PROCEEDINGS OF THE YEREVAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Physical and Mathematical Sciences

2017, 51(3), p. 241-249

Mathematics

UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR MULTIPLE FRANKLIN SERIES

K. A. NAVASARDYAN *

Chair of Numerical Analysis and Mathematical Modelling YSU, Armenia

It is proved, that if the square partial sums $\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x})$ of a multiple Franklin series converge in measure to a function f, the ratio $\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n}$ is bounded and the majorant of partial sums satisfies to a necessary condition, then the coefficients of the series are restored by the function f.

MSC2010: 42C10.

Let $n = 2^{\mu}$

Keywords: majorant of partial sums, A-integral, uniqueness.

Introduction. The orthonormal Franklin system consists of piecewise linear and continuous functions. This system was constructed by Franklin [1] as the first example of a complete orthonormal system, which is a basis in the space of continuous functions on [0, 1]. In order to formulate earlier, as well as new results, let's recall some definitions.

$$+\nu, \mu \ge 0, \text{ where } 1 \le \nu \le 2^{\mu}. \text{ Denote}$$

$$s_{n,i} = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{2^{\mu+1}} & \text{for } 0 \le i \le 2\nu, \\ \frac{i-\nu}{2^{\mu}} & \text{for } 2\nu < i \le n. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Let S_n denote the space of functions continuous and piecewise linear on [0,1] with nodes $\{s_{n,i}\}_{i=0}^n$, i.e. $f \in S_n$, if $f \in C[0,1]$, is linear on each closed interval $[s_{n,i-1}, s_{n,i}]$, i = 1, 2, ..., n. It is clear, that dim $S_n = n + 1$ and the set $\{s_{n,i}\}_{i=0}^n$ is obtained by adding the point $s_{n,2\nu-1}$ to the set $\{s_{n-1,i}\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$. Therefore, there exists a unique function $f_n \in S_n$, which is orthogonal to S_{n-1} , $||f_n||_2 = 1$ and $f_n(s_{n,2\nu-1}) > 0$. Setting $f_0(x) = 1$, $f_1(x) = \sqrt{3}(2x-1), x \in [0,1]$, we obtain the orthonormal system $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, which was defined equivalently by Franklin [1].

In this paper we will consider multiple series by Franklin system.

Let d be a natural number. Consider multiple Franklin series

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d} a_{\mathbf{m}} f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}),\tag{2}$$

^{*} E-mail: knavasard@ysu.am

where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ is a vector with non-negative integer coordinates, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$ and $f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{m_1}(x_1) \cdots f_{m_d}(x_d)$.

Denote by $\sigma_n(\mathbf{x})$ the *n*-th square partial sum of the series (2), i.e.

$$\sigma_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}: m_i \le n, \ i=1,\dots,d} a_{\mathbf{m}} f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{3}$$

where **m** = $(m_1, ..., m_d)$.

The following theorems were proved by Gevorkyan and Poghosyan.

Theorem **A.** [2]. If the sums $\sigma_{2^n}(\mathbf{x})$ converge in measure to an integrable function *f* and

$$\liminf_{\lambda \to +\infty} \left(\lambda \cdot \max \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^d : \sup_n |\sigma_{2^n}(\mathbf{x})| > \lambda \right\} \right) = 0,$$

then the series (2) is the Fourier–Franklin series of f.

Theorem B. [3] If the sums $\sigma_{2^n}(\mathbf{x})$ converge in measure to a function f and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\lambda_k \cdot \max\left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^d : \sup_n |\sigma_{2^n}(\mathbf{x})| > \lambda_k \right\} \right) = 0$$

for some sequence $\lambda_k \to +\infty$, then for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$

$$a_{\mathbf{m}} = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{[0,1]^d} [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_k} f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

where

242

$$[f(\mathbf{x})]_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \begin{cases} f(\mathbf{x}), & \text{if } |f(\mathbf{x})| \leq \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \\ 0, & \text{if } |f(\mathbf{x})| > \boldsymbol{\lambda}. \end{cases}$$

In this paper we will prove, that in the Theorem B instead of the partial sums $\sigma_{2^n}(\mathbf{x})$ one can take square partial sums $\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x})$, where q_n is any increasing sequence of natural numbers, for which the ratio $\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n}$ is bounded. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. Let $\{q_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that the ratio $\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n}$ is bounded. If the sums $\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x})$ converge in measure to a function f and there exists a sequence $\lambda_k \to +\infty$ that the following condition holds:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\lambda_k \cdot \max\left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^d : \sup_n |\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x})| > \lambda_k \right\} \right) = 0, \tag{4}$$

then for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$

$$a_{\mathbf{m}} = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{[0,1]^d} [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_k} f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (5)

Recall, that the function f is called A-integrable on a set G, if $\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \lambda \cdot \max\{x \in G : |f(x)| > \lambda\} = 0$ and the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \int_G [f(x)]_{\lambda} dx =: (A) \int_G f(x) dx.$$

Notice that the next two theorems are immediate corollaries of the Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $\{q_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that the ratio $\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n}$ is bounded. If the sums $\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x})$ converge in measure to a function f and

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\left(\lambda\cdot \operatorname{mes}\left\{\mathbf{x}\in[0,1]^d: \sup_n|\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x})|>\lambda\right\}\right)=0,$$

then all functions $f(\mathbf{x})f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, are *A*-integrable and

$$a_{\mathbf{m}} = (A) \int_{[0,1]^d} f(\mathbf{x}) f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \qquad \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d.$$

Theorem 3. Let $\{q_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that the ratio $\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n}$ is bounded. If the sums $\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x})$ converge in measure to a function $f \in L[0,1]^d$ and for some sequence $\lambda_k \to +\infty$ the condition (4) holds, then (2) is the Fourier–Franklin series of f.

Not that similar questions for series by Franklin system and generlized Franklin system were considered in [4–7].

In [8] for Haar series analogous theorems to Theorems 1–3 are proved.

Similar problems for Vilenkin and generalized Haar systems were considered in [9] and [10], for systems generated by a bounded sequence $\{p_k\}$ and in [11] for general case.

Proof of Theorems. Let $\{q_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers and *M* be a number satisfying the inequality

$$\frac{q_{n+1}}{q_n} \le M \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(6)

Denote $S^*(\mathbf{x}) := \sup_n |\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x})|$ and suppose that for the sequence $\lambda \nearrow +\infty$ the following statement holds:

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \left(\lambda_k \cdot \operatorname{mes} \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^d : S^*(\mathbf{x}) > \lambda_k \right\} \right) = 0.$$
(7)

Let $\{s_{n,i}\}_{i=0}^n$ be the points given in (1), $s_{n,-1} = 0$ and $s_{n,n+1} = 1$. For any n and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ denote $\delta_i^n := (s_{n,i-1}, s_{n,i+1})$. Let define the function $B_i^n(x)$ as follows. It is linear on intervals $[s_{n,j-1}, s_{n,j}], j = 1, 2, ..., n$, and

$$B_i^n(s_{n,j}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

For any natural v we set $\mathbb{N}_{v}^{d} := \{0, 1, \dots, q_{v}\}^{d}$. It is clear that

$$\sigma_{q_{\boldsymbol{v}}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_{\boldsymbol{v}}^d} a_{\mathbf{m}} f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})$$

For any $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_d) \in \mathbb{N}_V^d$ denote

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}} := \delta_{j_1}^{q_{\mathbf{v}}} \times \delta_{j_2}^{q_{\mathbf{v}}} \times \dots \times \delta_{j_d}^{q_{\mathbf{v}}},$$

$$F_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) := B_{j_1}^{q_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_1) \cdot B_{j_2}^{q_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_2) \cdot \dots \cdot B_{j_d}^{q_{\mathbf{v}}}(x_d).$$
(8)

Obviously supp $(F_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}) = \overline{\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}}$ and

$$\left(\frac{1}{2q_{\nu}}\right)^{d} \le \operatorname{mes}(\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}) \le \left(\frac{4}{q_{\nu}}\right)^{d}.$$
(9)

It follows from the definition of functions B_i^n , that $\sum_{i=0}^n B_i^n(x) = 1$ for all $x \in [0,1]$, therefore,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{N}_v^{V}}F_{\mathbf{j}}^{v}(\mathbf{x})=1\quad\text{for all}\quad\mathbf{x}\in[0,1]^d.$$

Let us notice that

$$\int_{[0,1]^d} F_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}} F_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \prod_{i=1}^d \int_{\delta_{j_i}^{q_v}} F_{j_i}^{q_v}(x_i) dx_i = \frac{\operatorname{mes}(\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}})}{2^d}.$$

Therefore, by denoting

$$M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{2^d}{\operatorname{mes}(\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu})} F_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}),$$

we obtain (in view of (9)) that

$$\int_{[0,1]^d} M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x})| \le (4q_{\mathbf{v}})^d, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}, \ \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{v}}^d.$$
(10)

It is clear that the functions $\{M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}\}_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{v}}^{d}}$ are basis in the space

$$S_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}^d} a_{\mathbf{m}} f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) : a_{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The following lemmas were proved in [2].

Lemma 1. Let *F* be a function, which is defined on $\Delta = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_d, b_d], d \in \mathbb{N}$, and is linear with respect to each variable. If $L = \max_{t \in \Delta} |F(t)|$, then

$$\operatorname{mes}\left\{t \in \Delta : |F(t)| \ge \frac{L}{2^d}\right\} \ge \frac{\operatorname{mes}(\Delta)}{3^d}.$$

Lemma 2. For any $M_{j_0}^{\nu_0}$ and $\nu > \nu_0$ there exist numbers α_j such that

$$M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\nu_0}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{N}_{\nu}^d} lpha_{\mathbf{j}} M_{\mathbf{j}}^{
u}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in\mathbb{N}_{\nu}^{d}}\alpha_{\mathbf{j}}=1,\quad\alpha_{\mathbf{j}}\geq0\quad\text{and}\quad\alpha_{\mathbf{j}}=0\quad\text{if}\quad\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}\not\subset\Delta_{\mathbf{j}_{0}}^{\nu_{0}}.$$

Although the Lemma 2 in [2] was proved for $q_v = 2^v$, obviously the same proof is true in general case, also.

Now suppose that the statements (6) and (7) hold and the sums $\sigma_{q_v}(\mathbf{x})$ converge in measure to a function f. First let's prove that for any $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $\mathbf{j}_0 \in \mathbb{N}_{v_0}^d$, for which $\max_{1 \le i \le d} \{m_i\} \le q_{v_0}$, the following statement is true:

$$\int_{[0,1]^d} \sigma_{q_{v_0}}(\mathbf{x}) M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{v_0}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_{[0,1]^d} [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_k} M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{v_0}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
 (11)

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ denote

$$E_k := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{supp}(M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\nu_0}) = \overline{\Delta_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\nu_0}} : S^*(\mathbf{x}) > \lambda_k \right\}.$$

Let ε be a positive number. In view of (7), one can take the natural number k_0 such that the following inequalities hold:

$$(4^7 q_{\nu_0} M)^d \lambda_k \cdot \operatorname{mes}(E_k) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{when} \quad k \ge k_0, \tag{12}$$

$$\operatorname{mes}(E_k) < (4^4 M)^{-d} \operatorname{mes}(\Delta_{\mathbf{i}_0}^{V_0}), \quad \text{when} \quad k \ge k_0.$$
 (13)

Suppose $v \ge v_0$. We set

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} := \left\{ A : A = (s_{q_{\mathbf{v}}, j_1 - 1}, s_{q_{\mathbf{v}}, j_1}) \times \ldots \times (s_{q_{\mathbf{v}}, j_d - 1}, s_{q_{\mathbf{v}}, j_d}), A \subset \Delta_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\mathbf{v}_0} \right\}.$$
 (14)

Obviously

$$\left(\frac{1}{2q_{\nu}}\right)^{d} \le \operatorname{mes}(A) \le \left(\frac{2}{q_{\nu}}\right)^{d}$$
 for all $A \in \Omega_{\nu}$. (15)

Notice, that if for some $A \in \Omega_{\nu}$, $\nu \ge \nu_0$, the inequality

$$\operatorname{mes}(E_k \cap A) \le 2^{-2d} \operatorname{mes}(A) \tag{16}$$

holds, then

$$\left|\sigma_{q_{\nu}}(\mathbf{x})\right| \leq 2^{d} \lambda_{k} \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathbf{x} \in A.$$
 (17)

Let suppose that $A \in \Omega_{\nu}$ and for some point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in A$ the inequality (17) does not hold, i.e. $|\sigma_{q_{\nu}}(\mathbf{x}_0)| > 2^d \lambda_k$. Since $\sigma_{q_{\nu}}(\mathbf{x})$ is linear with respect to each variable on the set *A*, according to the Lemma 1 we obtain that

$$\operatorname{mes}\left\{\mathbf{x}\in A: \ \left|\sigma_{q_{v}}(\mathbf{x})\right|\geq\lambda_{k}\right\}\geq 3^{-d}\operatorname{mes}(A),$$

which contradicts (16).

According to (8), (13) and (14), we obtain that

$$\operatorname{mes}(E_k \cap A) \le \left(4^4 M\right)^{-d} \operatorname{mes}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\mathbf{v}_0}\right) < \left(4^3 M\right)^{-d} \operatorname{mes}(A), \quad \text{when} \quad A \in \Omega_{\mathbf{v}_0}.$$
(18)

Now let's define by induction the families Ω_{ν}^1 and Ω_{ν}^2 , $\nu \ge \nu_0$. If $\nu = \nu_0$, then we set

$$\Omega^{1}_{v_{0}} := \{ A \in \Omega_{v_{0}} : \operatorname{mes}(E_{k} \cap A) > (4^{3}M)^{-d} \cdot \operatorname{mes}(A) \}, \quad Q_{v_{0}} := \bigcup_{A \in \Omega^{1}_{v_{0}}} A,$$

and

$$\Omega^2_{v_0} := \{ A \in \Omega_{v_0} : A \not\subset Q_{v_0} \}, \quad P_{v_0} := igcup_{A \in \Omega^2_{v_0}} A.$$

From (18) we have, that $Q_{v_0} = \emptyset$ and the closure of P_{v_0} is the supp $(M_{j_0}^{v_0})$, i.e. $\overline{P_{v_0}} = \overline{\Delta_{j_0}^{v_0}}$. Now suppose we have defined the sets Ω_n^1 , Ω_n^2 , Q_n and P_n for all n < v. Let's denote

$$\Omega_{\nu}^{1} := \left\{ A \in \Omega_{\nu} : \operatorname{mes}(E_{k} \cap A) > (4^{3}M)^{-d} \cdot \operatorname{mes}(A) \text{ and } A \not\subset \bigcup_{n < \nu} Q_{n} \right\}, \quad (19)$$
$$Q_{\nu} := \bigcup_{A \in \Omega_{\nu}^{1}} A,$$
$$\Omega_{\nu}^{2} := \left\{ A \in \Omega_{\nu} : A \not\subset \bigcup_{n \le \nu} Q_{n} \right\}, \quad P_{\nu} := \bigcup_{A \in \Omega_{\nu}^{2}} A.$$

Thus we have defined the families Ω_v^1 , Ω_v^2 and the sets P_v , Q_v ($v \ge v_0$), satisfying to the following conditions: $\Omega_v^1 \subset \Omega_v$, $\Omega_v^2 \subset \Omega_v$,

$$\operatorname{supp}(M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\nu_0}) = \Delta_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\nu_0} = \overline{P_{\nu}} \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{n \le \nu} \overline{Q_n} \right), \quad P_{\nu} \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{n \le \nu} Q_n \right) = \emptyset, \tag{20}$$

$$Q_{\mathbf{v}} \cap Q_n = \emptyset, \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbf{v} \neq n.$$
 (21)

It is seen from (21) and (19), that

$$\operatorname{mes}\left(\bigcup_{n\leq v} Q_n\right) < (4^3 M)^d \operatorname{mes}(E_k) \text{ for any } v \geq v_0.$$
(22)

For any $v > v_0$ denote

$$J_{\nu} := \{ \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\nu}^{d} : \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu} \cap Q_{\nu} \neq \emptyset, \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu} \subset \overline{P_{\nu-1}} \}.$$
(23)

Note that for any $\mathbf{j} \in J_{\mathcal{V}}$ and for all $B \in \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$, which are subset of $\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathcal{V}}$, the inequality

$$\operatorname{mes}(E_k \cap B) < 4^{-d} \operatorname{mes}(B) \tag{24}$$

holds. Suppose there exists a parallelepiped $B \in \Omega_{\nu}$ such that $B \subset \Delta_{j}^{\nu}$, but the inequality (24) does not hold. Denote by *D* that set from $\Omega_{\nu-1}$, which contains *B*. Using (6) and (15), we get that

$$\operatorname{mes}(B) \ge \left(\frac{1}{2q_{\nu}}\right)^d \ge \left(\frac{1}{2Mq_{\nu-1}}\right)^d \ge \left(\frac{1}{4M}\right)^d \operatorname{mes}(D).$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{mes}(E_k \cap D) \ge \operatorname{mes}(E_k \cap B) \ge 4^{-d} \operatorname{mes}(B) \ge (4^2 M)^{-d} \operatorname{mes}(D),$$

which means that $B \subset D \subset \bigcup_{n < v} Q_n$, moreover $\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^v \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{n < v} Q_n \right) \neq \emptyset$ (see (19)). But this contradicts to (23) and (20). Thus, if $\mathbf{j} \in J_v$, then for all $B \in \Omega_v$ with $B \in \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^v$ the inequality (24) is true, therefore,

$$\operatorname{mes}(E_k \cap \Delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathcal{V}}) < 4^{-d} \operatorname{mes}(\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathcal{V}}).$$

Using the last inequality and according to (16) and (17), we get

$$|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_{\boldsymbol{V}}}(\mathbf{x})| \leq 2^{d} \lambda_{k}, \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\boldsymbol{V}}, \quad \mathbf{j} \in J_{\boldsymbol{V}}.$$
(25)

Similarly we obtain (according to definition of P_{ν} and (19)), that if $\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu} \subset P_{\nu}$, then $\operatorname{mes}(E_k \cap \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}) \leq (4^3 M)^{-d} \operatorname{mes}(\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu})$ and, therefore,

$$|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}(\mathbf{x})| \leq 2^d \lambda_k, \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \subset P_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}.$$
(26)

Now let's define by induction different expansions φ_n for $M_{i_0}^{\nu_0}$, satisfying conditions:

$$M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\mathbf{v}_0} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \le n} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\mathbf{v}}} \alpha_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{j}}^n M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{j} : \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^n \subset P_n} \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}^n M_{\mathbf{j}}^n,$$
(27)

$$\sum_{\nu \leq n} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\nu}} \alpha_{\nu,\mathbf{j}}^{n} + \sum_{\mathbf{j} : \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} \subset P_{n}} \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} = 1, \quad \alpha_{\nu,\mathbf{j}}^{n} \geq 0, \quad \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} \geq 0.$$
(28)

Set $\varphi_{v_0} := M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{v_0}$. It is clear that φ_{v_0} satisfies both (27) and (28). Suppose we have defined expansions $\varphi_{v_0}, \ldots, \varphi_n$, satisfying (27) and (28). According to Lemma 2, for any $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}^n \subset P_n$ we have

$$M_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}:\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{n+1}\subset\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{n}} \beta_{\mathbf{i}} M_{\mathbf{i}}^{n+1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \beta_{\mathbf{i}} \ge 0.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Note, that if $\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^n \subset P_n$ and (29) holds, then either $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{n+1} \cap Q_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ and, therefore, $\mathbf{i} \in J_{n+1}$ or $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{n+1} \subset P_{n+1}$. Therefore, inserting the expressions (29) in (27) and grouping similar terms, we obtain

$$M_{\mathbf{j}_{0}}^{\nu_{0}} = \varphi_{n+1} = \sum_{\nu \leq n+1} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\nu}} \alpha_{\nu,\mathbf{j}}^{n+1} M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu} + \sum_{\mathbf{j} : \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{n+1} \subset P_{n+1}} \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}^{n+1} M_{\mathbf{j}}^{n+1}.$$
 (30)

It is obvious, that all coefficients in (30) are nonnegative. Since the integrals of all functions M_{j}^{v} are 1 (see (10)), from (30) we get that

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \leq n+1} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \alpha_{\boldsymbol{\nu},\mathbf{j}}^{n+1} + \sum_{\mathbf{j} : \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{n+1} \subset P_{n+1}} \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}^{n+1} = 1.$$

So we have proved, that for any $n \ge v_0$ the expansion (27) with coefficients (28) is possible.

According to the definition of J_{ν} and sets Q_{ν} , we obtain that

$$\operatorname{mes}\left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{j}\in J_{\mathcal{V}}}\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathcal{V}}\right)\leq 4^{d}\operatorname{mes}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{V}}).$$

Therefore, using the inequality (22) and (21), for the measure of the set $D_n := \bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \le n} \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\mathbf{v}}} \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}$ we get that

$$\operatorname{mes}(D_n) \le 4^d \operatorname{mes}\left(\bigcup_{\nu \le n} Q_\nu\right) \le (4^4 M)^d \operatorname{mes}(E_k). \tag{31}$$

According to (10), (27), (28) and (31), we obtain that for any $n \ge v_0$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v}\leq n}\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J_{\mathbf{v}}}\alpha_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{j}}^{n} = \sum_{\mathbf{v}\leq n}\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J_{\mathbf{v}}}\alpha_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{j}}^{n}\int_{D_{n}}M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}\leq \int_{D_{n}}M_{\mathbf{j}_{0}}^{\mathbf{v}_{0}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}\leq (4^{5}Mq_{v_{0}})^{d}\operatorname{mes}(E_{k}).$$
 (32)

Suppose we are given a number $v \ge v_0$ and $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ such that $\max_i \{p_i\} > q_v$. Then, according to the definition of functions f_p and M_j^v , we get

$$(f_{\mathbf{p}}, M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}) := \int_{[0,1]^d} f_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{v}}^d.$$

Therefore, for any $n \ge v$ and for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_v^d$ one can write

$$(\sigma_{q_n}, M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}) = \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}_n^d} a_{\mathbf{p}}(f_{\mathbf{p}}, M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}) = \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}_\nu^d} a_{\mathbf{p}}(f_{\mathbf{p}}, M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}) = (\sigma_{q_\nu}, M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}).$$
(33)

It is easily seen (see Eqs. (27) and (33)) that for any $n \ge v_0$

$$\int_{[0,1]^d} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_{v_0}}(\mathbf{x}) M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{v_0}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{[0,1]^d} [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_k} M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{v_0}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \bigg| = \bigg| \bigg(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_n} - [f]_{\lambda_k}, M_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{v_0} \bigg) \bigg| = \\ = \bigg| \bigg(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_n} - [f]_{\lambda_k}, \sum_{\mathbf{v} \le n} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\mathbf{v}}} \alpha_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{j}}^n M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{j} : \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^n \subset P_n} \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}^n M_{\mathbf{j}}^n \bigg) \bigg| \le$$
(34)

$$\leq \left| \sum_{\mathbf{v} \leq n} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\mathbf{v}}} \alpha_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{j}}^{n} \left(\sigma_{q_{\mathbf{v}}} - [f]_{\lambda_{k}}, M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{v}} \right) \right| + \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j} : \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} \subset P_{n}} \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} \left(\sigma_{q_{n}} - [f]_{\lambda_{k}} M_{\mathbf{j}}^{n} \right) \right| =: I_{1} + I_{2}.$$

Using (25), (10), (32) and (12), for I_1 we will have the inequality

$$I_{1} \leq \sum_{\nu \leq n} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\nu}} \alpha_{\nu,\mathbf{j}}^{n} | \left(\sigma_{q_{\nu}} - [f]_{\lambda_{k}}, M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu} \right) | \leq (2^{d} \lambda_{k} + \lambda_{k}) \sum_{\nu \leq n} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_{\nu}} \alpha_{\nu,\mathbf{j}}^{n} \leq$$
$$\leq (4^{6} M q_{\nu_{0}})^{d} \lambda_{k} \operatorname{mes}(E_{k}) < 4^{-d} \varepsilon, \quad \text{for any} \quad n \geq \nu_{0}.$$
(35)

Denote $H_n := \bigcup_{\mathbf{j}:\Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^n \subset P_n} \Delta_{\mathbf{j}}^n$, $T_k := \{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{j}_0}^{\mathbf{v}_0} : |f(\mathbf{x})| > \lambda_k\}.$ It is clear (see also (12)) that

$$\operatorname{mes}(T_k) \leq \operatorname{mes}(E_k) \leq (4^7 M q_{\nu_0})^{-d} \lambda_k^{-1} \varepsilon.$$

According to (10) and (27), we obtain

$$I_{2} \leq (4q_{\nu_{0}})^{d} \int_{H_{n}} |\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_{n}}(\mathbf{x}) - [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_{k}} | d\mathbf{x} =$$

$$= (4q_{\nu_{0}})^{d} \int_{H_{n} \cap T_{k}} |\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_{n}}(\mathbf{x}) - [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_{k}} | d\mathbf{x} +$$

$$+ (4q_{\nu_{0}})^{d} \int_{H_{n} \setminus T_{k}} |\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_{n}}(\mathbf{x}) - [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_{k}} | d\mathbf{x} := I_{3} + I_{4}.$$
(36)

Using (26) and (12), we can estimate I_3 as follows:

$$I_3 \le (4q_{\nu_0})^d (2^d \lambda_k + \lambda_k) (4^7 M q_{\nu_0})^{-d} \lambda_k^{-1} \varepsilon < 4^{-d} \varepsilon.$$

$$(37)$$

Since $\sigma_{q_n}(\mathbf{x}) - [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_k}$ on the set $H_n \setminus T_k$ converges in measure to 0, as $n \to \infty$, and is bounded, then for sufficiently large *n* we get that $I_4 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. Therefore, according to (34)–(37), we obtain (11).

Now let's prove that for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ the coefficient $a_{\mathbf{m}}$ can be found by (5). Assume $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. First let's fix a number v satisfying $\max_{1 \le i \le d} m_i \le q_v$. Since $f_{\mathbf{m}} \in S_{q_v}$ and the system of functions $\{M_{\mathbf{j}}^v\}_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_v^d}$ is a basis in the space S_{q_v} , then one can find numbers $\beta_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_v^d$, such that

$$f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\nu}^{d}} \beta_{\mathbf{j}} M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}).$$
(38)

Using (3), (38) and (11), we get that

$$\begin{split} a_{\mathbf{m}} &= (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_{\mathcal{V}}}, f_{\mathbf{m}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{V}}^{d}} \beta_{\mathbf{j}} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{q_{\mathcal{V}}}, M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathcal{V}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{V}}^{d}} \beta_{\mathbf{j}} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]^{d}} [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_{k}} M_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \\ &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]^{d}} [f(\mathbf{x})]_{\lambda_{k}} f_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \end{split}$$

which proves the Theorem 1.

Received 22.09.2017

REFERENCES

- Franklin Ph. A Set of Continuous Orthogonal Functions. // Math. Ann., 1928, v. 100, p. 522–528.
- 2. Gevorkyan G.G. Uniqueness Theorem for Multiple Franklin Series. // Math. Zametki, 2017, v. 101, № 2, p. 199–210 (in Russian).
- 3. Gevorkyan G. G., Poghosyan M. P. On Recovering of Coefficients of a Franklin Series with the "Good" Majorant of Partial Sums. // Izv. NAN Armenii. Ser. Math., 2017, v. 52, № 5, p. 25–35.
- 4. Gevorkyan G.G. Uniqueness of Franklin Series. // Math. Zametki, 1989, v. 46, № 2, p. 51–58 (in Russian).
- Gevorkyan G.G. Majorants and Uniqueness of Series in the Franklin System. // Math. Zametki, 1996, v. 59, № 4, p. 521–545 (in Russian).
- 6. Gevorkyan G.G. Uniqueness Theorems for Series in the Franklin System. // Math. Zametki, 2015, v. 98, № 5, p. 786–789 (in Russian).
- 7. **Poghosyan M.P.** Uniqueness of Series by General Franklin Systems. // Izv. NAN Armenii. Ser. Math., 2000, v. 35, № 4, p. 77–83 (in Russian).
- 8. Gevorkyan G.G., Navasardyan K.A. On Haar Series of A-Integrable Functions. // Izv. NAN Armenii. Matematika, 2017, v. 52, № 3, p. 30–45 (in Russian).
- 9. Kostin V.V. Reconstructing Coefficients of Series from Certain Orthogonal Systems of Functions. // Math. Zametki, 2003, v. 73, № 5, p. 704–723 (in Russian).
- 10. Kostin V.V. Generalization of the Balashov Theorem on Subseries of the Fourier–Haar Series. // Math. Zametki, 2004, v. 76, № 5, p. 740–747 (in Russian).
- 11. Gevorkyan G.G., Navasardyan K.A. On a Summation Method for Series with Respect to Vilenkin and Haar Systems. // Reports NAS of Armenia, 2017, v. 117, № 1, p. 20–25 (in Russian).