PROCEEDINGS OF THE YEREVAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Physical and Mathematical Sciences

2016, Nº 2, p. 63-66

COMMUNICATIONS

Mathematics

ON LEBESGUE CONSTANTS OF VILENKIN SYSTEMS

S. A. SARGSYAN *

Chair of Higher Mathematics, Department of Physics YSU, Armenia

In the paper some properties of Lebesgue constants $\{L_n(W)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of Vilenkin system are investigated. Non almost convergence property for the sequence $\left\{\frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n}\right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is obtained.

MSC2010: Primary 42C10, Secondary 42B08.

Keywords: Vilenkin system, Lebesgue constants, almost convergence.

Introduction. Let $\Psi = \{\psi_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal system of functions defined on [a,b]. The Lebesgue constants of Ψ is defined as follows:

$$L_n(\Psi, x) := \int_a^b \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_k(x) \overline{\psi_k(t)} \right| dt \text{ (here } \overline{x} \text{ means the complex conjugate of } x).$$

If this functions are independent on x, then they are called Lebesgue constants $\{L_n(\Psi)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of system Ψ . Recall the definition of Vilenkin systems. Consider an arbitrary sequence of natural numbers $P \equiv \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k, \dots\}$, where $p_i \ge 2$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let denote $m_0 = 1$, $m_k = \prod_{j=1}^k p_j$ $(p_j \ge 2)$. It is easy to see that for each $x \in [0, 1)$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist integers $x_j, \alpha_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p_j - 1\}$ (in the case $x = \frac{l}{m_k}, l \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \le l \le m_k - 1$, we take $x_j = 0$ for all j > k, so that $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j m_{j-1}$ and $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{m_j}$ (*P*-adic expansions).

^{*} E-mail: stepansargsyan@ysu.am

Vilenkin or multiplicative system with respect to the sequence *P* is defined as follows:

$$W_0(x) \equiv 1; W_n(x) = \exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \frac{x_j}{p_j}\right).$$

Obviously the *n*th function can be represented by

$$W_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^k (W_{m_{j-1}}(x))^{\alpha_j}.$$

Note that systems corresponding to distinct sequences $P = \{p_k\}$ are different, in particular, if $P \equiv \{2, 2, ..., 2, ...\}$ the Vilenkin system coincides with the Walsh one (see [1]). The theory of these systems was developed by N. Ya. Vilenkin in 1946 (see [2,3]).

The Lebesgue constants of Vilenkin system have the form

$$L_n(W) = \int_0^1 |D_n(t)| dt,$$
 (1)

where $D_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} W_k(t)$ is the *n*th Dirichlet kernel of Vilenkin system.

It is known [4] that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{L_n(T)}{\ln n} = \frac{4}{\pi}$, where *T* is the trygonometric system. Note that, in contrast to this, for the Vilenkin system it was proved [3] that

$$0 = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n} < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n} < \infty.$$
⁽²⁾

Recall that a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is called almost convergent, if for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} x_k = a$ uniformly by *m*. Denote

$$q(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} x_k \text{ and } p(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} x_k$$

This limits exist for every bounded sequence, and obviously the almost convergence of a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent to the condition $q(x_n) = p(x_n)$ (see [5,6]). In this paper we prove the following

Theorem 1. For any Vilenkin system the following equivalencies are true:

1.
$$q\left(\frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n}\right) = 0 \left(=\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n}\right);$$

2. $p\left(\frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n}\right) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n} < \infty.$

From this Theorem as a direct consequence we obtain that the sequence $\left\{\frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n}\right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is not almost convergent. Note that the analogues result for the Walsh system is formulated in [6].

Auxiliary Propositions. We will use the following properties of Vilenkin system

$$L_{m_k}(W) = 1, \ k = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (3)

$$W_{lm_k+\beta}(x) = W_{lm_k}(x)W_{\beta}(x), \text{ if } \beta < m_k \ (k,l,\beta \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(4)

From (4) we get

$$D_{m_k+r}(t) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{m_k-1} W_j(t) + W_{m_k}(t) \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} W_j(t) \equiv D_{m_k}(t) + W_{m_k}(t) D_r(t) \text{ for all } 1 < r \le m_k.$$
(5)

Proof of Main Result. Let us begin with a proof of first equation. We put

$$l_n := \frac{L_n(W)}{\log_2 n}, \ \check{l_n} = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} l_k \text{ and } \hat{l_n} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} l_k$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary positive number and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on *n* such that

$$m_k > n \text{ and } \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n (1+L_r) \right) < \varepsilon.$$
 (6)

From (1), (3) and (5) we obtain

$$L_{m_k+r} \leq 1 + L_r$$
 for all $1 \leq r \leq n$.

Combining this with (6) and taking into consideration a relation $m_k \ge 2^k$, we get

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=m_k+1}^{m_k+n}l_j \leq \frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^n(1+L_r)\right) < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we have $0 \le \check{l}_n < \varepsilon$ for any natural number *n*, and eventually since ε is arbitrary we get the first equation $q(l_n) = 0$.

Next we prove that $\lim_{r\to\infty} \hat{l}_r \ge c$. We put

$$c = \limsup_{n \to \infty} l_n, \ c_1 = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} l_n.$$
(7)

Let *r* be any natural number and $\varepsilon > 0$. We fix $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_{k_0} \ge r$, then we take $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ $(n_0 > m_{k_0})$, so that

$$l_{n_0} > c - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \ \frac{\log_2 m_{k_0}}{\log_2 (n_0 - m_{k_0})} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6c_1} \ \text{and} \ \frac{\log_2 n_0}{\log_2 (n_0 + m_{k_0})} > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3(c+1)}.$$
 (8)

The *P*-adic expansion of n_0 has the form $n_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j m_j$. Denote

$$n'_0 := \sum_{j=k_0}^k \alpha_j m_j. \tag{9}$$

Let $n \in [n'_0, n'_0 + m_{k_0})$. By the same argument as in (5) we get

$$D_n(t) \equiv D_{n_0'}(t) + W_{n_0'}(t) D_{n-n_0'}(t).$$

Thus, from (1) and reverse triangle inequality, we obtain

 $L_n \ge L_{n'_0} - L_{n-n'_0}$ and $L_{n'_0} \ge L_{n_0} - L_{n-n'_0}$.

Hence (see also (7))

$$L_n \ge L_{n_0} - 2L_{n-n'_0} \ge L_{n_0} - 2c_1 \log_2 m_{k_0}.$$

From this and (8), (9) we have

$$l_n \ge \frac{L_{n_0}}{\log_2 n_0} \left(\frac{\log_2 n_0}{\log_2 n}\right) - 2\frac{c_1 \log_2 m_{k_0}}{\log_2 n} > c - \varepsilon.$$

$$\tag{10}$$

From (10) we get

$$\frac{1}{r}\sum_{n=n_0'+1}^{n_0'+r}l_n>c-\varepsilon,$$

which implies $\hat{l}_r \ge c - \varepsilon$, and from arbitrariness of *r* and ε we obtain that $\lim_{r \to \infty} \hat{l}_r \ge c$. It remains to show that $\lim \hat{l}_r \leq c$.

Again we take $\varepsilon > 0$ to be an arbitrary number, then we choose natural numbers k_0 and $k'_0 > k_0$ such that

$$l_k < c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
 for all $k \ge k_0$ and $\frac{1}{k'_0} \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} l_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. (11)

If $m < k_0$ or all $r \ge k'_0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{r}\sum_{k=m+1}^{m+r} l_k = \frac{1}{r}\sum_{k=m+1}^{k_0} l_k + \frac{1}{r}\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{m+r} l_k .$$
(12)

From (11) and (12) we get

$$rac{1}{r}\sum_{k=m+1}^{m+r}l_k\leq c+arepsilon ext{ for all } r\geq k_0'$$

If $m \ge k_0$, then from (11) for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r}\sum_{k=m+1}^{m+r}l_k\leq c+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence, $\hat{l}_r \leq c + \varepsilon$ for all $r \geq k'_0$ and eventually we get $\lim_{r \to \infty} \hat{l}_r \leq c$.

Received 08.02.2016

REFERENCES

- 1. Golubov B.I., Efimov A.V., Skvortsov V.A. Walsh Series and Transforms: Theory and Applications. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- 2. Agaev G.N., Vilenkin N.Ya., Dzhafarli G.M., Rubinshtein A.I. Multiplicative Systems of Functions and Harmonic Analysis on Zero-Dimensional Groups. Baku: ELM, 1981 (in Russian).
- 3. Vilenkin N.Ya. Ón a Class of Complete Orthonormal Systems. // Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1947, v. 11, p. 363-400 (in Russian).
- Bari N.K. Trigonometric Series. M.: Fizmatlit, 1961 (in Russian).
 Sucheston L. Banach Limits. // Amer. Math. Monthly, 1967, v. 74, p. 308–311.
- 6. Astashkin S.V., Semenov E.M. Lebesgue Constants of the Walsh System. // Doklady Akademii Nauk, ser. Matematica, 2015, v.91, № 3, p. 344–346.