Physical and Mathematical Sciences

2011, № 3, p. 23–30

Mathematics

EXPLICIT FACTORIZATION OF A (P,Q)-CIRCULANT MATRIX-FUNCTIONS

G. M. TOPIKYAN*

Chair of Differential Equations and Functional Analysis YSU, Armenia

The paper considers a factorization problem of a matrix-function, obtained from a circulant by a right and left multiplication by diagonal rational matrixfunctions. Formulas for partial indices are obtained by means of ranks of a finite number of explicit type matrices. A factorization construction of this matrixfunction based on factorization of finite number of functions is given as well.

Keywords: matrix-function, factorization, partial indices.

1. Let Γ be a Carleson contour, which bounds finitely connected bounded domain $\Omega_+(0 \in \Omega_+), \Omega_- = \overline{\Box} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_+(\infty \in \Omega_-)$. It is known (see [1]) that the singular integral operator *S*, defined by the formula

$$(S\varphi)(t) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\tau - t} \varphi(\tau) d\tau, \ t \in \Gamma,$$

where the integral is understood in a sense of a principal value, is a bounded operator in the space $L_p(=L_p(\Gamma))$, $1 . We define projectors <math>P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(I \pm S)$ and classes of functions $L_p^+ = \text{Im} P_+$, $L_p^- = \text{Im} P_-$, $L_p^- = L_p^- + C$.

Everywhere below we denote the space of *n*-dimensional vector-columns $(n \times n \text{-order matrices})$ with elements from the linear space X by X^n $(X^{n \times n})$. The abbreviations m.-f. and v.-f. will be used for matrix-function and vector-function

respectively. By $\tau_k (k \in \mathbb{Z})$ we denote a function defined by $\tau_k (t) = t^k$.

By factorization of a m.-f. G of order $n \times n$ in the space L_p along the contour Γ we mean the representation $G = G_- \Lambda G_+^{-1}$, where a) $G_{\pm} \in (L_p^{\pm})^{n \times n}$, $G_{\pm}^{-1} \in (L_q^{\pm})^{n \times n}$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$; b) $\Lambda = \text{diag}[\tau_{\kappa_1}, \dots, \tau_{\kappa_n}]$, where $\kappa_1 \leq \dots \leq \kappa_n$ are numbers called partial indices. A factorization of m.-f. G satisfying to the condition $G^{\pm 1} \in L_{\infty}^{n \times n}$ is called generalized, if the operator $G_- P_+ G_-^{-1} I$ is bounded in L_p^n .

^{*} E-mail: gevorg.topikyan@gmail.com

Suppose that $\varphi_i \in L_{\infty}$, and p_i, q_i (i = 1, ..., n) are rational functions with poles lying outside the contour Γ , $P = \text{diag}[p_1, ..., p_n]$, $Q = \text{diag}[q_1, ..., q_n]$ and $\Phi = (\varphi_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$, where $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{j-i+1}, i \le j$, and $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{n+j-i+1}, i > j$. The m.-f. Φ is a circulant and, therefore, a m.-f. G, defined by the equality $G = P\Phi Q$, we will call (P,Q)circulant. The explicit representation of this m.-f. will be:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 q_1 \varphi_1 & p_1 q_2 \varphi_2 & \dots & p_1 q_n \varphi_n \\ p_2 q_1 \varphi_n & p_2 q_2 \varphi_1 & \dots & p_2 q_n \varphi_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_n q_1 \varphi_2 & p_n q_2 \varphi_3 & \dots & p_n q_n \varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The present paper suggests a method of an explicit factorization of the m.-f. G. By the explicit factorization we mean a reduction of a factorization problem of the m.-f. G to a finite number of factorizations of scalar functions and a finite number of solutions of linear algebraic problems. The suggested approach is based on algebraic properties of the Toeplitz operators' family (see [2, 3]) and extends the method developed in [5, 6].

2. We introduce functions $\psi_j = \sum_{s=1}^n \varepsilon^{(j-1)(s-1)} \varphi_s$, j = 1,...,n, where $\varepsilon = \exp \frac{2\pi i}{n}$ and an m.-f. $\Psi = \operatorname{diag}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$. It is known that $\Phi = E\Psi E^{-1}$, where $E = \left(\varepsilon^{(k-1)(s-1)}\right)_{k,s=1}^n$, $E^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\varepsilon^{-(k-1)(s-1)}\right)_{k,s=1}^n$. Consequently, $G = PE\Psi E^{-1}Q$. By the Theorem 3.10 from [4], we have that the m.-f. G admits a generalized

By the Theorem 3.10 from [4], we have that the m.-I. G admits a generalized factorization, iff the functions ψ_j (j = 1, ..., n) admit generalized factorization.

Below, without loss of generality, we will assume that $q_1(0), \dots, q_n(0) \in \overline{\Box} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let the functions $\psi_j (j = 1,...,n)$ admit the generalized factorization $\psi_j = \psi_j^- t^{\chi_j} (\psi_j^+)^{-1}$. Then we have $G = PE\Psi^- \Lambda_{\chi} (\Psi^+)^{-1} E^{-1}Q$, where $\Psi^- = \text{diag}[\psi_1^-,...,\psi_n^-]$, $\Lambda_{\chi} = \text{diag}[\tau_{\chi_1},...,\tau_{\chi_n}]$ and $\Psi^+ = \text{diag}[\psi_1^+,...,\psi_n^+]$.

We define m.-f. $\tilde{A} = \tau_{-\chi_{\text{max}}} P E \Psi A_{\chi}$ and $\tilde{B} = (\Psi^+)^{-1} E^{-1} Q$, where $\chi_{\text{max}} = \max \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}$. Then $G = \tau_{\chi_{\text{max}}} \tilde{A} \tilde{B}$.

Let $p_i = \frac{p_{i1}}{p_{i2}}$, $q_i = \frac{q_{i1}}{q_{i2}}$. We write the polynomials $p_{i1}, p_{i2}, q_{i1}, q_{i2}$ as follows: $p_{i1} = p_{i1}^- p_{i1}^+$, $p_{i2} = p_{i2}^- p_{i2}^+$, $q_{i1} = q_{i1}^- q_{i1}^+$, $q_{i2} = q_{i2}^- q_{i2}^+$, where p_{ik}^\pm , q_{ik}^\pm (k = 1, 2) are polynomials, whose zeros lie in Ω_{\mp} respectively. We denote by $p_{ok}^{\pm}(q_{ok}^{\pm})$ (k = 1, 2) polynomials, which are the least common multiples of $p_{1k}^{\pm}, \dots, p_{nk}^{\pm}$ $(q_{1k}^{\pm}, \dots, q_{nk}^{\pm})$. Let $A = p_{02}^+ \cdot \tau_{-\nu_0} \cdot \frac{1}{q_{02}^-} \tilde{A}$, $B = \frac{q_{02}^-}{p_{02}^+} \tilde{B}$, where
$$\begin{split} & \upsilon_{0} = \max_{i=1,...,n} \deg p_{i1} + \deg p_{02}^{+}. \text{ Then } G = \tau_{\chi_{0}}AB \text{, where } A \in (L_{p}^{-})^{nxn}, \quad B \in (L_{q}^{+})^{nxn}, \\ A^{-1} \in (M_{q}^{-})^{nxn}, \quad B^{-1} \in (M_{p}^{+})^{nxn}, \quad \chi_{0} = \chi_{\max} + \upsilon_{0}. \text{ We define a number } \upsilon_{-} = \upsilon_{0} + \chi_{0} - -\chi_{\min} + \deg q_{02}^{-} + \deg p_{01}^{+} + \max_{i=1,...,n} \deg p_{i2}, \text{ where } \chi_{\min} = \min\{\chi_{1},...,\chi_{n}\}, \text{ and polynomial } q_{-} = q_{01}^{-} \cdot q_{02}^{-} \text{ (we denote its degree by } \upsilon_{+}). \text{ Further, we define a v.-f.} \\ q_{+} = p_{01}^{+} p_{02}^{+} \text{ and } n_{-} = \deg q_{+}. \text{ Consider families of Hankel and Toeplitz operators } \\ H_{j}^{-} : D_{p}^{-}(A^{-1}) \to L_{p}^{+}, H_{j}^{+} : D_{p}^{+}(B^{-1}) \to L_{p}^{-}, T_{j} : L_{p}^{+} \to L_{p}^{+}, \quad p > 1, \text{ defined by formulas } \\ H_{j}^{-}\varphi = P_{+}(\tau_{j^{+}}A^{-1}\varphi), \quad H_{j}^{+}\varphi = P_{-}(\tau_{j^{-}}B^{-1}\varphi), \\ T_{j}\varphi = P_{+}(\tau_{-j}AB\varphi), \quad \text{where } j^{\pm} = \frac{j\pm |j|}{2}, \\ D_{p}^{-}(A^{-1}) = \{\varphi \in (L_{p}^{-})^{n}, A^{-1}\varphi \in (L_{p})^{n}\}; \quad D_{p}^{+}(B^{-1}) = \{\varphi \in (L_{p}^{+})^{n}, B^{-1}\varphi \in (L_{p})^{n}\}. \end{split}$$

We denote by \mathfrak{T}_j the space of vector polynomials $\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \varphi_k z^k$, $\varphi_k \in \mathbb{D}^n$, in the case when j > 0 $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$, and the space of vector polynomials in z^{-1} of type $\sum_{k=j}^{-1} \varphi_k z^k$ in the case when j < 0 $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$. We will suppose that $\mathfrak{T}_0 = \{0\}$. We define a family of finite-dimensional operators $K_j = H_j^+ H_j^- \Big|_{\mathfrak{T}_j = -1}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote $N_j = \ker T_j$.

Lemma 1. A v.-f. φ belongs to N_j , iff there exists a v.-f. $\psi \in \ker K_j$, such that $\varphi = \tau_j B^{-1} H_j^-(\psi)$. Besides, the following equality is true:

$$\dim N_j = \upsilon_- + nj^+ - \dim \operatorname{Im} K_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(1)

Proof. It is known that (see [7]) $\operatorname{Im} H_j^- = \operatorname{Im} H_j^- |_{\mathfrak{Z}_{-(u-+j^+)}}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, we have the equality $H_j^-(\ker K_j) = \ker \left(H_j^+ |_{\operatorname{Im} H_j^-}\right)$. Therefore, to prove the first part of Lemma, it is enough to see that $\varphi \in N_j$, iff the v.-f. $\varphi_0 = \tau_{-j}^- B\varphi \in \ker \left(H_j^+ |_{\operatorname{Im} H_j^-}\right)$.

Assume that $\varphi \in N_j$, then $\varphi \in (L_p^+)^n$ and $P_+(\tau_{-j}AB\varphi) = 0$, i.e. $\tau_{-j}AB\varphi = f \in (\overline{L_p})^n$. We write the last equality in the form $\tau_{j^+}A^{-1}f = \tau_{-j^-}B\varphi = \varphi_0$. We have $B \in (L_q^+)^{nxn}$ and $\varphi \in (L_p^+)^n$, hence, $\tau_{j^+}A^{-1}f \in (L_1^+)^n$. Since $A^{-1} \in (M_q^-)^{nxn}$, $f \in (\overline{L_p^-})^n$, then $P_+(\tau_{j^+}A^{-1}f)$ is a rational function, and, therefore, $\tau_{j^+}A^{-1}f \in (L_p^n \bigcap (L_1^+)^n)$, i.e. $f \in D_p^-(A^{-1})$. It is easy to see that $H_j^-f = \varphi_0$ and $B^{-1}\varphi_0 = \tau_{-j^-}\varphi \in (L_p)^n$, i.e. $\varphi_0 \in D_p^+(B^{-1})$ and $H_j^+\varphi_0 = P_-(\tau_{j^-}B^{-1}\varphi_0) = P_-(\varphi) = 0$. Thus, $\varphi_0 \in \ker \left(H_j^+|_{\operatorname{Im} H_j^-}\right)$. Conversely, assume that $\varphi_0 = \tau_{-j^-} B \varphi \in \ker (H_j^+ |_{\operatorname{Im} H_j^-})$. The equality $\operatorname{Im} H_j^- = \operatorname{Im} H_j^- |_{\mathfrak{T}_{-(\upsilon_-+j^+)}}$ implies the existence of $f \in \mathfrak{T}_{-(\upsilon_-+j^+)}$, such that $\varphi_0 = H_j^- f \in (L_p^+)^n$. Since $H_j^+ \varphi_0 = 0$, then $0 = P_-(\tau_{j^-} B^{-1} \varphi_0) = P_-(\tau_{j^-} B^{-1} \tau_{-j^-} B \varphi)$ $= P_-(\varphi)$ i.e. $\varphi \in (L_p^+)^n$.

According to the definition of φ_0 , $\tau_{j^-} B \varphi = H_j^- f = P_+(\tau_{j^+} A^{-1} f) =$ = $\tau_{j^+} A^{-1} f - P_-(\tau_{j^+} A^{-1} f)$. Consequently, $f - \tau_{j^+} A P_-(\tau_{j^+} A^{-1} f) = \tau_{-j} A B \varphi$. Taking into account that $f \in \mathfrak{T}_{-(v_-+j^+)}$ and $A^{-1} \in (M_q^-)^{nxn}$, we get $\tau_{-j^+} A P_-(\tau_{j^+} A^{-1} f) \in \left(L_1^0 \right)^n$, i.e. $T_j \varphi = P_+(f - \tau_{j^+} A P_-(\tau_{j^+} A^{-1} f)) = 0$, which proves the first part of our Lemma.

It remains to observe that $\dim N_j = \dim(\ker(H_j^+|_{\operatorname{Im} H_j^-})) = \dim\operatorname{Im} H_j^- - \dim\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Im} H_j^+|_{\operatorname{Im} H_j^-} = \dim\operatorname{Im} H_j^- - \dim\operatorname{Im} K_j$ and $\dim\operatorname{Im} H_j^- = \upsilon_- + nj^+$ (see [7]) to complete the proof.

We define the m.-f. $U = \tau_{v_{-}}P_{-}(\tau_{-v_{-}}B^{-1})P_{+}(\tau_{-v_{-}}A^{-1})$ and square matrices $b_{m,k}^{(j)}$, $a_{m,k}^{(j)}$, $u_{m,k}^{(j)}$ $(m,k,j \in \mathbb{Z})$, given by $b_{m,k}^{(j)} = \langle B^{-1} \rangle_{-(m+k)-1-j^{-}}$, $a_{m,k}^{(j)} = \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{v_{-}+m-k}$ when m < k and $a_{m,k}^{(j)} = 0$ when $m \ge k$, $u_{m,k}^{(j)} = \langle U \rangle_{-(m+k)-1-j^{-}}$, where for a m.-f. Φ by $\langle \Phi \rangle_{k}$ we mean the following matrix: $\langle \Phi \rangle_{k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} t^{-k-1} \Phi(t) dt$. For $j > -v_{-}$ we define the block matrices A_{j} , B_{j} , U_{j} , \Re_{j} by: $B_{j} = || b_{m,k}^{(j)} ||_{m=0,...,j^{+}+v_{-}-1}^{k=0,...,j^{+}+v_{-}-1}$, $A_{j} = || a_{m,k}^{(j)} ||_{m=0,...,j^{+}+v_{-}-1}^{k=0,...,j^{+}+v_{-}-1}$, $U_{j} = || u_{m,k}^{(j)} ||_{m=0,...,j^{-}j^{-}}^{k=0,...,j^{+}+v_{-}-1}$, $\Re_{j} = U_{j} + B_{j}A_{j}$, where $j' = \max\{j, v_{+}\}$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we also define mappings $\psi_{j} : C^{n(v_{-}+j^{+})} \to \mathfrak{I}_{-(v_{-}+j^{+})}^{n}$ by the formula $\psi_{j}q = \sum_{k=-(v_{-}+j^{+})}^{-1} q_{k}t^{k}$, where $q = [q_{-(v_{-}+j^{+})}, ..., q_{-1}], q_{k} \in \mathbb{D}^{n} (k = -(v_{-}+j^{+}), ..., -1)$.

The following statement is true:

Lemma 2. If $j \le -\upsilon_-$, then $\dim N_j = 0$. If $j > -\upsilon_-$, then $\dim N_j = \upsilon_- + nj^+ - r_j$, where $r_j = \operatorname{rang} \mathfrak{K}_j$. Besides, if $j \ge \upsilon_+$, then $\dim N_j = \upsilon_- + nj - n \deg q_{02}^- - \sum_{i=1}^n (\deg q_{i1}^- - \deg q_{i2}^-)$.

Proof. Since $p_{01}^+ \cdot p_{02}^+ \cdot \tau_{-\nu_-} \cdot A^{-1} \in (L_q^-)^{nxn}$ and $q_-B^{-1} \in (L_p^+)^{nxn}$, the following equalities are true:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_{-}} < A^{-1} >_{m-k} < q_{+} >_{k} = 0, \ m = \upsilon_{-} + 1, \dots,$$
(2)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\nu+} < B^{-1} >_{m-k} < q_{-} >_{k} = 0, \ m = -1, -2, \dots$$
(3)

Let $j \leq -\upsilon_{-}$, $q \in \ker K_{j}$ and $\varphi = H_{j}^{-}q$. Then it is obvious, that $\varphi \in \Re^{n} \bigcap (L_{p}^{+})^{n}$. According to Lemma 1, a v.-f. $\psi = \tau_{j}B^{-1}\varphi \in N_{j}$ and $\psi \in (L_{p}^{+})^{n}$. Since $\varphi = \tau_{-j}B\psi$, then $\langle \varphi \rangle_{0} = ... = \langle \varphi \rangle_{-j-1} = 0$. The last equalities mean that $\sum_{k=0}^{\upsilon} \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{m+k} \langle q \rangle_{-k} = 0$ $(m = 0, ..., \upsilon_{-} - 1)$, since $\varphi = \sum_{m=-j-1}^{-\infty} z^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\upsilon} \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{m+k} \langle q \rangle_{-k}$.

Hence, using (2) and observing that $\langle q_+ \rangle_0 \neq 0$ and $n_- \leq \upsilon_-$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} < \varphi >_{-j} = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu_{-}} < A^{-1} >_{-j+k} < q >_{-k} = -\sum_{k=1}^{\nu_{-}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{-}} \frac{< q_{+} >_{i}}{< q_{+} >_{0}} < A^{-1} >_{-j+k-i} < q >_{-k} = \\ = -\sum_{k=1}^{n_{-}} \frac{< q_{+} >_{i}}{< q_{+} >_{0}} \sum_{k=1}^{\nu_{-}} < A^{-1} >_{-j+k-i} < q >_{-k} = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Similarly we get $\langle \varphi \rangle_{-j+1} = \langle \varphi \rangle_{-j+2} = \ldots = 0$, i.e. $\varphi = 0$. The Lemma 1 implies that $N_j = \{0\}$.

Let now $j > -\upsilon_{-}$ while $q \in \mathfrak{T}_{-(\upsilon_{-}+j^{+})}$. Using $\tau \cdot P_{-}(\tau_{j^{+}-1}A^{-1})q \in \begin{pmatrix} 0\\L_{q} \end{pmatrix}^{n}$, $\tau_{j^{+}+\upsilon_{-}}P_{+}(\tau_{-\upsilon_{-}}A^{-1})q \in (L_{q}^{+})^{n}$ and equality $A^{-1} = \tau_{-j^{+}+1}P_{-}(\tau_{j^{+}-1}A^{-1}) + \tau_{\upsilon_{-}}P_{-}(\tau_{-j^{+}+1-\upsilon_{-}}P_{+}(\tau_{j^{+}-1}A^{-1})) + \tau_{\upsilon_{-}}P_{+}(\tau_{-\upsilon_{-}}A^{-1})$, we obtain that $H_{j}^{-}q = \tau_{j^{+}+\upsilon_{-}}P_{+}(\tau_{-\upsilon_{-}}A^{-1})q + g$, where

$$g(t) = P_{+}(\tau_{j^{+}} \cdot \tau_{\upsilon_{-}} P_{-}(\tau_{-j^{+}+1-\upsilon_{-}} P_{+}(\tau_{j^{+}-1} A^{-1}))q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\upsilon_{-}+j^{+}-2} \langle g \rangle_{k} t^{k}$$

 $\langle g \rangle_k = \sum_{m=k-(\upsilon_-+j^+)+1}^{-1} \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{k-m-j^+} \langle q \rangle_m$. Hence, taking into account that $\tau_j \tau_{\upsilon_-} P_+(\tau_{-\upsilon_-} B^{-1}) \tau_{\upsilon_-} P_+(\tau_{-\upsilon_-} A^{-1}) q \in (L_1^+)^n$, we get that $H_j^+ H_j^- q = P_-(\tau_j^- Uh) + H_j^+ g$, where $h = \tau_{\upsilon_-+j^+} q$. Consequently, the condition $K_j q = 0$ is equivalent to the following infinite system of equalities:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\nu_{-}+j^{+}-1} < U >_{m-k-j^{-}} < h >_{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\nu_{-}+j^{+}-2} < B^{-1} >_{m-k-j^{-}} < g >_{k} = 0, \quad m = -1, -2, \dots$$

It is easy to see that $(\langle h \rangle_0^T, ..., \langle h \rangle_{v_-+j^+-1}^T)^T = \psi_j^{-1}q$ and $(\langle g \rangle_0^T, ..., \langle g \rangle_{v_-+j^+-2}^T, 0)^T = A_j \psi_j^{-1}q$. The remark above implies that the condition $q \in \ker K_j$ is equivalent to the equality $U_j \psi_j^{-1}q + B_j A_j \psi_j^{-1}q = 0$. By writing the last equality in the following form $\Re_j \psi_j^{-1}q = 0$, we finally obtain that $K_j q = 0$, iff $\Re_j \psi_j^{-1}q = 0$. Consequently, dim $\ker K_j = \dim \ker \Re_j$. In view of (1) the following equality is true:

 $\dim N_{j} = \upsilon_{-} + nj^{+} - \dim \operatorname{Im} K_{j} = \upsilon_{-} + nj^{+} - (n(\upsilon_{-} + j^{+}) - \dim \ker K_{j}) = \upsilon_{-} + nj^{+} - r_{j}.$

It remains to prove the last statement of our Lemma 2. Let $j \ge v_+$ and $q \in \mathfrak{I}_j$, then $\varphi = \tau_{-j}Aq \in (L_p^-)^n$, $\varphi \in D_p^-(A^{-1})$ and $H_j^-\varphi = q$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{I}_j \subset \operatorname{Im} H_j^-$. A v.-f. $y \in D_q^+(B^{-1})$ we write as follows $y = \tilde{q} + q_- y_0$, where $y_0 \in D_q^+(B^{-1})$, while \tilde{q} is a vector polynomial, whose degree does not exceed $v_+ - 1$. We have $q_-B^{-1}y_0 \in (L_1^+)^n \cap L_q^n$ (i.e. $q_-B^{-1}y_0 \in (L_q^+)^n$), and, therefore, the equality $B^{-1}y = B^{-1}\tilde{q} + q_-B^{-1}y_0$ implies that $H_0^+y = H_0^+\tilde{q}$, i.e. $\operatorname{Im} H_0^+ = \operatorname{Im} \left(H_0^+ |_{\mathfrak{I}_{v_+}} \right)$.

The operator $T': D_q^-(B) \to (L_q^-)^n$ is defined by formula $T'y = P_-(By)$. We prove that ker $T' = \operatorname{Im} H_0^+$. Assume that $\varphi \in \operatorname{Im} H_0^+$. Then there exists $y \in \mathfrak{T}_{\nu_+}$ such that $\varphi = H_0^+ y = P_-(B^{-1}y)$. Now $B\varphi = y - BP_+(B^{-1}y)$, $BP_+(B^{-1}y) \in (L_1^+)^n$ implies $B\varphi \in (L_1^+)^n \bigcap L_q^n$, i.e. $\varphi \in \ker T'$. Conversely, if $\varphi \in \ker T', \varphi \in (L_q^-)^n$ and $B\varphi = \psi \in (L_q^+)^n$, i.e. $\varphi = B^{-1}\psi = P_-(B^{-1}\psi) = H_0^+\psi$. *B* admits a left factorization in L_q (see [4]), and, as it is known, dim ker *T'* coincides with the sum of positive partial indices of *B*. Since *B* is analytic inside the circle, then its partial indices are nonnegative (see [4]). Therefore, dim ker *T'* coincides with total index of *B*. On the other hand, total index of *B* is equal to the number of zeros inside Ω_+ (by taking into account their multiplicities) of function det *B*. Thus, dim Im $H_0^+ = n \deg q_{02}^- + \sum_{i=1}^n (\deg q_{i1}^- - \deg q_{i2}^-)$. For $j \ge 0$ we obtain

$$\operatorname{Im} H_0^+ \supset \operatorname{Im} K_j = \operatorname{Im} \left(H_0^+ |_{H_j^-(\mathfrak{T}_{-(\upsilon_-+j)})} \right) = \operatorname{Im} \left(H_0^+ |_{\operatorname{Im} H_0^-} \right) \supset \operatorname{Im} \left(H_0^+ |_{\mathfrak{T}_j} \right),$$

and for $j \ge v_+$ we get $\operatorname{Im} H_0^+ \supset \operatorname{Im} K_j \supseteq \operatorname{Im} H_0^+$. Consequently, we have $\dim \operatorname{Im} K_j = \dim \operatorname{Im} H_0^+$, $j \ge v_+$, and the Lemma is proved.

Note that, particularly, the following statement is proved:

Corollary 1. For $j > -\upsilon_{-}$ the following equality is true: ker $K_{j} = \psi_{j} \ker \Re_{j}$.

Theorem 1. The partial indices of m.-f. G can be calculated by formulas: $\kappa_i = -\upsilon_- + \chi_0 + \operatorname{card} \{j : n\theta_j - r_j + r_{j-1} < i, j = -\upsilon_- + 1, \dots, \upsilon_+ + 2\},$ (4)

where $r_{-\nu_{-}} = \nu_{-}$, $r_{j} = \operatorname{rang} \mathfrak{K}_{j}$ $(j > -\nu_{-})$ and $\theta_{j} = 1$, j > 0, $\theta_{j} = 0$, $j \le 0$.

Proof. It is known that dim N_j is equal to the sum of negative partial indices of the m.-f. $\tau_{-(\chi_0+j)}G$ with the minus sign. The partial indices of the m.-f. $\tau_{-(\chi_0+j)}G$ are equal to $\kappa_i - \chi_0 - j$ (i = 1,...,n). As we have $j > \kappa_1 - \chi_0$, then dim $N_j > 0$. Consequently, $-\upsilon_- \le \kappa_1 - \chi_0$. Similarly (see [5]), it is not difficult to see that $\kappa_i - \chi_0 = \eta_- + \operatorname{card} \{j | \dim N_j - \dim N_{j-1} < i, \quad j = \eta_- + 1, \dots, \eta_+ \},$ where η_-, η_+ are arbitrary integer numbers satisfying to $\eta_- \le \kappa_1 - \chi_0 \le \dots$ $\dots \le \kappa_n - \chi_0 \le \eta_+$. We can take η_- to be equal to $-\upsilon_-$, while by Lemma 2 we can choose η_+ to be the number $\upsilon_+ + 2$. Taking into account also the equality $\dim N_j - \dim N_{j-1} = n\theta_j + r_{j-1} - r_j$, we get (4).

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that $N_j = \{\tau_j B^{-1}P_+(\tau_{j+}A^{-1}\psi_j q), q \in \ker \Re_j\}, j > -\upsilon_-$. We denote $\hat{N}_j = N_j + \tau N_j = \{\varphi + \tau \psi; \varphi, \psi \in N_j\}$ and $N_j(0) = \{\varphi(0), \varphi \in N_j\}$. It is known (see [3]) that $\hat{N}_j \subset N_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by M_j some direct complement of \hat{N}_j in N_{j+1} . Spaces M_j (see [2]) are called (p,j)-index subspaces. We denote $\xi_i = \kappa_i - \chi_0 + 1 \ (i = 1, ..., n)$. It is known that $N_j = \{0\}$ for $j \leq \xi_1 - 1$ and $N_j = \hat{N}_{j-1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_n\}$. The following statement follows from [3]:

Proposition 1. Assume $\varphi_{i1},...,\varphi_{im_i}$ $(i = 1,...,n, m_i \in \Box)$ are bases in the space M_{ξ_i-1} . Then $\tau_{-\chi_0}G = G_-\Lambda G_+^{-1}$, where $G_+ = [\varphi_{11},...,\varphi_{1m_1},\varphi_2,...,\varphi_{2m_2},...,\varphi_{n1},...,\varphi_{nm_n}]$, $\Lambda = \text{diag}[\tau_{\xi_1-1},...,\tau_{\xi_n-1}]$ and $G_- = G_0G_+\Lambda^{-1}$ is a factorization of a m.-f. $\tau_{-\chi_0}G$.

Assume that $K'_j = [\langle A^{-1} \rangle_{\upsilon_- j^-}, ..., \langle A^{-1} \rangle_{1-j}]$. We call the vectors $q_i = [q_i^1, ..., q_i^{\upsilon_- + \xi_i^+}]$ $(q_i^k \in \square^n, i = 1, ..., n; k = 1, ..., \upsilon + \xi_i^+)$ a factorization collection for the m.-f. *G*, if $\Re_{\xi_i} q_i = 0$, i = 1, ..., n, while vectors $K'_{\xi_1} q_1, ..., K'_{\xi_n} q_n$ are linearly independent.

Proposition 2. A m.-f. G possesses a factorization collection.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in N_j$, then there exists a vector $q = [q_{-(\upsilon_- + j^+)}, ..., q_{-1}] \in \ker \mathfrak{K}_j$,

$$q_{s} \in \square^{n}, s = (-\upsilon_{-} + j^{+}, ..., -1), \text{ such that } \varphi(t) = t^{-j} B^{-1}(t) P_{+}(\tau_{j^{+}} A^{-1} \psi_{j} q).$$

$$< \tau_{j^{+}} A^{-1} \psi_{j} q >_{m} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} t^{j^{+}} A^{-1}(t) \sum_{k=-\upsilon_{-}+j^{+}}^{-1} q_{k} t^{k} t^{-m-1} dt = \sum_{k=-\upsilon_{-}+j^{+}}^{-1} \frac{q_{k}}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} A^{-1}(t) t^{j^{+}+k-m-1} dt =$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\upsilon_{+}+j^{+}}^{-1} < A^{-1} >_{m-k-j^{+}} q_{k}.$$

The v.-f. φ is analytic in Ω_+ , and hence, it can be de expanded into the series $\varphi(t) = B^{-1}(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-\nu_-+j^+}^{-1} < A^{-1} >_{m-k-j^+} q_k \right) t^{m+j^-}$ in a neighborhood of 0.

Besides, $N_j(0) = \{B^{-1}(0)K'_jq, q \in \ker \Re_j\}$, since the m.-f. B(0) is invertible. The existence of a factorization collection follows now from properties of spaces $N_j(0)$ (see [3]). Proof is completed.

Theorem 2. Let $q_i(i = 1,...,n)$ be a factorization collection for the m.-f. Gand $\varphi_i = \tau_{\xi_i} B^{-1} H_{\xi_i}^- \psi_{\xi_i} q_i$, i = 1,...n, then $G_+ = [\varphi_1,...,\varphi_n]$, $\Lambda = \text{diag}[t^{\kappa_1},...,t^{\tau_n}]$, $G_{-} = GG_{+}\Lambda^{-1}$ is a factorization of m.-f. *G*.

Proof. Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 imply that $\varphi_i \in N_{\xi_i}$ (i = 1,...,n). Since $\varphi_1(0),...,\varphi_n(0)$ are linearly independent, then φ_i does not belong to \widehat{N}_{ξ_i-1} . Consequently, $\varphi_i \in M_{\xi_i-1}$ (i = 1,...,n). Taking into account linear independence of a v.-f. φ_i (i = 1,...,n) we deduce the proof of our Theorem from the Proposition 1.

Received 24.01.2011

REFERENCES

- 1. **Dinkin E.M. and Osilenker B.P.** Matematicheskii Analiz (Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki). M.: VINITI, 1983, p. 42–129 (in Russian).
- 2. Kamalyan A.G. Dokladi NAN Armenii, 2007, v. 107, № 4, p. 316–322 (in Russian).
- 3. Kamalyan A.G. Dokladi NAN Armenii, 2008, v. 108, № 1, p. 5–11 (in Russian).
- 4. Litvinchuk G.S. and Spitkovskii I.M. Factorization of Matrix-Functions. Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1987.
- 5. Kamalyan A.G. and Sargsyan A.V. Izv. NAN Armenii. Matematika. Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis, 2007, v. 42, № 3, p. 39–48.
- 6. Sargsyan A.V. Proceedings of the YSU. Phys. and Mathem. Sciences, 2010, № 1, p. 9–15.
- 7. Kamalyan A.G. Izv. NAN Armenii. Matematika. Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis, 1997, v. 32, № 2, p. 19–38.