations of the international community and fostering a culture of compliance with legal norms (Łagiewska 2024). States and non-state actors may be more inclined to seek peaceful resolutions to disputes within this framework, contributing to a more stable and just global order.

In summary, IHL's preventive role goes beyond mitigating the consequences of conflicts; it encompasses efforts to deter the outbreak of armed conflicts in the first place. By setting standards, protecting civilians, and promoting humanitarian values, IHL encourages parties to conflicts to consider the costs and consequences of their actions. This, in turn, contributes to the broader goals of peace and stability by preventing conflicts and encouraging the pursuit of peaceful and diplomatic solutions to disputes.

## **Peacebuilding in Africa**

This part shifts the focus to peacebuilding as a holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution. We explore the diverse strategies and interventions that fall under the umbrella of peacebuilding. These include reconciliation efforts, socio-economic development initiatives, and addressing root causes. Understanding peacebuilding in depth is essential to recognize its complementarity with IHL.

## **Holistic Approach to Conflict Prevention and Resolution**

Peacebuilding, as a holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution, represents a paradigm shift in how the international community addresses conflicts. It recognizes that enduring peace cannot be achieved by simply silencing the guns or brokering ceasefires; it demands a comprehensive understanding of the root causes, dynamics, and context of conflicts (Ibnouf 2020).

Peacebuilding, at its core, transcends conventional conflict management strategies, adopting a comprehensive and multifaceted approach (Harris 2021). A fundamental principle of peacebuilding involves addressing the root causes of conflicts. Rather than merely responding to surface-level symptoms, this approach delves into understanding and resolving the underlying issues that fuel violence (Khadiagala 2021). Factors such as political grievances, economic inequalities, ethnic or religious tensions, and historical legacies of violence are scrutinized. By tackling these fundamental issues, peacebuilders aim to prevent the recurrence of conflicts.

Reconciliation stands as a cornerstone of peacebuilding, acknowledging the deep divisions and wounds caused by conflicts. This facet involves fostering dialogue, promoting truth and reconciliation commissions, and encouraging forgiveness and understanding among conflicting parties. Such efforts are indispensable for building trust and social cohesion in post-conflict societies.

Recognizing the intrinsic link between sustainable peace and socio-economic development, peacebuilding initiatives encompass rebuilding infrastructure, revitalizing economies, and providing opportunities for marginalized populations. By addressing issues like poverty, unemployment, and inequality, peacebuilding aims to reduce the socio-economic grievances that can ignite conflicts (Ayissi 2021).

Inclusive political processes represent a key element of peacebuilding. This entails ensuring that all relevant stakeholders, including women, youth, and minority groups, have a seat at the table in peace negotiations and post-conflict governance. Inclusive decision-making processes are vital for building enduring peace by preventing the exclusion of any group that could potentially become a source of future conflict.

Peacebuilders adopt a transformative approach, focusing on changing the nature of conflicts rather than merely suppressing them. Conflict transformation involves shifting parties from a zero-sum mentality to one of cooperation and collaboration. This approach encourages dialogue, negotiation, and non-violent means of resolving disputes.

Establishing the rule of law and delivering justice are integral aspects of peacebuilding. This may entail setting up mechanisms for transitional justice, such as truth commissions or war crimes tribunals, to address past atrocities and provide accountability. A functioning and the fair legal system is essential for building trust and ensuring that grievances are addressed through legal means.

Security sector reform is often included in peacebuilding efforts, encompassing the transformation of security sectors, including the police and military. The objective is to ensure that these entities prioritize the protection of civilians and adhere to human rights standards. Transforming security forces from instruments of repression to protectors of the population is crucial for building trust and stability.

In essence, peacebuilding recognizes that a one-size-fits-all approach to conflict resolution is inadequate. Each conflict is unique, shaped by its own historical, social, and political context. Therefore, peacebuilding seeks to tailor strategies to the specific circumstances of each conflict, addressing the root causes while promoting reconciliation, development, inclusivity, and justice.

This holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution acknowledges the interconnections between different aspects of society and conflict dynamics. By

understanding and addressing these interconnections, peacebuilding aims to create a stable and lasting peace that can withstand the challenges of post-conflict transitions and contribute to the long-term well-being of affected populations.

### **The Role of Peacebuilding in Sustainable Peace**

Peacebuilding is instrumental in the pursuit of sustainable peace by addressing the complex and interconnected factors that give rise to conflicts and by fostering the conditions necessary for lasting stability and development. It is not merely about ending hostilities but about creating the foundations for societies to thrive in peace. Several key elements highlight the pivotal role of peacebuilding in achieving sustainable peace.

At its essence, peacebuilding is characterized by a proactive approach to conflict prevention and resolution. The overarching goal is to forestall the eruption of conflicts and resolve existing ones through diplomatic means. By diligently identifying and addressing root causes, peacebuilding endeavors to create an environment where disputes are managed peacefully, averting the escalation into violence (Mayanja 2024). In situations of ongoing conflict, peacebuilders engage in negotiation, mediation, and dialogue to convene conflicting parties and craft sustainable peace agreements.

Post-conflict stabilization is a critical facet of peacebuilding efforts, particularly in the aftermath of conflicts. These endeavors focus on stabilizing fragile post-conflict environments, encompassing the disarmament of combatants, ensuring civilian security, and rebuilding essential infrastructure. Stabilization aims to establish a secure environment wherein communities can recover from the trauma of conflict and initiate the process of rebuilding their lives (Awasom 2024).

Acknowledging that the wounds of conflict run deep, peacebuilding prioritizes reconciliation as an imperative for sustainable peace. This intricate process involves recognizing past wrongs, promoting truth and justice, and fostering forgiveness and understanding. Reconciliation efforts play a pivotal role in rebuilding trust and social cohesion within divided societies, laying the foundation for peaceful coexistence.

In the pursuit of sustainable peace, peacebuilding emphasizes the necessity of inclusive governance structures and institutions representing all segments of society. Advocating for inclusive political processes and responsive institutions, including women, youth, and minority groups, is paramount. Inclusive governance serves to prevent the marginalization of certain segments of society, a potential catalyst for future conflicts.

Economic stability and development are deemed essential components of peacebuilding, as they contribute to the maintenance of peace. Initiatives within peacebuilding aim to rebuild economies, create jobs, and provide opportunities for communities affected by conflict. Socio-economic development not only reduces grievances and inequalities that can fuel violence but also enhances the overall well-being of societies.

Conflict transformation stands as a core principle of peacebuilding, emphasizing the alteration of conflict dynamics from violent confrontations to peaceful negotiations. This approach encourages conflicting parties to engage in dialogue, find common ground, and seek non-violent solutions to their differences. Conflict transformation

acknowledges that conflicts may persist but endeavors to manage them without resorting to violence.

Integral to sustainable peace are the principles of upholding human rights and the rule of law. Peacebuilders work towards establishing legal systems that protect individual rights and ensure accessible and fair justice. This fosters a sense of accountability and trust in legal institutions, contributing to the prevention of the re-emergence of conflicts.

In essence, peacebuilding is a long-term and comprehensive endeavor that recognizes that peace is not merely the absence of violence but the presence of justice, reconciliation, and social harmony (Maphaka, Tsholo and Zondi 2024). By addressing the underlying causes of conflicts and promoting these essential elements, peacebuilding contributes significantly to the achievement of sustainable peace, ensuring that communities can thrive and prosper in the aftermath of violence and turmoil.

### **The Nexus between IHL and Peacebuilding**

This part is at the heart of this study, as it examines the interplay between IHL and peacebuilding. Here, we analyze how these two frameworks share common objectives and are inherently complementary. By drawing connections between the principles of IHL and the strategies of peacebuilding, we highlight the potential for collaboration and synergy.

#### **Shared Objectives and Complementarity**

The nexus between IHL and peacebuilding is illuminated through their shared objectives and inherent complementarity. These two vital frameworks, though distinct in their primary functions, converge in their overarching goals and values, offering a foundation for synergistic efforts in conflict prevention and resolution.

The protection of civilians emerges as a central and shared objective between IHL and peacebuilding, emphasizing the importance of shielding non-combatants caught amid armed conflicts. IHL, with its core principles, mandates the safeguarding of non-combatants, while peacebuilding endeavors to create environments where civilians can live free from violence. This mutual commitment to the well-being of civilian populations establishes a robust foundation for collaboration between the two frameworks (Boadu 2025).

Both IHL and peacebuilding prioritize the promotion and preservation of human rights, underlining the fundamental importance of respecting and protecting these rights even in times of armed conflict. IHL ensures the preservation of human rights during conflicts, while peacebuilding strives to establish societies where rights such as the right to life, liberty, and security of the person are upheld. This alignment underscores the significance of human dignity within both frameworks.

The provision of humanitarian assistance during armed conflicts is a shared focus in both IHL and peacebuilding. IHL mandates that parties to a conflict allow and facilitate the delivery of aid to those in need. Simultaneously, peacebuilding often involves humanitarian efforts to address immediate needs in conflict-affected areas. This shared

commitment to humanitarian assistance creates a basis for collaboration in providing relief to vulnerable populations.

While IHL primarily operates within the context of armed conflicts, its principles inherently carry preventive mechanisms by setting standards for responsible behavior in conflict situations. IHL serves as a critical deterrent against the escalation of violence and the commission of atrocities. In contrast, peacebuilding explicitly focuses on conflict prevention and addresses the root causes that fuel conflicts. The convergence of these complementary approaches highlights the value of combining efforts to prevent conflicts before they erupt.

Both IHL and peacebuilding are deeply rooted in humanitarian values that prioritize the well-being and dignity of individuals. Compassion, impartiality, and the desire to alleviate human suffering are shared values that guide practitioners in both fields. By aligning their actions with these values, practitioners can collaborate to create environments that reflect these shared humanitarian principles (Fiseha 2024).

The intersection of IHL and peacebuilding presents opportunities for strategic interventions. For instance, peacebuilding initiatives may incorporate IHL principles into peace agreements, ensuring that parties commit to upholding humanitarian standards during post-conflict transitions. Similarly, IHL considerations can inform the design and implementation of conflict prevention strategies within peacebuilding frameworks, demonstrating the potential for synergistic efforts to enhance the effectiveness of both approaches.

This shared commitment to humanitarian values, human rights, and the protection of civilians creates a strong foundation for collaborative efforts in conflict-affected regions (Opongo 2022). While IHL focuses on mitigating the consequences of conflicts, peacebuilding takes a proactive approach to addressing root causes and preventing conflicts from erupting. By recognizing their complementarity and synergizing their strengths, these frameworks have the potential to create a more comprehensive and effective approach to conflict prevention and resolution, ultimately contributing to the pursuit of sustainable peace and security.

## **Opportunities for Collaborative Interventions**

The nexus between IHL and peacebuilding offers numerous opportunities for collaborative interventions that leverage the strengths of each framework to advance conflict prevention and resolution efforts. These collaborative initiatives can address the complex challenges presented by conflicts in Africa and beyond, fostering a more comprehensive and effective approach (Mișcoiu and Petrica 2023).

Effective collaboration can significantly enhance conflict early warning and response systems. IHL, with its primary focus on the protection of civilians, offers invaluable data and insights into conflict dynamics. This information becomes a critical resource for peacebuilders, enabling them to anticipate and respond to emerging crises. The collaborative efforts between IHL and peacebuilding initiatives empower preventive measures, mediation of disputes, and diplomatic engagements before conflicts escalate, contributing to more effective conflict prevention strategies (Wani 2021).

In the realm of conflict resolution, peace agreements play a central role, and collaborative approaches can strengthen their impact. By integrating IHL principles into these agreements, parties commit to upholding humanitarian standards even as they work towards peace. This incorporation reinforces the paramount importance of respecting human rights and safeguarding civilians throughout the peace process, fostering a more just and sustainable resolution.

Collaborative interventions significantly enhance reconciliation and transitional justice efforts. IHL provides a framework for addressing crimes committed during armed conflicts, and peacebuilding initiatives complement this by facilitating truth and reconciliation commissions, reparations, and community-level reconciliation dialogues. Together, these approaches promote healing, justice, and social cohesion, providing a comprehensive foundation for post-conflict recovery.

Transforming security sectors is integral to building sustainable peace, and collaborative interventions ensure alignment with IHL principles. By emphasizing the protection of civilians and respect for human rights, peacebuilding initiatives support security sector reform through the promotion of community policing, accountability mechanisms, and inclusive governance (Mzali 2018.). Such collaboration enhances the effectiveness of efforts to create security structures that serve the well-being of the population.

Collaborative capacity-building efforts are pivotal for empowering local actors in conflict zones. By jointly offering training and technical assistance, IHL and peacebuilding practitioners strengthen the skills and knowledge of governments, civil society organizations, and security forces. This collaborative approach enables local stakeholders to engage effectively in conflict prevention, human rights protection, and peace promotion, fostering self-sufficiency and sustainability.

Cross-sectoral partnerships between IHL organizations, peacebuilding NGOs, and humanitarian agencies amplify the impact of interventions. By pooling diverse expertise, resources, and networks, these collaborations address the multifaceted challenges of conflicts in a more coordinated and holistic manner. Such partnerships create a comprehensive approach to conflict prevention and resolution, leveraging the strengths of each sector.

Collaborative advocacy efforts play a crucial role in raising awareness about the importance of IHL and peacebuilding. By jointly advocating for adherence to humanitarian principles and the prevention of conflicts, organizations from both fields can influence policymakers, governments, and the public. This collaborative advocacy shapes the discourse around conflict prevention and resolution, fostering a broader understanding of the collective efforts required to address these complex challenges.

These collaborative interventions highlight the synergistic potential of IHL and peacebuilding when working together to address conflicts. By combining their expertise, mandates, and resources, practitioners from both fields can develop more effective strategies that take into account the complexities of conflict situations. Ultimately, these collaborations contribute to a more comprehensive and coordinated approach to preventing conflicts, protecting civilians, and promoting sustainable peace and security.

## **Preventive Mechanisms**

In this part, we scrutinize specific preventive mechanisms that emerge from the synergy between IHL and peacebuilding within the African context. These mechanisms include early warning and response systems for conflicts, the integration of IHL principles into peace agreements, initiatives related to reconciliation and transitional justice, and the reform of security sectors. Each of these mechanisms is explored in detail to demonstrate their practical contributions to conflict prevention and resolution.

### **Conflict Early Warning and Response Systems**

In the context of Africa, where recurring conflicts and complex security challenges persist, Conflict Early Warning and Response Systems (CEWRS) hold particular significance. These systems are instrumental in detecting and mitigating potential conflicts in a region marked by diverse conflict dynamics, ethnic tensions, resource competition, and fragile governance structures. CEWRS within the African system benefits from collaborative efforts that involve IHL and peacebuilding initiatives.

Regional mechanisms in Africa play a pivotal role in Conflict Early Warning and Response Systems (CEWRS), with organizations like the African Union, Regional Economic Communities (RECs), and specialized institutions like the African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) serving as vital platforms for the development and implementation of CEWRS. Collaborative interventions can further enhance the capacity of these regional bodies, enabling them to monitor and respond to conflicts more effectively (Marenaya 2023; Rokhideh, Fearnley and Budimir 2025).

Cross-border dynamics often characterize conflicts in Africa, transcending national borders. Collaborative CEWRS efforts are crucial in addressing these transboundary challenges by facilitating information-sharing and coordinated responses among neighboring countries. The principles of IHL, particularly those related to the protection of civilians and the conduct of armed conflicts, can offer valuable insights to analyze cross-border conflict scenarios.

Effective CEWRS in Africa requires an understanding not only of early warning signs but also of the root causes of conflicts. IHL's focus on addressing underlying structural and societal issues aligns with peacebuilding approaches. Collaboratively, CEWRS can engage in comprehensive conflict analysis to identify root causes and inform preventive strategies, promoting a more holistic and sustainable approach.

Recognizing that communities often stand at the forefront of conflict dynamics in many African contexts, collaborative CEWRS initiatives can emphasize local and community engagement. Involving local actors, civil society organizations, and traditional leaders in early warning and response efforts ensures that these systems are more contextually relevant and effective, drawing on the rich knowledge and perspectives of those directly affected by conflicts.

CEWRS within the African context can benefit from the involvement of IHL practitioners who engage with armed actors to promote adherence to humanitarian principles. Concurrently, peacebuilding initiatives can facilitate diplomacy and mediation efforts to address emerging conflicts. Collaborative efforts enhance the

synergy between these preventive measures, fostering a more comprehensive and integrated approach to conflict prevention and resolution.

Addressing resource constraints and capacity-building needs is another area where collaborative interventions can make a significant impact within CEWRS. By pooling resources and expertise, IHL and peacebuilding actors can support the development and strengthening of early warning systems, ensuring they are adequately staffed, technologically equipped, and capable of producing timely and accurate assessments (Engel 2023).

Effective coordination mechanisms are critical for CEWRS in Africa due to the multitude of stakeholders involved. Collaborative efforts can establish clear coordination structures involving government agencies, regional bodies, civil society organizations, and international partners. These mechanisms facilitate the timely sharing of information and the implementation of rapid responses, contributing to a more streamlined and efficient CEWRS.

In summary, CEWRS within the African context benefits from collaborative interventions that bridge the expertise of IHL and peacebuilding initiatives. By working together, these approaches can contribute to more accurate conflict prevention, enhanced protection of civilians, and the promotion of lasting peace and security across the continent. In a region where conflict prevention is of paramount importance, collaborative CEWRS represents a holistic and proactive approach to addressing the diverse and evolving challenges of conflict.

### **Incorporation of IHL Principles in Peace Agreements**

Incorporating IHL principles into peace agreements within the African context represents a crucial step toward achieving lasting and just resolutions to conflicts on the continent. This practice aligns with the broader goal of promoting respect for humanitarian values, the protection of civilians, and the prevention of further violence.

In Africa, conflicts often unfold within complex and protracted dynamics involving multiple armed groups, governments, and diverse stakeholders. Recognizing the conflict-specific relevance of IHL principles, incorporating them into peace agreements becomes crucial. This recognition extends to addressing pertinent issues such as the treatment of prisoners of war, the protection of civilians, and the prohibition of certain weapons, all of which are indispensable for mitigating the human cost associated with African conflicts (Papale 2025).

Peace agreements that integrate IHL principles provide humanitarian safeguards for both civilians and combatants. Emphasizing the importance of respecting fundamental human rights and protecting vulnerable populations during and after conflicts, these agreements may include provisions for safe humanitarian access, the humane treatment of detainees, and the demilitarization of civilian areas.

The integration of IHL principles into peace agreements goes beyond humanitarian safeguards; it enhances the legitimacy and accountability of the accords. Committing to uphold humanitarian standards demonstrates a dedication to international law and humanitarian values. Such commitment not only enhances the legitimacy of the signatories both domestically and internationally but also establishes a basis for

accountability, as violations of IHL principles can be subject to scrutiny and consequences.

African conflicts are often marred by cycles of violence and fragile peace agreements. The inclusion of IHL principles in peace accords plays a significant role in breaking these cycles by emphasizing the importance of preventing the recurrence of hostilities. Provisions related to the disarmament and demobilization of combatants, the protection of civilian infrastructure, and the removal of landmines, for instance, contribute to creating conditions conducive to sustainable peace (Rosas Duarte and Souza 2024).

The inclusion of IHL principles in peace agreements requires diplomatic efforts and negotiations aligned with humanitarian diplomacy. This approach underscores the promotion of humanitarian values and the reduction of human suffering as essential components of peace processes. Humanitarian diplomacy encourages conflicting parties to prioritize the protection of civilians and adhere to humanitarian norms, fostering an environment conducive to lasting peace (Turunen 2020).

Moreover, the incorporation of IHL principles into peace agreements contributes to the normative influence of humanitarian law. This influence transcends the specific conflict context and can shape the behavior of both state and non-state actors in future conflicts. Over time, the consistent inclusion of IHL principles in African peace agreements has the potential to contribute to a broader culture of respect for international law and humanitarian values.

The incorporation of IHL principles in peace agreements within the African context serves as a powerful means to promote the protection of civilians, and human rights, and the prevention of further violence. By recognizing the relevance of IHL to the specific dynamics of African conflicts, peace agreements can provide humanitarian safeguards, enhance legitimacy and accountability, prevent the recurrence of hostilities, and contribute to the normative influence of humanitarian law (Kwon 2020; Engelbrekt 2023). This practice underscores the importance of upholding humanitarian values in pursuit of sustainable peace and security on the continent.

## **Reconciliation and Transitional Justice Initiatives**

In the African context, reconciliation and transitional justice initiatives hold significant importance for post-conflict societies grappling with the legacy of violence and division. These initiatives represent an essential step towards healing wounds, rebuilding trust, and fostering social cohesion in the aftermath of conflicts. Within this context, several key considerations underscore the significance of these efforts.

African conflicts are often deeply rooted in history, shaped by intricate factors such as colonial legacies, ethnic tensions, and longstanding grievances. Initiatives focused on reconciliation and transitional justice acknowledge the imperative of addressing these historical complexities. They provide a crucial platform for acknowledging past wrongs, delving into the historical context of conflicts, and fostering understanding among different groups.

Truth and Reconciliation Commissions (TRCs) have been established in many African countries to unearth the truth about past atrocities and human rights abuses (Graham 2022). These commissions serve as forums where victims can share their

stories and seek acknowledgment of their suffering. Moreover, TRCs aim to create an official record of past events, promoting reconciliation by nurturing a shared understanding of the historical context.

Transitional justice initiatives within the African context often incorporate measures to ensure accountability for crimes committed during conflicts. This may involve the prosecution of individuals responsible for war crimes, genocide, or crimes against humanity. Beyond seeking justice for victims, these measures act as deterrents against future violations.

Reconciliation efforts in Africa frequently integrate local and traditional approaches to conflict resolution. Traditional leaders and customary justice systems play a pivotal role in resolving disputes and promoting reconciliation at the community level. Emphasizing community ownership of the reconciliation process, these approaches contribute to long-term stability.

Effective reconciliation and transitional justice initiatives prioritize inclusive participation, ensuring a broad range of stakeholders, including women, youth, and minority groups, have a voice in shaping the processes and outcomes. Inclusivity fosters a sense of ownership among all segments of society, helping prevent the marginalization of vulnerable groups (Xu, Wan and Chen 2024).

Transitional justice often includes measures to provide reparations and support to victims of conflict-related violence. Such measures encompass compensation, rehabilitation, and psychosocial support, addressing the needs of victims to restore their dignity and facilitate their reintegration into society.

Successful reconciliation initiatives recognize that healing extends beyond individuals to entire communities. They promote community-based healing and reintegration programs that address the collective trauma experienced during conflicts. These programs encompass efforts to rebuild infrastructure, revive local economies, and restore social services.

In the African context, reconciliation and transitional justice initiatives play a vital role in addressing the profound social, political, and psychological impacts of conflicts (Schliesser 2024). They offer a pathway toward healing, justice, and the reintegration of formerly divided societies. By acknowledging historical complexities, involving local approaches, and ensuring inclusivity, these initiatives contribute to the broader goals of lasting peace and stability on the continent.

## **Security Sector Reform**

Security Sector Reform (SSR) holds immense significance within the African context as it addresses the intricate challenges posed by post-conflict and conflict-prone environments. In Africa, many conflicts are characterized by a volatile mix of armed groups, government forces, and external interventions. SSR initiatives within the African context are pivotal in transforming security institutions, restoring public trust, and promoting the rule of law. Several key considerations highlight the importance and complexities of SSR efforts in Africa.

African nations grapple with the enduring legacies of authoritarian rule, military coups, and human rights abuses, shaping the landscape of their security sectors. Security Sector Reform (SSR) emerges as a transformative endeavor seeking to break

these cycles by advancing civilian control over security, promoting demilitarization, and upholding human rights standards. The imperative lies in addressing historical legacies to forge trust between security institutions and the populace.

In the context of African conflicts, civilians often face profound threats, including displacement, sexual violence, and attacks on communities. SSR initiatives prioritize the protection of civilians by reshaping security forces to champion human rights and adhere to international humanitarian law. This transformation involves the comprehensive training of security personnel, instilling a commitment to prioritize the safety and well-being of civilians in their actions (Hope 2023).

Ensuring accountability for the actions of security forces during conflicts is a recurrent theme in SSR efforts across Africa. Mechanisms for accountability may involve prosecuting individuals responsible for human rights violations and establishing oversight bodies to monitor security institutions. These measures aim to address impunity, provide justice for victims, and act as deterrents against future abuses.

A critical facet of SSR in conflict-affected African nations is demilitarization, encompassing the disarmament and demobilization of combatants, reduction of armed groups' influence, and control of weapons proliferation. This process is indispensable for fostering a secure environment conducive to peacebuilding and development. Effective SSR initiatives place a premium on inclusivity and representation within security institutions. Diversification efforts include integrating women, minority groups, and underrepresented communities into security forces. Inclusive security institutions are better positioned to address the diverse needs and concerns of the population, thereby mitigating potential grievances that could fuel conflicts (Farah Hersi and Akinola 2024).

Civilian oversight and good governance principles are central to SSR endeavors. Transparent and accountable security institutions operating within the rule of law framework are increasingly recognized as pivotal in African countries. Strengthening governance within the security sector contributes to stability and mitigates the risk of abuses.

Building trust between security forces and communities is a fundamental aspect of SSR in Africa. Tensions and conflicts between security forces and marginalized communities necessitate community engagement efforts within SSR initiatives. These efforts foster dialogue, rebuild trust, and strive to reshape the perception of security institutions from potential threats to protectors.

Capacity building and training programs form a cornerstone of SSR, enhancing the skills and knowledge of security personnel. Such programs cover areas including human rights, conflict resolution, and community policing. Well-trained security personnel are better equipped to navigate complex conflict dynamics and effectively protect civilians.

In summary, SSR within the African context is a multifaceted and transformative process that addresses the unique challenges posed by conflicts and post-conflict environments. By prioritizing civilian control, human rights protection, accountability, and community engagement, SSR initiatives contribute to the broader goals of sustainable peace, security, and development in Africa. The complexities and historical

legacies of African conflicts make SSR efforts crucial for breaking cycles of violence and building resilient societies.

### **Challenges and Limitations**

This part acknowledges and analyzes the challenges and limitations of the IHL-peacebuilding nexus. We address issues such as the implementation gaps in IHL, the influence of political interests in peacebuilding efforts, and the constraints posed by limited resources. Identifying and understanding these challenges is crucial for crafting effective strategies to overcome them.

#### **Implementation Gaps in IHL**

In the African context, as in many regions globally, there are notable implementation gaps when it comes to IHL. While IHL provides a vital legal framework governing the conduct of armed conflicts, several challenges hinder its effective implementation across the continent.

A critical challenge in the effective implementation of IHL in Africa is the limited awareness and understanding of its principles among various stakeholders (Omona 2024). This lack of awareness extends to military personnel, armed groups, and even some governments. The absence of adequate training and education on IHL can lead to violations as these stakeholders may not fully grasp their obligations under international law.

The enforcement of IHL poses a significant challenge on the African continent. Weak or fragmented legal structures, particularly in conflict-affected countries, hinder efforts to hold violators accountable for their actions. This lack of accountability contributes to ongoing violations and undermines the credibility of IHL. The complexities of African conflicts, involving diverse actors such as state and non-state armed groups, regional powers, and international interventions, further complicate the attribution of responsibility for violations and their effective address under IHL.

Resource constraints represent a substantial impediment to the full adherence to IHL principles in many African countries. Insufficient funding and capacity limitations in terms of legal expertise and infrastructure hinder the effective implementation of IHL. Political interests and power dynamics also play a significant role, with governments sometimes prioritizing their political objectives over their international law obligations, leading to violations and impunity for perpetrators.

Access constraints in conflict zones present a formidable challenge to the delivery of humanitarian assistance as outlined by IHL. Armed groups, government forces, and various actors often restrict access to vulnerable populations, hindering the provision of essential aid. Moreover, the influence of non-state armed groups, prevalent in many African conflicts, further complicates the accountability for violations, as these groups may not recognize the legal obligations imposed by international treaties governing IHL.

Inconsistencies in the implementation of IHL across African states add another layer of complexity. While some countries have made efforts to incorporate IHL principles into their legal frameworks and policies, others may lag, resulting in

inconsistencies in the application of IHL standards. Furthermore, IHL faces challenges in the realm of conflict prevention, where insufficient efforts to address root causes often lead to the perpetuation of violence and the erosion of respect for IHL.

Addressing these implementation gaps in IHL within the African context requires a concerted effort from governments, international organizations, civil society, and the international community. Strengthening awareness, capacity-building, legal frameworks, and accountability mechanisms are critical steps toward improving the application of IHL principles and promoting a culture of compliance with humanitarian norms in African conflicts.

### **Political Interests and Peacebuilding**

Peacebuilding efforts in Africa are often influenced by complex political interests that can both enable and obstruct progress toward sustainable peace. Understanding the interplay of these interests is crucial for effective peacebuilding within the African context.

Africa's geopolitical landscape is intricately shaped by competing geostrategic interests, with the continent's abundant natural resources, strategic location, and economic potential drawing the attention of regional and global powers. This involvement often translates into complex dynamics that can hinder peacebuilding efforts. External actors may pursue their political, economic, and security agendas, leading to complications such as proxy wars and support for specific factions, thereby exacerbating conflicts.

The principle of state sovereignty stands as a central challenge to peacebuilding in Africa. Governments, protective of their autonomy, are often wary of external interference even in the face of conflicts that pose regional threats. Striking a delicate balance between respecting state sovereignty and addressing humanitarian crises and human rights abuses is a significant political challenge in the peacebuilding process.

Ethnic and identity politics frequently contribute to the complexity of African conflicts. Political elites may exploit ethnic divisions, aggravating tensions and fostering violence for personal gain. Navigating these intricate identity dynamics becomes crucial for peacebuilding initiatives, necessitating inclusive dialogue and reconciliation while mitigating the risk of further polarization.

Resource competition, encompassing land, water, minerals, and other valuable assets, serves as a driver for conflicts in Africa. Political and economic dimensions often intersect as powerful actors seek to control and exploit resources. Successfully managing conflicts related to resources requires addressing both the political and economic aspects of the issue (Boadu 2025).

Transitional politics often characterize peacebuilding efforts, involving processes like power-sharing agreements and transitional governments. While these arrangements may serve short-term political interests, they also present challenges for long-term stability. Striking a balance between political compromises and the establishment of accountable and legitimate governance structures becomes imperative in navigating transitional political landscapes (Karamalla-Gaiballa 2025).

International partnerships are integral to African peacebuilding, involving collaboration with entities such as the United Nations, regional organizations, and

donor countries. The interests of these external actors significantly shape the direction and priorities of peacebuilding initiatives. Ensuring alignment between international and African interests emerges as a crucial factor for the success of peacebuilding endeavors.

Economic interests, spanning trade, investment, and infrastructure development, play a significant role in influencing peacebuilding efforts in Africa. While economic incentives may motivate constructive engagement in peace processes, they can also lead to competition and conflicts over economic opportunities.

Civil society organizations play a critical role in advancing peacebuilding initiatives in Africa. They act as advocates for peace, provide humanitarian assistance, and hold governments and armed groups accountable. However, these organizations may face political pressure and restrictions on their activities, highlighting the inherent tension between political interests and the peacebuilding efforts of civil society (Olaitan 2024).

Navigating these political interests in peacebuilding requires a nuanced and context-specific approach. Effective peacebuilding efforts must be informed by a deep understanding of the local, regional, and international political dynamics at play. Balancing the pursuit of peace with the preservation of sovereignty and addressing the root causes of conflicts while managing political compromises is a complex and ongoing challenge within the African context (Sule 2024).

## **Resource Constraints**

Resource constraints represent a significant challenge in the context of peacebuilding efforts in Africa. These constraints encompass financial limitations, limited infrastructure, and human capacity challenges, all of which can hinder the effectiveness of peacebuilding initiatives on the continent.

A significant hurdle in successful peacebuilding endeavors across many African countries is the challenge of securing adequate funding. Resource constraints often translate into limited budgets allocated to critical peacebuilding activities, encompassing conflict prevention, reconciliation, and development projects. The shortage of funds can impede the comprehensive implementation of these vital programs, hindering progress in building sustainable peace.

Building and sustaining a skilled workforce for peacebuilding efforts present considerable human capacity challenges. Resource limitations frequently hinder the ability of governments and civil society organizations to recruit, train, and retain qualified personnel specializing in conflict resolution, peacebuilding, and humanitarian assistance. This deficiency in human capital can disrupt the delivery of essential services and jeopardize the long-term viability of peace initiatives.

Inadequate infrastructure, spanning transportation, communication networks, and basic services, poses another obstacle to effective peacebuilding activities. Insufficient infrastructure makes it challenging to access remote or conflict-affected areas, impeding the delivery of humanitarian aid, the mobilization of peacekeepers, and the execution of development projects. Addressing infrastructure deficiencies is crucial for ensuring the reach and impact of peacebuilding efforts.

The digital divide emerges as a significant concern within the African context, impacting peacebuilding efforts. Limited access to technology and the internet affects

data collection, information sharing, and communication among stakeholders. This technological constraint can impede the monitoring of conflicts, coordination of responses, and engagement with affected communities, thereby hindering the efficiency of peacebuilding initiatives.

Many African peacebuilding initiatives heavily rely on external donors for funding and resources, underscoring the challenge of donor dependence. While international support is indispensable, overreliance on donors can lead to issues such as donor fatigue, shifting priorities, and funding uncertainties (Fukutomi 2024). This dependence creates vulnerabilities in the sustainability of peacebuilding programs, emphasizing the need for diverse and locally sourced funding.

Resource constraints often result in competing priorities within governments and organizations. Peacebuilding initiatives find themselves in competition with other pressing needs like healthcare, education, and infrastructure development. This competition for limited resources can divert attention and funding away from peacebuilding efforts, highlighting the complex task of balancing various societal needs amidst resource limitations (Chikerema, Chakunda and Ncube 2025).

Resource constraints can exacerbate existing inequalities and regional disparities, presenting a challenge to comprehensive and equitable peacebuilding. Some regions may receive more attention and resources than others, leading to uneven development and potentially fueling grievances and conflicts. Addressing these disparities is essential for fostering a sense of inclusivity and preventing the emergence of new sources of tension.

Despite resource constraints, embracing innovative and cost-effective solutions is a viable approach to peacebuilding. Local capacity-building, partnerships with non-traditional actors, and leveraging community-driven initiatives are examples of creative strategies that can maximize the impact of limited resources. These approaches ensure that peacebuilding efforts remain adaptable and context-specific, fostering resilience in the face of financial limitations.

Advocacy efforts play a crucial role in mobilizing resources for peacebuilding. Engaging with donors, governments, and international organizations to raise awareness about the importance of peacebuilding and its positive long-term effects can help secure additional funding and support. Advocacy serves as a powerful tool in highlighting the value of sustained investment in peacebuilding efforts, ultimately contributing to the resilience and success of such initiatives.

Addressing resource constraints in African peacebuilding efforts requires a multi-faceted approach that involves governments, civil society, international donors, and the private sector. By strategically allocating existing resources, seeking innovative solutions, and advocating for increased investment in peacebuilding, it is possible to mitigate the impact of these constraints and advance the critical work of building lasting peace and stability on the continent.

## **Conclusion and discussion**

In the complex and dynamic landscape of conflict, humanitarian crises, and peacebuilding in Africa, this comprehensive assessment has illuminated the critical interplay of IHL and peacebuilding. Africa, marked by recurring conflicts, historical

complexities, and resource constraints, stands at a crossroads where the synergy between these two vital frameworks can chart a course toward sustainable peace and security on the continent. Africa's conflict landscape is characterized by a web of factors, including political instability, ethnic tensions, resource competition, and external interventions. The urgency of preventing and mitigating these conflicts underscores the significance of this research, which has sought to elucidate how IHL and peacebuilding can function as mutually reinforcing pillars in the pursuit of enduring peace. IHL, rooted in a body of international treaties and customary practices, offers a legal framework governing the conduct of armed conflicts. Its principles encompassing the protection of civilians, combatant rights, and humanitarian assistance inherently carry preventive mechanisms. By setting standards for responsible behavior in conflict, IHL serves as a critical deterrent against violence escalation and the commission of atrocities. Peacebuilding, conversely, embodies a holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution. It encompasses an array of strategies aimed at addressing root causes, promoting reconciliation, and fostering socio-economic development. This approach recognizes that enduring peace necessitates confronting not only the symptoms but also the underlying structural and societal issues that fuel conflicts. The nexus between IHL and peacebuilding has been illuminated through their shared objectives and complementarity. Both domains prioritize the protection of civilians, the promotion of human rights, and the delivery of humanitarian assistance. This interconnection offers opportunities for collaborative and strategic interventions that leverage the strengths of each framework. The research has scrutinized various preventive mechanisms arising from the synergy between IHL and peacebuilding within the African context. These mechanisms encompass conflict early warning and response systems, the incorporation of IHL principles in peace agreements, reconciliation and transitional justice initiatives, and security sector reform. By investigating the practical applications of these mechanisms, the research has elucidated how they contribute to the prevention of armed conflicts and the promotion of lasting peace.

However, despite the promise of this nexus, several challenges and limitations have been identified. Implementation gaps, where IHL principles are not effectively translated into practice, remain a persistent concern. Political interests among involved parties can obstruct peacebuilding efforts, perpetuating conflicts. Resource constraints, both in terms of funding and capacity, pose significant barriers to realizing the full potential of the IHL-peacebuilding nexus. In conclusion, this research underscores the pivotal role of the nexus between International Humanitarian Law and peacebuilding in Africa's quest for peace, stability, and development. By embracing this synergistic relationship, African governments, regional organizations, and the international community can foster a comprehensive and holistic approach to conflict prevention and resolution. Addressing the identified challenges and limitations is imperative to unlock the transformative potential of this nexus and pave the way for a more peaceful and prosperous African continent. The lessons learned from this comprehensive assessment can serve as a guiding compass for policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders committed to a future of peace, security, and resilience in Africa.

**Acknowledgments**

The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments and critiques.

**Conflict of interests**

The author declares no ethical issues or conflicts of interest in this research.

**Ethical standards**

The author affirms this research did not involve human subjects.

**References**

Aboagye, Festus B. 2009. "Confronting complex emergencies in Africa: Imperatives of a search for a new doctrine of humanitarian security interventions." *ISS Paper 204, November 1, 2009.* Accessed March 16, 2025. <https://issafrica.org/research/papers/confronting-complex-emergencies-in-africa-imperatives-of-a-search-for-a-new-doctrine-of-humanitarian-security-interventions>.

Afolabi, Oladiran, and Phemelo Olifile Marumo. 2024. "The Responsibility to Protect and International Community Response to the Boko Haram Insurgency in Nigeria." In: *Africa's Engagement with the Responsibility to Protect in the 21st Century*, edited by Nicholas Idris Eramah, and Victor Ojakorotu, 147-162. Palgrave Macmillan, Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8163-2\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8163-2_9).

Agbo, Kingsley Chukwuka, Usman Abubakar Haruna, Amos Abimbola Oladunni et al. 2024. "Addressing gaps in protection of health workers and infrastructures in fragile and conflict-affected states in Africa." *Discover Health Systems* 3 (36). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44250-024-00106-5>.

Awasom, Nicodemus Fru. 2024. "Trends Towards Presidential Monarchism in Postindependence Africa." In: *The Making, Unmaking and Remaking of Africa's Independence and Post-Independence Constitutions*, edited by Nicodemus Fru Awasom, and Hlengiwe Portia Dlamini, 11-35. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-66808-1\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-66808-1_2).

Ayissi, Anatole. 2021. "Three Decades of Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration of Ex-Combatants in Africa: Lessons Learned and Challenges Ahead." In: *The State of Peacebuilding in Africa: Lessons Learned for Policymakers and Practitioners*, edited by Terence McNamee, and Monde Muyangwa, 141-162. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7_9).

Boadu, Evans Sakyi. 2025. "Governance, Constitutional Democracy, and Political Accountability in West Africa." In: *Political Governance and the African Peer Review Mechanism: A Comparative Analysis*, edited by Omololu Fagbadebo, and Isioma U. Ile, 197-220. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-85911-3\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-85911-3_11).

Chikerema, Arthur Fidelis, Vincent Chakunda, and Tomy Ncube. 2025. "Political Succession Via Military Coup d'etat in Africa: The Case of Niger." In: *The Palgrave Handbook of (In)security and Transnational Crime in Africa*, edited by Adebusuyi Isaac Adeniran, James Olabisi Ayodele, and Lanre Olusegun Ikuteyijo,

101-125. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74786-1\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74786-1_7).

*Contemporary Challenges and Decolonial Responses*, edited by Gorden Moyo, and Sabelo J. Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 289-306. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-83868-2\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-83868-2_18).

de Koningh, Ingeborg. 2023. “Consolidating International Humanitarian Law and International Human Rights Law: Protection from Gender-Based Violence Against Women in Non-International Armed Conflict.” *Netherlands International Law Review* 70: 87-120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40802-023-00236-2>.

Enaifoghe, Andrew. 2022. “South Africa’s Efforts and Mechanisms in Maintaining Peace and Stability in Southern African Development Community Subregion.” In: *Peace Studies for Sustainable Development in Africa: Conflicts and Peace Oriented Conflict Resolution*, edited by Egon Spiegel, George Mutalemwa, Cheng Liu, Lester R. Kurtz, 629-643. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92474-4\\_47](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92474-4_47).

Engel, Ulf. 2023. “African Union Non-Military Conflict Interventions.” In: *The Palgrave Handbook of Diplomatic Thought and Practice in the Digital Age*, edited by Francis Onditi, Katharina McLaren, Gilad Ben-Nun, Yannis A. Stivachtis, Pontian Okoth, 313-331. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28214-0\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28214-0_14).

Engelbrekt, Kjell. 2023. “Sweden’s 2017-18 UNSC Formula: Mobilizing the MFA’s Competitive Advantages, Highlighting Africa, and Boosting the E10.” *International Peacekeeping* 30 (3): 358-79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2023.2196019>.

Etefa, Tsega. 2019. Ethnicity as a Tool: The Root Causes of Ethnic Conflict in Africa—A Critical Introduction. In: *The Origins of Ethnic Conflict in Africa: Politics and Violence in Darfur, Oromia, and the Tana Delta*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 1-27. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-10540-2\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-10540-2_1).

Farah Hersi. Mohamed, and Adeoye O. Akinola. 2024. Toward Peace and Security of Somalia and the Horn of Africa. In: *IGAD and Multilateral Security in the Horn of Africa: Through the Lens of the Somali Conflict*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 219-227. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51548-4\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51548-4_9).

Fiseha, Assefa. 2024. Federalism, Devolution and Cleavages in Africa: Do Institutions Matter?. In: *Federalism, Devolution and Cleavages in Africa. Federalism and Internal Conflicts*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 185-289. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-50426-6\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-50426-6_4).

Fomekong, Steve Tiwa. 2020. “Reflections on humanitarian law dimensions of the African Union Convention for the protection and assistance of internally displaced persons in Africa.” *African Yearbook on International Humanitarian Law*: 78-120. <https://doi.org/10.47348/AYIH/2020/a4>.

Frimpong, Isaac Owusu. 2025. China and Peacekeeping Operations: A “Superpower” Bound by a Multilateral Organisation in Africa?. In: *China’s Emerging Security Diplomacy in Africa*. Springer, Singapore, pp. 161-184. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-4364-6\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-4364-6_7).

Fukutomi, Mitsuhsa.2024. “Oil or geopolitical issues?: Quantitative rethinking of political instability in the Middle East and North Africa.” *GeoJournal* 89 (55). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-024-11045-2>.

Gambari, Ibrahim A. 2021. “Peace Management and Conflict Resolution: A Practitioner’s Perspective.” In: *The State of Peacebuilding in Africa: Lessons Learned for Policymakers and Practitioners*, edited by Terence McNamee, and Monde Muyangwa, 277-292. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7_16).

Graham, Suzanne. 2022. “A Review of South Africa’s Terms in the United Nations Security Council.” *International Peacekeeping* 30 (3): 283-307. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2022.2144250>.

Harris, David. 2021. “The state of peacebuilding in Africa: lessons learned for policymakers and practitioners.” *International Affairs* 97 (4): 1272-1274. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iaab107>.

Hope, Kempe Ronald. 2023. Africa at the Crossroads: Moonwalking in Slow Motion. In: *Corruption, Sustainable Development and Security Challenges in Africa: Prospects and Policy Implications for Peace and Stability*. Springer, Cham, pp. 11-34. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-32229-7\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-32229-7_2).

Ibnouf, Fatma Osman. 2020. Peacebuilding Through the Care Work Lens. In: *War-Time Care Work and Peacebuilding in Africa: The Forgotten One*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 113-148. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26195-5\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26195-5_6).

Jeche, Valerie Rumbidzai. 2024. “Migration as a Source of Conflict: Understanding Xenophobia in South Africa.” In: *Understanding the Horizontal and Vertical Nature of Africa Migration in Contemporary Times*, edited by Stanley Osezua Ehiane, Mfundu Mandla Masuku, and Kekgaoditse Suping, 155-174. Palgrave Macmillan, Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-7596-5\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-7596-5_9).

Kalu, Kelechi A. 2009. “Political Institutions and Official Development Assistance in Africa.” *International Studies Review* 10 (2): 1-29.

Karamalla-Gaiballa, Nagmeldin. 2025. The Challenges of Electoral Systems and Political Parties in Sub-Saharan Africa. In: *Obstacles to Democracy in Sub-Saharan Africa: Key Challenges Seen Through a Sudanese Lens*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 95-142. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-81174-6\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-81174-6_4).

Kemedjio, Cilas. 2024. “The Humanitarian Misunderstanding in the Postcolonial Humanitarian African Imagination.” In: *Who Gives to Whom? Reframing Africa in the Humanitarian Imaginary*, edited by Cilas Kemedjio, and Cecelia Lynch, 25-46. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-46553-6\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-46553-6_2).

Khadiagala, Gilbert M. 2021. “The African Union in Peacebuilding in Africa.” In: *The State of Peacebuilding in Africa: Lessons Learned for Policymakers and Practitioners*, edited by Terence McNamee, and Monde Muyangwa, 197-213. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7_12).

Kilonzo, Susan M., and Ezra Chitando. 2023. “Religion, Peacebuilding and Development in Africa: An Introduction.” In: *The Palgrave Handbook of Religion, Peacebuilding, and Development in Africa*, edited by Susan M. Kilonzo, Ezra Chitando, and Joram Tarusarira, 1-15. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36829-5\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36829-5_1).

Kim, Dong Jin, David Mitchell, and Gillian Wylie. 2024. Understanding Violent Political Conflict. In: *Peace and Conflict in a Changing World: Key Issues in Peace Studies*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 37-54. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-66641-4\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-66641-4_3).

Kwon, Jung Jae. 2020. "Red under Blue: Chinese Humanitarian Action in UN Peacekeeping Missions." *International Peacekeeping* 27 (3): 417-444. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2020.1746186>.

Łagiewska, Magdalena. 2024. "Destruction of Natural and Cultural Heritage During Armed Conflicts: An International Law Perspective." In: *Heritage in War and Peace: Legal and Political Perspectives for Future Protection*, edited by Gianluigi Mastandrea Bonaviri, and Mirosław Michał Sadowski, 287-298. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47347-0\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47347-0_19).

Likoti, Fako Johnson. 2006. "African military intervention in African conflicts: an analysis of military intervention in Rwanda, the DRC and Lesotho." Thesis, University of the Western Cape. Accessed March 16, 2025. [http://etd.uwc.ac.za/index.php?module=etd&action=viewtitle&id=gen8Srv25Nme4\\_4006\\_1182235430](http://etd.uwc.ac.za/index.php?module=etd&action=viewtitle&id=gen8Srv25Nme4_4006_1182235430).

Makwerere, David. 2024. "State and Civil Society-led Peace Committees in Zimbabwe." In: *Climate Change and Socio-political Violence in Sub-Saharan Africa in the Anthropocene: Perspectives from Peace Ecology and Sustainable Development*, edited by Jean Chrysostome K. Kiyala and Norman Chivasa, 667-679. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48375-2\\_27](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48375-2_27).

Maphaka, Dominic, Keaobaka Tsholo, and Siphamandla Zondi. 2024. "Of Democratic Deficit, Reversals, and the Return of Coups in Africa." In: *The Resurgence of Military Coups and Democratic Relapse in Africa*, edited by Adeoye Akinola, 223-239. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51019-9\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51019-9_10).

Marenya, Scholastica A. 2023. "Coping Mechanisms Employed by Survivors of Conflict-Related Sexual Violence in the 2007/2008 Post-election Violence in Kenya." In: *Innovations in Peace and Security in Africa*, edited by Joseph Adero Ngala, Rachel Julian, and Jonathan Henriques, 63-109. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-39043-2\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-39043-2_4).

Mayanja, Evelyn Namakula. 2024. "No Peace Until We Decolonize and Return to Our Roots: Culture and Peacebuilding in Sub-Saharan Africa." In: *Culture, Conflict, and Peacebuilding*, edited by Christina Beyene, Leonardo Luna, Nkwazi Mhango, and Jessica Senehi, 25-48. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55802-3\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55802-3_2).

Mbanje, Tendai Shephard. 2024. "African Union at 20: Taking Stock of the AU's Normative and Institutional Frameworks on Human Rights and Governance." In: *Development and Regional Stability in Africa: Unlocking Potential*, edited by Adeoye O. Akinola, and Emmaculate Asige Liaga, 65-81. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56695-0\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56695-0_5).

McClintock, Elizabeth, and Aline Brachet. 2019. "Peacebuilding and Development Training: What's Not Working and What We Should Be Doing Instead." *Journal of Peacebuilding & Development* 15 (3): 267-281. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1542316619880504>.

Mekonnen Mengistu, Muhabie. 2015. "The Root Causes of Conflicts in the Horn of Africa." *American Journal of Applied Psychology* 4 (2): 28-34. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajap.20150402.12>.

Mișcoiu, Sergiu, and Dan Petrica. 2023. "The New EU-Africa Relations' Strategy: Soft Power or Neoliberalist Power?." In: *Politics Between Nations: Power, Peace, and Diplomacy*, edited by Adebawale Akande, 539-560. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24896-2\\_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24896-2_26).

Moyo, Funa, and Sihlanganiso Khumalo. 2025. "Global Politics and Recent Political Changes in West and Central Africa: A Decolonial Analysis." In: *Global Storms and Africa in World Politics*

Mraja, Mohamed Suleiman. 2023. "Islam, Conflict, Peace and Security in Africa." In: *The Palgrave Handbook of Religion, Peacebuilding, and Development in Africa*, edited by Susan M. Kilonzo, Ezra Chitando, and Joram Tarusarira, 363-379. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36829-5\\_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36829-5_21).

Muthien, Bernedette. 2024. "Analysing Peace Education in Post-Apartheid South Africa." In: *Peace as Nonviolence: Topics in African Peace Studies*, edited by Egon Spiegel, George Mutalemwa, Cheng Liu, and Lester R. Kurtz, 85-95. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52905-4\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52905-4_7).

Mzali, Ines. 2018. "Staging the Peacekeeping Narrative: Hollywood's Portrayal of Peacekeeping Efforts in Africa." In: *The Palgrave Handbook of Peacebuilding in Africa*, edited by Tony Karbo, and Kudrat Virk, 265-278. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62202-6\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62202-6_15).

Nedziwe, Cecilia Lwiindi, and Oluwaseun Tella. 2023. Regional Thickening as Game-Changing: Gender and Women Civil Society Actors in Southern Africa. In: *Transnational Activities of Women-Focused Civil Society Actors in Southern Africa*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 137-175. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29537-9\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29537-9_6).

Ojo-Adewuyi, Victoria. 2024. The Boko Haram Crisis and the International Criminal Court. In: *Criminal Justice Responses to the Boko Haram Crisis in Nigeria*. Asser Press, The Hague, pp. 115-182. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-615-4\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-615-4_4).

Olaitan, Zainab Monisola. 2024. Women's Political Representation in South Africa and Botswana. In: *Women's Representation in African Politics: Beyond Numbers*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 123-141. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-76051-8\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-76051-8_6).

Omona, David Andrew. 2024. "Prophetic Action, Climate Change, Food Security, and SDG 2 in Africa." In: *Religion, Climate Change, and Food Security in Africa*, edited by Loreen Maseno, David Andrew Omona, Ezra Chitando, and Sophia Chirongoma, 27-43. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-50392-4\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-50392-4_2).

Omotosola, J. Shola. 2025. Peacebuilding Perspectives and Herder-Farmer Conflicts in Africa. In: *Herder-Farmer Conflicts in Africa: Perspectives and Lessons for Sustainable Peacebuilding*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 31-49. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-80821-0\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-80821-0_2).

Opingo, Elias O. 2022. "Enhancing Conflict Resolution in Africa." In: *The Palgrave Handbook of Sustainable Peace and Security in Africa*, edited by Dan Kuwali, 505-523. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82020-6\\_29](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82020-6_29).

Ottuh, John Arierhi. 2025. Ethno-Religious Diversity Management in Nigeria and the Relativeness in Africa. In: *Diversity Management: Ethno-Religious Conflicts and Sustainable Peace in Nigeria*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 301-321. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-84074-6\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-84074-6_8).

Papale, Simone. 2025. "Changing Course? The US Biden Administration and the Promotion of Peace and Counter-Terrorism in Africa." *International Peacekeeping* (April): 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2025.2486255>.

Rokhideh, Maryam, Carina Fearnley, and Mirianna Budimir. 2025. "Multi-Hazard Early Warning Systems in the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction: Achievements, Gaps, and Future Directions." *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science* 16: 103-116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-025-00622-9>.

Rosas Duarte, Geraldine, and Matheus Souza. 2024. "Illiberal Peacebuilding in UN Stabilization Peace Operations and Peace Agreements in the CAR, the DRC and Mali." *International Peacekeeping* 31 (2): 157-185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2023.2300135>.

Sarkin, Jeremy. 2009. "The Role of the United Nations, the African Union and Africa's Sub-Regional Organizations in Dealing with Africa's Human Rights Problems: Connecting Humanitarian Intervention and the Responsibility to Protect." *Journal of African Law* 53 (1): 1-33. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021855309000011>.

Sarkin, Jeremy. 2010. "The Responsibility to Protect and Humanitarian Intervention in Africa." *Global Responsibility to Protect* 2 (4): 371-387.

Sauti, Lazarus, and Tendai Makaripe. 2024. "Mediating Peace or Escalating Violence? Examining newafricanmagazine.com's Representation of Violent Conflicts in Africa." In: *Engagement of Africa in Conflict Dynamics and Peace Architectures*, edited by Stanley Osezua Ehiane, Lukong Stella Shulika, and Clayton Hazvinei Vhumbunu, 351-371. Palgrave Macmillan, Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8235-6\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8235-6_17).

Schliesser, Christine. 2024. "The Politics of Reconciliation in Post-genocide Rwanda." In: *Alternative Approaches in Conflict Resolution*, edited by Christine Schliesser, Martin Leiner. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74905-6\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74905-6_17).

Singh, Arushi. 2024. "African Union and the Consequences of Coup d'états in West Africa." In: *Development and Regional Stability in Africa: Unlocking Potential*, edited by Adeoye O. Akinola, and Emmaculate Asige Liaga, 153-166. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56695-0\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56695-0_9).

Solomon, Hussein. 2024. "Boko Haram." In: *The Spectre of Islamic Terrorism: Comparative Insights: A Machine-Generated Literature Overview*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 137-195. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-46883-4\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-46883-4_5).

Sule, Babayo. 2024. Political Parties and Civil Societies in Nigeria. In: *Nigerian Political Parties in the Fourth Republic: Evolution, Characteristics and Dynamics of Transformation*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 327-345. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-77110-1\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-77110-1_11).

Sweet, Rachel. 2021. "Peacebuilding as State Building? Lessons from the Democratic Republic of the Congo." In: *The State of Peacebuilding in Africa: Lessons Learned for Policymakers and Practitioners*, edited by Terence McNamee, and Monde

Muyangwa, 295-320. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7_17).

Tar, Usman A. 2021. "Background: Small Arms, Violent Conflicts, and Complex Emergencies in Africa—A Fatal Combination." In: *The Palgrave Handbook of Small Arms and Conflicts in Africa*, edited by Usman A. Tar, and Charles P. Onwurah, 17-39. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62183-4\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62183-4_2).

Troy, Erin. 2017. "Beneath the Veneer of Peacebuilding." *Potentia: Journal of International Affairs* 8: 96-110. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18192/potentia.v8i0.4434>.

Tschudin, Alain Jean-Paul Charles. 2024. "The Nexus Approach to Climate Action, Planetary Integrity and Sustainable Development in Africa." In: *Climate Change and Socio-political Violence in Sub-Saharan Africa in the Anthropocene: Perspectives from Peace Ecology and Sustainable Development*, edited by Jean Chrysostome K. Kiyala, and Norman Chivasa, 323-349. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48375-2\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48375-2_16)

Turunen, Salla. 2020. "Humanitarian Diplomatic Practices." *The Hague Journal of Diplomacy* 15 (4): 459-487. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1871191X-BJA10008>.

UN. 2001. "Report of the Open-ended Ad Hoc Working Group on the Causes of Conflict and the Promotion of Durable Peace and Sustainable Development in Africa." A/56/45, UN General Assembly, Ad Hoc Working Group on the Causes of Conflict and the Promotion of Durable Peace and Sustainable Development in Africa. Accessed March 16, 2025. <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/448307?ln=en&v=pdf>.

Wani, Ibrahim J. 2021. "United Nations Peacekeeping, Human Rights, and the Protection of Civilians." In: *The State of Peacebuilding in Africa: Lessons Learned for Policymakers and Practitioners*, edited by Terence McNamee, and Monde Muyangwa, 81-99. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46636-7_6).

Xu, Xing, Guanghua Wan, and Yahui Chen. 2024. "Sino-US Competition for Political Influence in Africa: Can Bilateral Aid Buy UN Votes?" *International Peacekeeping* 32 (1): 1-34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2024.2418068>.

Yeboah, Patrick Ateah, and John Peter Okoro. 2024. "From the Sustainable Development Goal 16 to the African Union Silencing the Guns Agenda: Why It Is So Difficult to Achieve Sustainable Peace and Stability in Africa?." In: *Africa's Engagement with the Responsibility to Protect in the 21st Century*, edited by Nicholas Idris Eramah, and Victor Ojakorotu, 339-356. Palgrave Macmillan, Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-99-8163-2\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-99-8163-2_19).

Yuni, Denis Nfor, Emeka C. Iloh, and Carol Chi Ngang. 2025. Positioning Africa as an Influential Global Development Actor. In: *Colonial Heritage and the Socio-Economic Development of Africa*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, pp. 343-350. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-73695-7\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-73695-7_18).

Zambara, Webster. 2010. "Africa's National Human Rights Institutions and the Responsibility to Protect." *Global Responsibility to Protect* 2 (4): 458-478. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/187598410x519589>.