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We consider boundary value problem for degenerate first order differentialoperator equation $L u \equiv t^{\alpha} u^{\prime}-P u=f, u(0)-\mu u(b)=0$, where $t \in(0, b)$, $\alpha \geq 0, P: H \rightarrow H$ is linear operator in separable Hilbert space $H$, $f \in L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H), \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. We prove that under some conditions on the operator $P$ and number $\mu$ the boundary value problem has unique generalized solution $u \in L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H)$ when $2 \alpha+\beta<1, \beta \geq 0$ and for any $f \in L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H)$.
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Introduction. In the present paper we consider boundary value problem for degenerate differential-operator equations of the first order

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv t^{\alpha} u^{\prime}(t)-P u=f(t), \quad u(0)-\mu u(b)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \in(0, b), \alpha \geq 0, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, P: H \rightarrow H$ is a linear operator in the separable Hilbert space $H f \in L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H), \beta \geq 0$, i.e.

$$
\|f\|_{\beta}^{2}=\int_{0}^{b} t^{\beta}\|f(t)\|_{H}^{2} d t<\infty
$$

We assume that the operator $P: H \rightarrow H$ has complete system of eigenfunctions $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, which form a Riesz basis in $H$, i.e. $P \varphi_{k}=p_{k} \varphi_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, all $x \in H$ have representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k} \varphi_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for some positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ it is valid the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{k}\right|^{2} \leq\|x\|_{H}^{2} \leq c_{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Basics of the theory of differential-operator equations (i.e. ordinary differential equations with operator coefficients) of the first and second order can be found in the monograph of S. G. Krein (see [1]). Differential-operator equations of the first order have been considered in the articles of A. A. Dezin [2], V. P. Glushko [3] and other autors. In [4] N. Yataev considered operator equations of third order in weighted Sobolev spaces. In the papers [5], [6] of the author there were considered degenerate operator equations of the fourth order in a finite interval $(0, b)$ and operator equations of order $2 m$ on the infinite interval $(1,+\infty)$. The article [7] investigated degenerate operator equations with arbitrary weights. In this papers we study Dirichlet problem in corresponding weighted Sobolev spaces.

First we consider one-dimensional case of operator Eq. (1), i.e. when $P u=p u$, $p \in \mathbb{C}$, and then we pass to the general case using general method of A. A. Dezin [2].

One-Dimensional Case. In this section we consider one dimensional case of boundary value problem (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S u \equiv t^{\alpha} u^{\prime}-p u=f, \quad u(0)-\mu u(b)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

were $p$ and $\mu$ are constant complex numbers, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$.
We investigate the regular case (see $|8|$ ), when $\int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} d t<\infty$, i.e. $\alpha<1$. To expand the space $L_{2}(0, b)$, we will assume in the sequel that $\beta \geq 0$. Observe that for the weighted $L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ spaces for $\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2}$ we have continuous embedding $L_{2, \beta_{1}}(0, b) \subset L_{2, \beta_{2}}(0, b)$, which for $\beta_{1}<\beta_{2}$ is not compact. We investigate the degeneration at the point $t=0$, therefore, we do not consider the case $\mu=\infty$, i.e. the case of condition $u(b)=0$.

We define the operator $S: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ as the extension of the corresponding differential operation $S$, first defined for the smooth functions, satisfying the boundary condition $u(0)-\mu u(b)=0$ (see [8]).

Define a maximal operator $\tilde{S}: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ as an extension of the differential operation $S$ on $L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$.

Define the minimal operator $S_{0}: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ as an extension of the differential operation $S$ on $L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$, initially defined for the smooth functions, which satisfy the conditions $u(0)=u(b)=0$.

Definition 1. An operator $S: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ is called proper operator, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0} \subset S \subset \tilde{S} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inverse operator $S^{-1}: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ is defined on the whole space $L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$.

It follows from Definition 1 that the inverse operator $S^{-1}: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ is bounded, since it is closed operator, defined on the whole space $L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ (see [8]).

Our goal is to find the values of the numbers $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, such that boundary value problem (4) has unique solution for any $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$, i.e. to prove that the operator $S: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ is a proper operator.

It is easy to find, that the general solution of the differential equation in 4 has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=C e^{-\gamma t^{1-\alpha}}+e^{-\gamma t^{1-\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{-\alpha} e^{\gamma \tau^{1-\alpha}} f(\tau) d \tau \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\frac{p}{1-\alpha}$. Now, using boundary condition in (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(1-\mu e^{-\gamma b^{\alpha-1}}\right)=\mu e^{-\gamma b^{1-\alpha}} \int_{0}^{b} \tau^{-\alpha} e^{\gamma \tau^{1-\alpha}} f(\tau) d \tau \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mu=0$ we conclude from (6) that $C=0$. Thus the solution of the boundary value problem (4) has the the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=e^{-\gamma t^{1-\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{-\alpha} e^{\gamma \tau^{1-\alpha}} f(\tau) d \tau \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the case $\mu \neq 0$. Then the equality $1-\mu e^{-\gamma b^{1-\alpha}}=0$ is equivalent to the equality $e^{\gamma b^{1-\alpha}}=\mu$, i.e.

$$
\gamma b^{1-\alpha}=\ln |\mu|+i \arg \mu+2 \pi m i, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Since $\gamma=\frac{p}{1-\alpha}$, from the last equality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(m, \alpha):=b^{\alpha-1}(1-\alpha)(\ln |\mu|+i \arg \mu+2 \pi m i), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (9) determines the values of $p$, for which Eq. (7) is unsolvable with respect to $C$. In other words, for this values of $p$ boundary value problem (4) is unsolvable for every $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$.

If $p \neq p(m, \alpha), m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the equality (7) uniquely defines the number $C$. Thus the solution of boundary value problem (4) has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\frac{\mu e^{-\gamma t^{1-\alpha}}}{e^{\gamma b^{1-\alpha}}-\mu} \int_{0}^{b} \tau^{-\alpha} e^{\gamma \tau^{1-\alpha}} f(\tau) d \tau+e^{-\gamma t^{1-\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{-\alpha} e^{\gamma \tau^{1-\alpha}} f(\tau) d \tau \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Generalized solution of boundary value problem (4) under the condition $p \neq p(m, \alpha), m \in \mathbb{Z}$, exists and is unique for every $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \geq 0, \quad \beta \geq 0, \quad 2 \alpha+\beta<1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Now we discuss the behaviour of the solution (10) depending on $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ for every function $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$. First note that $e^{\gamma t^{1-\alpha}}$ is bounded function, since $\left|e^{\gamma t^{1-\alpha}}\right|=e^{\gamma_{1} t^{1-\alpha}}$, where $\gamma=\gamma_{1}+i \gamma_{2}, t \in(0, b)$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Consequently, to estimate the expression (10), it is enough to estimate the function $F(t):=\int_{0}^{t} \tau^{-\alpha} f(\tau) d \tau$ at $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$. Using the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(t)|^{2}=\left|\int_{0}^{t} \tau^{-\alpha} \tau^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \tau^{\frac{\beta}{2}} f(\tau) d \tau\right|^{2} & \leq \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{-2 \alpha-\beta} d \tau \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{\beta}|f(\tau)|^{2} d \tau \leq \\
& \leq c_{1} t^{1-2 \alpha-\beta}\|f\|_{L_{2, \beta}(0, b)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(t)| \leq c t^{\frac{1-2 \alpha-\beta}{2}}\|f\|_{L_{2, \beta}(0, b)}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we conclude that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \geq 0, \quad \beta \geq 0, \quad 2 \alpha+\beta<1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the value of the function $u(t)$, given by formula (10), is finite for $t=0$ for any $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$.

Now let us prove that the inequality (12) is exact, i.e. for $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$, $2 \alpha+\beta \geq 1$ there exists a function $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$, for which the function $F(t)$ (thus also the solution $u(t)$ ) for $t \rightarrow 0$ is unbounded (tends to infinity). Let $2 \alpha+\beta>1$. Then as a counterexample we can take, for example, the function $f(t)=t^{\gamma}$ and choose the number $\gamma$ such that $t^{\gamma} \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$, but the value of $F(t)$ at the point $t=0$ is not finite. Then we obtain the conditions $\beta+2 \gamma+1>0$ and $\gamma<\alpha-1$, i.e. $\gamma \in\left(-\frac{\beta+1}{2}, \alpha-1\right)$, since from the condition $2 \alpha+\beta>1$ it follows that $-\frac{\beta+1}{2}<\alpha-1$. Now consider the case $2 \alpha+\beta=1$. Then as a counterexample we can take the function $f(t)=t^{\gamma}|\ln t|^{\delta}$. Then for $2 \gamma+\beta=-1$, i.e. $\gamma=\alpha-1$ and for $-1<\delta<-\frac{1}{2}$ it is easy to clear that $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$, but the value of $F(t)$ at the point $t=0$ is not finite.

Now we estimate the function $f(t)$, given by the formula (10), for $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$. Using inequality (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)| \leq\left(c_{1}+c_{2} t^{\frac{1-2 \alpha-\beta}{2}}\right)\|f\|_{L_{2, \beta}(0, b)} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before considering operator equation (1), we explore the spectrum of the closed operator $S: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$. To do this we replace in boundary value problem (4) the number $p$ by the number $p-\lambda$ and try to find the values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, for which boundary value problem (4) is uniquely solvable for any $f \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$. It follows from the considerations for the case $\mu=0$ that each number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the resolvent $\rho(S)$ of the operator $S$. For the case $\mu \neq 0$ we require that

$$
p-\lambda \neq p(m, \alpha), m \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

(see (9)), i.e. for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \neq p-p(m, \alpha), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in both cases under condition (11). Thus the spectrum of the operator $S: L_{2, \beta}(0, b) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}(0, b)$ is discrete and coinsides with the set of points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(S)=\sigma_{p}(S)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \lambda=p-p(m, \alpha), m \in \mathbb{Z}\} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differential-Operator Equation. In this section we consider boundary value problem for differential-operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv t^{\alpha} u^{\prime}(t)-P u=f(t), \quad u(0)-\mu u(b)=0, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \in(0, b), \alpha \geq 0, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, P: H \rightarrow H$ is a linear operator in the separable Hilbert space $H$ and $f \in L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H)$.

Note that a wide class of linear operators $P: H \rightarrow H$, having complete system of eigenfunctions, which form Riesz basis in $H$ are so called $\Pi$-operators [9]. We briefly describe these operators. Let $V:=[0,2 \pi]^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and differential expression with constant coefficients

$$
P(-i D) u=\sum_{|\gamma| \leq m} p_{\gamma} D^{\alpha} u
$$

is first defined on the functions $C^{\infty}(V)$, which are periodical (with period $2 \pi$ ) with respect to each variable $x_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$. Define operator $P: L_{2}(V) \rightarrow L_{2}(V)$ as closure of differential expression $P(-i D)$, which are called $\Pi$-operators. To each differential operator $P(-i D)$ we can associate polynomial $P(s), s \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, such that $P(-i D) e^{i s \cdot x}=P(s) e^{i s \cdot x}, s \cdot x=s_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+s_{n} x_{n}$.

Since the system of eigenfunctions $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the operator $P$ form Riesz base in Hilbert space $H, P \varphi_{k}=p_{k} \varphi_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{k}(t) \varphi_{k} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the boundary value problem (17) for operator equations we obtain infinite chain of ordinary differential equations with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k} u_{k} \equiv t^{\alpha} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)-p_{k} u_{k}=f_{k}(t), \quad u_{k}(0)-\mu u_{k}(b)=0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2. The function $u \in L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H)$ is called generalized solution of the boundary value problem (17), if it can be represented by the formula (18), where the functions $u_{k}(t), k \in \mathbb{N}$, are generalized solutions of the boundary value problem (17).

Actually we defined the operator $L: L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H) \rightarrow L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H)$ as closure of corresponding differential expression (17), initially defined on the finite linear combinations of $u_{k}(t) \varphi_{k}$, where $u_{k} \in D\left(L_{k}\right), k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following theorem from the general results of A. A. Dezin [9].
Theorem 2. Operator equation (17) is uniquely solvable for any $f \in L_{2, \beta}((0, b), H)$ if and only if the boundary value problems 19) for any $f_{k} \in L_{2, \beta}(0, b), k \in \mathbb{N}$, are uniquely solvable and the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L_{2, \beta}(0, b)} \leq c\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2, \beta}(0, b)}, \quad c>0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold uniformly with respect to $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Now we give sufficient condition to fulfill conditions (20).
Theorem 3. In the case $\mu=0$ inequalities are uniformly satisfied whenever

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} p_{k} \geq M, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\mu \neq 0$, then they are satisfied under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{\gamma_{k} b^{1-\alpha}}-\mu\right| \geq \varepsilon, \quad\left|\operatorname{Re} p_{k}\right| \leq K \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some numbers $\varepsilon>0, K>0$, where $\gamma_{k}=\frac{p_{k}}{1-\alpha}$.

Proof. For the case $\mu=0$ the solutions $u_{k}(t), k \in \mathbb{N}$, of the boundary value problems (19) have the form (8) (with replacement $\gamma$ by $\gamma_{k}$ and $f$ by $f_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let $\gamma_{k}^{1}=\operatorname{Re} \gamma_{k}=\frac{\operatorname{Re} p_{k}}{1-\alpha}$. For the $\left|u_{k}(t)\right|^{2}$ using the same argument as in the Proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$
\left|u_{k}(t)\right|^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{-2 \alpha-\beta} e^{-2 \gamma_{k}^{1}\left(t^{1-\alpha}-\tau^{1-\alpha}\right)} d \tau \cdot\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2, \beta}(0, b)}^{2}
$$

Since $t^{1-\alpha}-\tau^{1-\alpha} \geq 0$ for $0 \leq \tau \leq t$, under the conditions (21) we have inequalities (20) uniformly with respect to $k \in \mathbb{N}$ due to conditions $0<2 \alpha+\beta<1$, $\beta \geq 0$ (see Theorem 1).

Let now $\mu \neq 0$. The solutions $u_{k}(t), k \in \mathbb{N}$, of the boundary value problems (19) have the form (8) (with substitution $f$ by $f_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Estimating this solutions, using inequalities (22), similar to the first case we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u_{k}(t)\right|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{|\mu|}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{b} \tau^{-2 \alpha-\beta} e^{-2 \gamma_{k}^{1}\left(t^{1-\alpha}-\tau^{1-\alpha}\right)} d \tau+\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t} \tau^{-2 \alpha-\beta} e^{-2 \gamma_{k}^{1}\left(t^{1-\alpha}-\tau^{1-\alpha}\right)} d \tau\right) \cdot\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2, \beta}(0, b)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In contrast to the previous case $(\mu=0)$ here the expression $t^{1-\alpha}-\tau^{1-\alpha}$ does not keep sign for $0 \leq t \leq b$. Therefore, along with the first condition in (22) we require a stronger condition $\left|\operatorname{Re} p_{k}\right| \leq K, k \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies inequalities 20).

Let us consider the following counterexample.
Example. Consider Cauchy problem (17) for $\alpha=0, \beta=0, \mu=0$, where as operator $P$ we take closed operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \equiv-D_{x}^{2}, D_{x}=\frac{d}{d x}, D(P)=\left\{u \in L_{2}(0, \pi), u^{\prime \prime} \in L_{2}(0, \pi), u(0)=u(\pi)=0\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to calculate that the numbers $p_{k}=k^{2}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are the eigenvalues for the operator $P$ and the role of the eigenfunctions $\varphi_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, play the functions $\sin (k x)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that this system forms orthogonal basis in $L_{2}(0, b)$. It is easy to verify that unique solutions of the boundary value problems

$$
u_{k}^{\prime}(t)-k^{2} u_{k}(t)=e^{k^{2} t}, \quad u_{k}(0)=0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

are the functions $u_{k}(t)=t e^{k^{2} t}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see formula (8)), and are true the following exact inequalities

$$
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}(0, b)} \leq \frac{c e^{k^{2} b}}{k}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}(0, b)}, \quad c>0
$$

It follows from the last inequality and Theorem 2 that it fails the unique solvability of boundary value problem $(23)$, since the number sequence $c_{k}=\frac{c e^{k^{2} b}}{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, tends to infinity for $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Observe also，that if we take in Example the operator $P \equiv D_{x}^{2}$（with the same domain of definition as above），then it is easy to verify that to inequalities（20）hold uniformly with respect to $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ．Therefore，this boundary value problem will be correct．Here we have＂inverse＂and＂direct＂Cauchy problems for the heat equation， and we once again proved incorrectness of the＂inverse＂Cauchy problem for the heat equation．
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