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Introduction. The history of embedding theorems begins with the works of S.L. Sobolev (see [1, 2]) and later these results for anisotropic spaces were continued by different mathematicians. In the papers [3–9] one can find the history of the problem and different results. This paper is a continuation of [10–12], where we proved embedding theorems for multianisotropic spaces for the characteristic polyhedron having one vertex of anisotropicity. Below we study the same problem for the polyhedron with two anisotropcity vertices. The difficulty of the study of two vertices case is the selection of a “dominant” facet, which is done in the work.

Multianisotropic Exponents and Their Properties. Let $\mathbb{R}^n$ be $n$-dimensional space, $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$ the set of all multi-indices. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, $\xi^\alpha = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi_n^{\alpha_n}$, $t^\alpha = (t_1^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, t_n^{\alpha_n})$, $D_k = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ $(k = 1, \ldots, n)$, $D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_n}$ be the weak derivative. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the completely regular polyhedron (i.e., see [10]) with vertices $l^0 = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $l^1 = (l_1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $l^2 = (0, l_2, 0, \ldots, 0)$, $\ldots$, $l^n = (0, 0, \ldots, 0, l_n)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n)$ such that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have only positive coordinates. Below we denote these vertices by $\{\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{n+2}\}$. Let $\mu^i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, 2n-1)$ be the outer normal of the $(n-1)$-dimensional non-coordinate face $\mathcal{P}_{i-1}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, 2n-1)$ such that the equation of the $(n-1)$-dimensional hyperplane, containing this face is $(\alpha, \mu^i) = 1$ $(i = 1, \ldots, 2n-1)$. For a parameter $\nu > 0$ and a natural number $k$ denote

$$P(\nu, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \left( \nu^\xi^\alpha \right)^{2k},$$

$$G_0(\nu, \xi) = e^{-P(\nu, \xi)},$$

$$G_{1,j}(\nu, \xi) = 2k \left( \nu^\xi^\alpha \right)^{2k-1} e^{-P(\nu, \xi)}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n+2,$$
and let $\hat{G}_0(t, v), \hat{G}_{1,j}(t, v)$ ($j = 1, \ldots, n + 2$) be the corresponding Fourier transforms of these functions. It is obvious that $\hat{G}_0, \hat{G}_{1,j}, \hat{G}_0, \hat{G}_{1,j} \in S$, where $S = S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Schwartz space of functions infinitely differentiable and rapidly decreasing at infinity.

For any multi-index $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ we denote

$$I = I(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{m_1 \xi_1 + \cdots + m_n \xi_n} e^{-P(v, \xi)} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n$$

(4)

and study the behavior of the function $I(v)$ depending on $v : 0 < v < 1$.

**Lemma 1.** For any multi-index $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ there exist constants $C_0, \ldots, C_n$, such that for any $v : 0 < v < 1$ the following inequality holds:

$$|I(v)| \leq (C_n |\ln v|^n + \cdots + C_1 |\ln v| + C_0) v^{-\max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} l_i}.$$  

(5)

**Proof.** First we prove Lemma 1 for $n = 3$.

Consider the ratios $\frac{\alpha_i}{m_i + 1}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and denote by $i_0$ one of the indices, for which the ratio is maximal, i.e.

$\max_{i = 1, 2, 3} \frac{\alpha_i}{m_i + 1} = \frac{\alpha_{i_0}}{m_{i_0} + 1}$. Let $i_0 = 3$ and all other ratios are less than $\frac{\alpha_i}{m_i + 1}$. Consider the facet passing through the vertices $(l_1, 0, 0), (0, l_2, 0), (\alpha, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3))$.

Let this face has the outer normal $\mu^3$. Substituting in Eq. 3 $\xi = v^{-\mu^3} \eta$, we obtain

$$|I(v)| \leq CV^{-|\mu^3|+(m, \mu^3)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\eta_1} \frac{\alpha_2}{\eta_2} \frac{\alpha_3}{\eta_3}\right)} \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_3} \frac{\eta_3}{\eta_1}\right)^{m_1} d\eta_1 \int_0^\infty \eta_2 \eta_3 \eta_1^{-\frac{\alpha_1}{\eta_1} \frac{\alpha_2}{\eta_2} \frac{\alpha_3}{\eta_3}} e^{-\frac{\alpha_1}{\eta_1} \frac{\alpha_2}{\eta_2} \frac{\alpha_3}{\eta_3}} d\eta_2 \leq CV^{-|\mu^3|+(m, \mu^3)}.$$

The last relation follows from the convergence of three integrals and from the inequalities $m_1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_3} m_3 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_5} > -1, m_2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_3} m_3 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_5} > -1$. The cases $\frac{\alpha_1}{m_1 + 1} < \frac{\alpha_3}{m_3 + 1}$ and $\frac{\alpha_1}{m_1 + 1} = \frac{\alpha_3}{m_3 + 1}$ are studied, in the same way as in [3] were done.

Suppose $i_0 \neq 3$ and consider similar ratios for the other vertex of anisotropicility.

Let $j_0$ be one of the indices, for which the ratio is maximal, i.e.

$\max_{j = 1, 2, 3} \frac{\beta_j}{m_j + 1} = \frac{\beta_{j_0}}{m_{j_0} + 1}$. Now for $j_0 = 2$ consider the facet passing through the vertices $(l_1, 0, 0), (\beta, (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3))$, with the outer normal $\mu^2$. For $j_0 = 1$ we consider the facet with the vertices $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3), (0, l_2, 0)$ and with the outer normal $\mu^1$. Then the substitutions $\xi = v^{-\mu^2} \eta$ or $\xi = v^{-\mu^1} \eta$ in [3] respectively yield the same result with a slight change of the form of the integral (for example, $l_3$ instead of $l_2$ or $\alpha$ instead of $\beta$ etc.).

The only cases we need to consider are:

a) $\max_{i = 1, 2, 3} \frac{\alpha_i}{m_i + 1} = \frac{\alpha_2}{m_2 + 1}$ and $\max_{j = 1, 2, 3} \frac{\beta_j}{m_j + 1} = \frac{\beta_3}{m_3 + 1}$;

b) $\max_{i = 1, 2, 3} \frac{\alpha_i}{m_i + 1} = \frac{\alpha_1}{m_1 + 1}$ and $\max_{j = 1, 2, 3} \frac{\beta_j}{m_j + 1} = \frac{\beta_3}{m_3 + 1}$.

We only study a), since it is symmetric to b).

At first we consider the case, when the maximum is unique (the equality in these relations is discussed later), i.e.

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} < \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_2 + 1}, \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_3} < \frac{m_3 + 1}{m_2 + 1}, \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} < \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_3 + 1}, \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} < \frac{m_2 + 1}{m_3 + 1}.$$  

(6)
Substituting \( \xi = v^{-\mu^4} \eta \) in Eq. (5), we obtain
\[
|I(v)| \leq Cv^{-\mu^4} (m^4 + (m^4)) \int \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \times
\]
\[
-2i\alpha_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \end{array} \right) + 2i\alpha_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta_1 \\ \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \end{array} \right) d\eta_1 d\left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \end{array} \right) d\left( \begin{array}{c} \beta_1 \\ \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \end{array} \right).
\]

Let \( K, M, L \) be numbers satisfying the relation
\[
\eta_i \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \\ \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \end{array} \right) M^{(L)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta_1 \\ \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 \end{array} \right) = \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3.
\]

By equating the corresponding exponents of \( \eta_i \) \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), we get a system of linear equations with respect to the unknowns \( K, M, L \). If we denote \( x = K + 1, y = M + 1 \) and \( z = L + 1 \), then the equations take the following form:
\[
\begin{align*}
  x + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} y + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_3} z &= m_1 + 1, \\
  y + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} z &= m_2 + 1, \\
  \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_2} x + z &= m_3 + 1.
\end{align*}
\]

By substituting \( \xi = v^{-\mu^3} \eta \) and applying similar steps, we get the following system of linear equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
  x + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} y + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_3} z &= m_1 + 1, \\
  \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_3} x + y + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} z &= m_2 + 1, \\
  \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_2} x + y + z &= m_3 + 1.
\end{align*}
\]

So we need to show that either one of Eqs. (7) and (8) has a non-negative solution in order to ensure that \( K, M, L \geq 1 \).

Using the conditions (6), it is easy to check that \( y \) and \( z \) are positive in Eq. (7).

Consider \( x \). By Cramer’s rule and the corresponding substitution, we have
\[
x = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} m_1 + 1 & \alpha_1/\alpha_2 & \beta_1/\beta_3 \\ m_2 + 1 & 1 & \beta_2/\beta_3 \\ m_3 + 1 & \alpha_3/\alpha_2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1/\alpha_2 & \beta_1/\beta_3 \\ 1 & \beta_2/\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3/\alpha_2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\Delta}{\alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2}.
\]

Note that, due to conditions (6), we have \( \alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 > 0 \), so \( x \) and \( \Delta \) have the same sign. Let \( \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \) and \( \Delta_3 \) be the corresponding cofactors of Laplace expansion of the determinant \( \Delta \) along the first row, i.e. \( \Delta_1 = \alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2, \Delta_2 = \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 \) and \( \Delta_3 = \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1 \). Thus, \( \Delta = (m_1 + 1)\Delta_1 + (m_2 + 1)\Delta_2 + (m_3 + 1)\Delta_3 \). Since the conditions (6) hold, we have \( \Delta_1 > 0 \). Notice that \( \alpha_1 \Delta_1 + \alpha_2 \Delta_2 + \alpha_3 \Delta_3 = \beta_1 \Delta_1 + \beta_2 \Delta_2 + \beta_3 \Delta_3 = 0 \) and each \( \Delta_i \) cannot be positive. Consider the following cases:

1. \( \Delta_1 > 0 \).

By (6) we have \( m_1 + 1 > \frac{m_2 + 1}{\alpha_2} \alpha_1 \) and \( m_3 + 1 > \frac{m_2 + 1}{\alpha_2} \alpha_3 \). Applying these inequalities to the expansion, we obtain
\[
\Delta = (m_1 + 1)\Delta_1 + (m_2 + 1)\Delta_2 + (m_3 + 1)\Delta_3 > \frac{m_2 + 1}{\alpha_2} (\Delta_1 \alpha_1 + \Delta_2 \alpha_2 + \Delta_3 \alpha_3) = 0.
\]
2. $\Delta_3 < 0$ and $\Delta_2 \geq 0$.

As in the previous case, from Eq. (6) we obtain $\Delta > 0$.

3. $\Delta_3 < 0$ and $\Delta_2 < 0$.

Consider $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_3}$ and $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_3}$. By (4) we also have $m_2 + 1 < \frac{m_1 + 1}{\alpha_3} \alpha_2$, $m_3 + 1 < \frac{m_1 + 1}{\beta_3} \beta_2$.

Suppose that either $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_3} \leq \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_3 + 1}$ or $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_3} \leq \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_2 + 1}$ holds. Then either $m_3 + 1 \leq \frac{m_1 + 1}{\alpha_3}$ or $m_2 + 1 \leq \frac{m_1 + 1}{\beta_3}$. The both cases can be proceed analogously to the cases 1 and 2.

It remains to consider the case when $\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1} < \frac{m_3 + 1}{m_1 + 1}$ and $\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} < \frac{m_2 + 1}{m_1 + 1}$, $\Delta_2 < 0$ and $\Delta_3 < 0$, where in the case $\Delta < 0$ consider (8), where $x$ and $z$ are positive. For $y$ we have:

$$y = \frac{1}{1 - (\alpha_1/\alpha_3) \cdot (\beta_1/\beta_3)} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3} = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_2}.$$

Hence, in this case the signs of $y$ in Eq. (8) and $x$ in Eq. (7) are of different. Thus, if $\Delta > 0$, then the solution of (7) is positive. If $\Delta < 0$, then the solution of (8) is positive. If $\Delta = 0$, then the solutions of each equations are non-negative. We split the integral into four parts and estimate each one separately. Let $\mu_0^i = \min_{1 \leq j \leq 5} \mu_i^j$ ($i = 1, 2$), then we have

$$|I(v)| \leq \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_1 \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_2 \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_3 + \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_1 \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_2 \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_3 + \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_1 \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_2 \int_0^{\mu_0^0} d\xi_3$$

By substituting $\xi = v^{-\mu_i^1} \eta$ in $I_1$, we get

$$I_1 \leq CV(-|\mu_i|+(m, \mu_i)) \int_0^{\eta_1} d\eta_1 \int_0^{\eta_2} d\eta_2 \int_0^{\eta_3} e^{-\eta_2 \eta_3 - \eta_1 \eta_3} d\eta_3$$

Since the last integral is non-negative, then $0 \leq \eta_1 \leq v^{\mu_i^1} \eta_0 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \eta_2 \leq v^{\mu_i^1} \eta_0 \leq 1$ if $0 \leq \xi_1 \leq v^{-\mu_i^1} \eta$ and $0 \leq \xi_2 \leq v^{-\mu_i^1} \eta$.

Similarly, one can estimate $I_2$, $I_3$ and $I_4$, considering the solutions of (8) and (7) with the corresponding substitutions $\xi = v^{-\mu_i^1} \eta$, $\xi = v^{-\mu_i^2} \eta$ and $\xi = v^{-\mu_i^3} \eta$.

As a result in this case we obtain

$$|I(v)| \leq (C_1 \ln v + C_2)v^{-\max_{i=1,3}(|\mu_i|+(m, \mu_i))}.$$

Now we return to the case of equality in Eq. (6) there is an equal sign. If $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_2 + 1}$ and $\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_2} = \frac{m_3 + 1}{m_2 + 1}$, then the solution of (7) is non-negative and the integral can be estimated as in the case $\Delta = 0$. If $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_2 + 1}$ and $\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_2} = \frac{m_3 + 1}{m_2 + 1}$, then $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} < \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_3 + 1}$. This case has already been discussed. If $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_3 + 1}$ and $\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} < \frac{m_2 + 1}{m_3 + 1}$, then $\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} < \frac{m_2 + 1}{m_1 + 1}$. This case is
handled in the same way as \( j_0 = 1 \). If \( \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_3} < \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_3 + 1} \) and \( \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} < \frac{m_2 + 1}{m_3 + 1} \), then \( \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} < \frac{m_1 + 1}{m_2 + 1} \), so we can apply the case \( j_0 = 2 \).

Now we prove the Lemma [1] for any \( n \).

We cut the completely regular polyhedron \( \mathfrak{H} \) with the hyperplane \((l^1, l^2, \ldots, l^{n-1}, \alpha)\).

Now we consider the polyhedron \( \mathfrak{H} \) with vertices \((l^1, \ldots, l^n, \alpha)\), which has one anisotropy vertex \( \alpha \). Now, if for some \( i \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\) we apply the substitution \( \xi = v^{-\mu} \eta \) to (5), we obtain

\[
|I(\nu)| \leq CV^{-\left(\mu + (m, \mu')\right)} \times \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\eta_1^{2\bar{\mu}_1} - \cdots - \eta_n^{2\bar{\mu}_n}} \left( \eta_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \eta_n^{\alpha_n} \right)^{\bar{\alpha}} \eta_1^{\bar{m}_1} \cdots \eta_n^{\bar{m}_n} \eta_1^{\bar{m}_1} \cdots \eta_n^{\bar{m}_n} d\eta_1 \cdots d\eta_n.
\]

Let \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \) be numbers satisfying the following relation:

\[
\eta_1^{\bar{k}_1} \cdots \left( \eta_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \eta_n^{\alpha_n} \right)^{k_1} \cdots \eta_n^{\bar{k}_n} = \eta_1^{\bar{m}_1} \cdots \eta_n^{\bar{m}_n} \eta_i^{m_i - \alpha_i} \cdots \eta_n^{m_n - \alpha_n}.
\]

By equating the corresponding exponents of \( \eta_i \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\), we get a system of linear equations with respect to the unknowns \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n \). Denote \( x_1 = k_1 + 1, x_2 = k_2 + 1, \ldots, x_n = k_n + 1 \). Then the equation takes the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
x_1 &+ \alpha_1 / \alpha_i x_i &= m_1 + 1 \\
x_2 &+ \alpha_2 / \alpha_i x_i &= m_2 + 1 \\
&\cdots &= \cdots \\
x_i &+ \alpha_i / \alpha_i x_i &= m_i + 1 \\
&\cdots &= \cdots \\
\alpha_n / \alpha_i x_i &+ x_n &= m_n + 1.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

If a polyhedron \( \mathfrak{H} \) has one vertex of anisotropy \( \alpha \), than as it is shown in [12], there is a facet with the outer normal \( \mu = 0 \) such that the system of linear Eqs. (9) has a non-negative solution after the substitution \( \xi = v^{-\mu} \eta \). Suppose after the substitution \( \xi = v^{-\mu} \eta \), the system (9) has a non-negative solution. If in the obtained polyhedron \( \mathfrak{H} \) the sought-for facet corresponding to the substitution \( \eta_0 \) is not the facet \((l^1, l^2, \ldots, l^{n-1}, \alpha)\), then, since this facet is as well a facet of the polyhedron \( \mathfrak{H} \), the problem of finding the sought-for facet is resolved. If the sought-for facet is \((l^1, l^2, \ldots, l^{n-1}, \alpha)\), which is not a facet of the polyhedron \( \mathfrak{H} \), then we consider the following \( n \) pieces \((n - 1)\)-dimensional facets of the polyhedron \( \mathfrak{H} \), passing through the vertices \( \{l^1, l^2, \ldots, l^{n-1}, \beta \}, \{l^1, l^2, \ldots, l^{n-2}, \beta, \alpha \}, \{l^1, l^2, \ldots, l^{n-3}, \beta, l^{n-1}, \alpha \}, \ldots, \{l^1, \beta, l^3, \ldots, l^{n-1}, \alpha \}, \{\beta, l^2, \ldots, l^{n-1}, \alpha \} \). Then we prove, that at least for one of them one of the following \( n \) systems of linear equations has a non-negative solution:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
x_1 &+ \beta_1 / \beta_i x_i + \alpha_1 / \alpha_i x_n = m_1 + 1 \\
x_2 &+ \alpha_2 / \alpha_i x_n = m_2 + 1 \\
&\cdots &= \cdots \\
x_i &+ \alpha_i / \alpha_n x_n = m_i + 1 \\
&\cdots &= \cdots \\
\beta_n / \beta_i x_i &+ x_n = m_n + 1.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
Not that for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) the variables with coefficients of the form \( \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_n} \) are zeros in (10).

Suppose \( S = \{ \alpha^1, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{n+2} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and denote
\[
\text{cone}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} c_i \alpha^i \mid n \geq -1, \alpha^i \in S, c_i \in \mathbb{R}, c_i \geq 0 \right\}.
\]

For \( S_0 = \{ i^1, i^2, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \alpha \} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) we denote
\[
\Delta_0 = \Delta(i^1, i^2, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \alpha) = \begin{vmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha_i / \alpha_n \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \alpha_{n-1} / \alpha_n \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{vmatrix} = 1 \neq 0.
\]

Let \( \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

For \( S_1 = (S_0 \setminus \{ i^1 \}) \cup \{ \beta \} \) \( (i = 1, \ldots, n-1) \), \( S_n = (S_0 \setminus \{ \alpha \}) \cup \{ \beta \} \) we have
\[
\Delta_i = \Delta(i^1, \ldots, i^{l-1}, \beta, i^{l+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \alpha) = \begin{vmatrix}
1 & \alpha_i / \alpha_n & \beta_i / \beta_n \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \beta_{n-1} / \beta_n \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{vmatrix} = 1 \neq 0.
\]

Denote \( I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\} \). Let \( I_1 = I \setminus I_2 \), where \( I_1 \) is the set of indices \( i \), for which the signs of \( \Delta_i \) and \( \Delta_0 \) coincide and \( I_2 = I \setminus I_1 \).

First we prove that \( \Delta_i \) and \( \Delta_0 \) have the same sign for some \( i \in I \). The equation of the hyper-plane that cut \( \Omega \) is \( \Delta_p(x) = \Delta(x - l_1, l_2 - l_1, \ldots, l_{n-1} - l_1, \alpha - l_1) \). Denote by \( \beta \) the point that was left out of \( \Omega \) after the cut. Then it is obvious that \( \beta \) and 0 lie in different halfspaces, i.e. \( \Delta_p(0) \cdot \Delta_p(\beta) < 0 \). Notice that \( -\Delta_p(0) = \Delta_0 \) and, thus, \( \Delta_p(\beta) \) and \( \Delta_0 \) have the same sign.

Using the properties of the determinant, it is easy to show that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_i = \Delta_p(\beta) - \Delta_p(0) = \Delta_p(\beta) + \Delta_0 \). Then since \( \Delta_0 \) and \( \Delta_p(\beta) \) have the same sign, their sum also has the same sign.

Thus \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_i \) has the same sign as \( \Delta_0 \). So at least one of \( \Delta_i \) has the same sign, as \( \Delta_0 \).

So at least one of the systems of linear Eqs. (10) has a non-negative solution. Let \( m + 1 \in \text{cone}(S_0) \), then there is a vector \( m_0 = (m_{0,1}, \ldots, m_{0,n}) \) with non-negative coefficients such that \( m + 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} m_{0,j} i^j + m_{0,n} \alpha \). Consider the system of linear Eqs. (10), for which \( i \in I_1 \). Let \( x_i \) be the solution to the \( i \)-th equation. Then by Cramer’s Rule, using the properties of the determinant for \( j < i \), we get
\[
x_{i,j} = \Delta(i^1, i^2, \ldots, i^{l-1}, m + 1, i^{j+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \beta, i^{j+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \alpha)
= m_{0,1} \Delta(i^1, i^2, \ldots, i^{l-1}, i^{j+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \beta, i^{j+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \alpha)
+ \cdots + m_{0,j} \Delta(i^1, i^2, \ldots, i^{l-1}, i^{j+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \beta, i^{j+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \alpha)
+ \cdots + m_{0,n} \Delta(i^1, i^2, \ldots, i^{l-1}, \alpha, i^{j+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \beta, i^{j+1}, \ldots, i^{n-1}, \alpha)
= m_{0,j} + m_{0,n} \frac{\Delta_j}{\Delta_0}.
\]

For \( j > i \), the \( i \)-th and \( j \)-th rows are switched yielding the same result as for \( j < i \). Using the properties of the determinant for \( i = j \), we obtain
Let \( \gamma \) be such that \( x_k, k = \min_{i \in I_1} x_{i,j} \). We claim, that the vector \( x_k \) is non-negative and we only need to show that \( x_{i,j} \geq 0, k \neq j \in I_1 \). Due to the choice of \( k \) we have \( x_{i,j} - x_{k,k} \geq 0 \) for \( j \in I_1 \) and \( \frac{\Delta_{j}}{\Delta_{k}} > 0 \) and so \( x_{k,k} = \frac{\Delta_{k}}{\Delta_{0}} (x_{j,j} - x_{i,j}) \geq 0 \).

For \( i = n \) the system (10) also has a non-negative solution, since \( x_{i,j} = m_{0,j} \geq 0, j = 1, \ldots, n \).

Thus, we showed that at least one of the system of linear Eqs. (10) has a non-negative solution. \( \square \)

**Corollary.** It follows from the proof of Lemma 1 that the power of logarithm in the inequality depends on the zeros of the system (10), i.e. instead of \( n \) in (8) we can take the maximum number of zeros of the system (10). In particular, if the system (10) has no zeros, then the constants \( C_1, \ldots, C_n \) can be taken to be zeros.

**Multianisotropic Kernels and Their Properties.** Consider the multianisotropic kernels (3), denoting

\[
\hat{G}_{1,j}(t,v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i\langle \xi, k \rangle} (2k) \left( v_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1}} \right)^{2k} e^{-P(v, \xi)} d_{\xi}.
\]

We study the properties of \( \hat{G}_{0}, \hat{G}_{1,j} \in S \).

Let \( \mu^{i} (i = 1, \ldots, n) \) be the outer normal of the \((n-1)\)-dimensional face, which is passing through the vertices \( \{\alpha^{1}, \alpha^{2}, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}, \alpha^{n+1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}, \sigma\} \), where \( \sigma \) is or \( \alpha^{n+1}, \) or \( \alpha^{n+2} \).

Denote by \( \gamma = (\gamma^{1}, \ldots, \gamma^{n}) \) the point of intersection of the hyper-plane with the outer normals \( \mu^{1}, \ldots, \mu^{n} \). Suppose the relation \( \gamma^{1} < \gamma^{2} < \cdots < \gamma^{n-r} \leq \gamma^{n-r+1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{n} (r = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1) \) holds between the coordinates of the vector \( \gamma \).

As in [10], we build a set of vectors \( \Upsilon \) and \( \mathfrak{B} \). Then, when \( 0 < v < 1 \) for \( \hat{G}_{1,j} \) we have the following results (the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 1.1 and 1.2 in [12]):

**Lemma 2.** Let \( \gamma^{1} < \gamma^{2} < \cdots < \gamma^{n-r} \leq \gamma^{n-r+1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{n} \) for any multi-index \( m \) and any even number \( N \), for which \( N \Upsilon \) has only even coordinates, there exist constants \( C_{i} (i = 0, 1, \ldots, n) \) such that for any \( v, 0 < v < 1, \)

\[
|D^{m_{\Upsilon}} \hat{G}_{1,j}| \leq \frac{\max_{i=1}^{n} |\mu^{i}|}{(1 + v^{-N(t^{N\gamma_{1}} + t^{N\gamma_{2}} + \cdots + t^{N\gamma_{n}})) \ldots (1 + v^{-N(t^{N\gamma_{1}} + t^{N\gamma_{2}} + \cdots + t^{N\gamma_{n}}))}}.
\]

where out of all subsets of \( \Upsilon \) corresponding to \( \mathfrak{B} \) the one present in the multipliers of the inequality (11) is the smallest.
**Lemma 3.** Let $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_{n-r} \leq \gamma_{n-r+1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_n$. Then there exist numbers $a_i$ ($i = 0, \ldots, l$) and a natural number $N_0$ such that for any $\nu$, $0 < \nu < 1$, and $N > N_0$ the following inequality holds:

$$\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{dt_1 \cdots dt_n}{(1 + \nu^{-N}(t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_1))} \cdots (1 + \nu^{-N}(t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_1)) \right) \leq \nu^{\min |\mu^1| \left( a_0 |\ln \nu|^l + \cdots + a_0 \right)},$$

(12)

where $l$ ($l \leq r$) is the number of equalities among the coordinates of the vector $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$.

**Regularization of a Function and Integral Representation Through a Multianisotropic Kernels.** For any measurable function $f$ consider the regularization with the kernel $\hat{G}_0(i, \nu)$:

$$f_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \hat{G}_0(t-x, \nu) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (13)$$

Then the function $f_\nu$ will have the usual properties of the regularization, i.e.

**Lemma 4.** If $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, then $f_\nu \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f_\nu\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$ and $\lim_{\nu \to 0} \|f_\nu - f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$.

As in [13] (see Theorem 3.1), we can prove the following theorem of integral representation using the regularization $f_\nu$:

**Theorem 1.** Let a function $f$ have the weak derivatives $D^{\alpha} f$, where $i = 1, \ldots, n+2$, $\alpha$ are the vertices of a completely regular polyhedron $\Omega$ and $D^{\alpha} f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n+2$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it has the representation

$$f(x) = f_\nu(x) + \lim_{\nu \to 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^{\alpha} f(i) \hat{G}_{1,i}(t-x, \nu) dt. \quad (14)$$

**Embedding Theorems for Multianisotropic Spaces.** Denote $W^{\alpha}_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ f : f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n), \ D^{\alpha} f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n), \ i = 1, \ldots, n+2 \}$ and call the multianisotropic Sobolev space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{\alpha}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \left\| D^{\alpha} f \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Using the results of the previous section we can prove the following embedding theorem for multianisotropic spaces with two vertices of anisotropy (for multianisotropic spaces with one vertex of anisotropy see [12], Theorem 4.2):

**Theorem 2.** Let $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_{n-r} \leq \gamma_{n-r+1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_n$, $p$ and $q$ ($p \leq q$) be numbers such that $1 < p \leq \infty$ or $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $q = \infty$, $m = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)$ be a multi-index. Denote $\chi = \max_{i=1, \ldots, r} \left( \frac{|\mu_i|}{1 + \frac{1}{q}} \right)$.

If $\chi < 1$, then $D^m W^{\alpha}_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. any function $f \in W^{\alpha}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a weak derivative $D^m f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $h > 0$ the following inequality holds:

$$\|D^m f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq h^{1-\chi} \left( a_1 + a_1 \ln h^{r+n} + \cdots + a_0 \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \left\| D^{\alpha} f \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(15)
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