## **PROCEEDINGS OF THE YEREVAN STATE UNIVERSITY**

Physical and Mathematical Sciences

2015, № 1, p. 8-11

Mathematics

# ON BLOWUP OF CERTAIN COVERING SPACES

### A. F. BEKNAZARYAN \*

Kazan State Power Engineering University, Russian Federation

Using the finite-sheeted unbranched covering maps between the topological spaces we define the notion of the blowup of a topological space and show the existence of the blowups of certain covering spaces.

## MSC2010: 57M10; 54C10.

Keywords: topological groups, covering maps.

**1. Introduction.** In the papers [1-3] the subspaces of the space  $G \times [0, \infty)$ , where *G* is some compact solenoidal group, have been considered and their covering spaces have been studied. Here we consider the product space  $F \times D$ , where *F* is some compact space and *D* is the closed unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then via the finite-sheeted covering maps we define the notion of a blowup of a topological space and show the existence of the blowups of covering spaces of  $F \times D$ .

Thus, let M, X and Y be topological spaces and let  $\tau : Y \to M$  be an unbranched finite-sheeted covering. Also let  $\pi : X \to M$  be a covering map with a set of "critical" points  $K \subset M$ , so that  $\pi$  is an unbranched finite-sheeted covering over  $M \setminus K$  (see [4], p. 25–26).

**D**efinition 1. The space Y will be called a blowup of the space X, if there exists a mapping  $\varphi: Y \to X$  such that the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $Y^* = \tau^{-1}(M^*)$ , where  $M^* = M \setminus K$  is a homeomorphism between the spaces  $Y^*$  and  $X^* = \pi^{-1}(M^*)$ and the diagram

$$X \xleftarrow{\varphi} Y$$

commutes.

Let *D* denote the closed unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and let *S* denote the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose *F* is some compact space. Consider a cylinder  $M = F \times D$ 

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: abeknazaryan@yahoo.com

with "lateral side"  $bM = F \times S$ . A set  $K \subset M$  that is closed in M will be called a *thin* set, if:

- 1.  $bM \cap K = \emptyset$  and
- 2. for each fixed  $x \in F$  the set  $M_x = \{z \in D : (x, z) \in K\}$  is finite.

Let  $\pi: L \to M$  be a covering such that for some thin set *K* the restriction  $\pi^*: L^* \to M^*$ of  $\pi$  on  $L^* = \pi^{-1}(M^*)$ , where  $M^* = M \setminus K$ , is an *n*-fold unbranched covering. For each  $x \in F$  denote

$$D_x = \{x\} \times D, \quad D_x^* = D_x \setminus K$$

and

$$S_x = \{x\} \times S, \quad V_x = \pi^{-1}(S_x).$$

Let  $\gamma : I = [0,1] \to M$  be a continuous mapping, which determines the continuous path  $\gamma(I)$  in M.

**D**efinition 2. A path  $\gamma(I)$  in M will be called analytic, if it is entirely contained in some set  $D_x^*, x \in F$ .

As  $S_x \subset D_x^*$ , then each path that is entirely contained in  $S_x, x \in F$ , is analytic.

By path lifting property (see [5], p. 282) for each path  $\gamma(I)$  in  $M^*$  and for each point  $w \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$  there exists a unique path  $\hat{\gamma}(I) \subset L^*$ , which starts at w and lies over the path  $\gamma(I)$ , i.e.,  $\hat{\gamma}(0) = w$  and  $\gamma(t) = \pi \circ \hat{\gamma}(t)$ ,  $t \in I$ . The path  $\hat{\gamma}(I)$  is called the lift of  $\gamma(I)$ .

**D**efinition 3. A path in  $L^*$  will be called analytic, if it is a lift of some analytic path from  $M^*$ .

In particular, any path in *L* that is entirely contained in some set  $V_x = \pi^{-1}(S_x)$  is analytic.

Let us now introduce the notion of equivalent points in the space  $L^*$ .

**Definition** 4. Two points  $w_1, w_2 \in L^*$  will be called equivalent, if  $\pi(w_1) = \pi(w_2)$  and there exists an analytic path  $\hat{\gamma}(I) \subset L^*$  such that  $w_1 = \hat{\gamma}(0)$  and  $w_2 = \hat{\gamma}(1)$ .

The equivalence of points  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  is denoted by  $w_1 \sim w_2$ . It is easy to check that for any points  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$  from  $L^*$  we have that if  $w_1 \sim w_2$  and  $w_2 \sim w_3$ , then  $w_1 \sim w_3$ . The properties of reflexivity and symmetry of the presented relation are obvious. Thus, for each  $(x, z) \in M^*$  the set  $\pi^{-1}(x, z) = \{w_1, ..., w_n\}$  breaks up into the finite number of equivalence classes. On  $L^*$  define a function  $v : L^* \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{v}(w) = \operatorname{card}\{w' \in \pi^{-1}(\pi(w)) : w' \sim w\}, \quad w \in L^*.$$

Thus, the function  $v : L^* \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  assigns to each point from  $L^*$  the number of its equivalent points. Since  $w \sim w$ , then, clearly,  $v(w) \ge 1, w \in L^*$ .

Let  $\pi_1 : bL \to bM$  be a restriction of the covering  $\pi : L \to M$  to  $bL = \pi^{-1}(bM)$ . Since  $bM \subset M^*$ , then  $\pi_1$  is an unbranched *n*-fold covering.

Finally, let us define the set  $E = \pi^{-1}(F \times \{1\})$  and an *n*-fold unbranched covering  $\pi_2 : E \times S \to bM$ , setting

$$\pi_2((y,\xi)) = (x,\xi)$$

for  $(y, \xi) \in E \times S$  with  $\pi(y) = (x, 1)$ .

*Lemma*. Suppose that v(w) = 1 for any  $w \in bL$ . Then there exists a homeomorphism  $\sigma: bL \to E \times S$  such that the diagram

$$bL \xrightarrow{\sigma} E \times S$$

commutes.

*P r o o f*. Define a mapping  $\sigma : bL \to E \times S$  as follows. Let  $w \in bL$  and assume  $\pi_1(w) = (x, \xi), x \in F, \xi \in S$ . Consider a continuous mapping  $\gamma : I \to \{x\} \times S = S_x$ , which determines a path  $\gamma(I)$  with  $\gamma(0) = (x, 1)$  and  $\gamma(1) = (x, \xi)$ . Let  $\hat{\gamma} : I \to bL$  be the lift of  $\gamma$  in *bL*:  $\gamma = \pi_1 \circ \hat{\gamma}$ , with  $\hat{\gamma}(1) = w$ . Set  $y := \hat{\gamma}(0) \in E$  and define  $\sigma(w) := (y, \xi) \in E \times S$ . In other words, given a point  $w \in bL$  we consider the image  $(x, \xi) = \pi_1(w)$  and construct in  $S_x$  the path  $\gamma$  connecting (x, 1) with  $(x, \xi)$ .

Then we take the lift  $\hat{\gamma}$ , which passes through *w*. Denote its initial point by *y* and define  $\sigma(w) = (y, \xi)$ . Let us show that  $\sigma$  is well-defined. First, since  $\pi_1 : bL \to bM$  is an unbranched *n*-fold covering, there is a unique lift  $\hat{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  passing through *w*. Further, assume that  $\gamma_1(I)$  is another continuous path in  $S_x$  connecting (x, 1) with  $(x, \xi)$ . Since the paths  $\gamma(I)$  and  $\gamma_1(I)$  are entirely contained in  $S_x$ , they are both analytic.

Therefore, their lifts  $\hat{\gamma}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}_1$  are analytic as well, i.e., if  $\hat{\gamma}_1(1) = w$ , then  $\hat{\gamma}_1(0) = \hat{\gamma}(0)$ . Indeed, since  $\pi_1 \circ \hat{\gamma}(0) = \gamma(0) = \pi_1 \circ \hat{\gamma}_1(0)$ , the assumption  $\hat{\gamma}_1(0) \neq \hat{\gamma}(0)$ would mean that distinct points  $\hat{\gamma}_1(0)$  and  $\hat{\gamma}(0)$  are equivalent since they are the endpoints of an analytic path which connects  $\hat{\gamma}_1(0)$  with w and then w with  $\hat{\gamma}(0)$ . But this contradicts the condition  $v(w) = 1, w \in bL$ . Thus, the mapping  $\sigma$  is well-defined. By construction we have that  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ \sigma$ . Let us show that  $\sigma$  is bijective. Suppose  $\sigma(w_1) = \sigma(w_2) := (y, \xi) \in E \times S$ . Let us say that  $\pi_1(y) = (x, 1)$  with  $x \in F$ . Then  $\pi_1(w_1) = \pi_1(w_2) = (x, \xi)$  and by the construction of  $\sigma$  we have that  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ are the endpoints of some analytic paths, which start at y. Since  $v(w_1) = 1$ , we get  $w_1 = w_2$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  is injective. Now suppose that  $(y, \xi) \in E \times S$  and  $\pi_1(y) = (x, 1)$ ,  $x \in F$ . Consider any path  $\gamma: I \to S_x$  with  $\gamma(0) = (x, 1)$  and  $\gamma(1) = (x, \xi)$ . Since  $y \in \pi_1^{-1}(\gamma(0))$ , by path lifting property there exists a unique lift  $\hat{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  with  $\hat{\gamma}(0) = y$ . Then  $\pi_1 \circ \hat{\gamma}(1) = \gamma(1) = (x, \xi)$ . Denoting  $w = \hat{\gamma}(1)$ , we get that  $\sigma(w) = (y, \xi)$ , i.e.  $\sigma(w) = (y, \xi)$ . is surjective and therefore bijective. Continuity and openness of the mapping  $\sigma$  follow from the continuity and the openness of the coverings  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  and from their local homeomorphity (see the proof of Theorem 3.1 from [2]). 

The Theorem is proved similarly.

*Theorem*. Suppose v(w) = 1 for any  $w \in L^*$ . Then there exists a blowup  $E \times D$  of the space *L* such that the diagram



commutes, where  $\pi_1 : E \times D \to M : (y, z) \mapsto (x, z)$  with  $(x, 1) = \pi(y)$  is an unbranched *n*-fold covering and  $\varphi : E \times D \to L$  is the blowup mapping.

The author would like to thank Prof. S. A. Grigoryan for his guidance and assistance.

Received 24.12.2014

#### REFERENCES

- Grigorian S.A., Gumerov R.N., Kazantsev A.V. Group Structure in Finite Coverings of Compact Solenoidal Groups. // Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics 2000, v. 6, p. 39–46.
- 2. Beknazaryan A.F., Grigoryan S.A. On Bohr-Riemann Surfaces. // Proceedings of the NAS Armenia, 2014, v. 49, № 5, p. 76–88 (in Russian).
- 3. Beknazaryan A.F. Topologies on the Generalized Plane. // Proceedings of the Yerevan State University. Physical and Mathematical Sciences, 2014, № 3, p. 8–12.
- 4. Forster O. Riemann Surfaces. Russian translation. M.: Mir, 1980.
- 5. Lee J.M. Introduction to Topological Manifolds (2nd ed.). Graduate Texts in Mathematics. NY: Springer, 2011, v. 202.